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Self-Paced Courses
This course follows a self-paced online format. You have 180 days from your selected start date to complete the course. The last day to withdraw with a full refund is 15 days after your start date.

Instructor Contact
Please refer to course in Learning Hub for the teacher contact information.

Communication with the Instructor
It is important to remember that while the Internet is available 24 hours a day, your instructor is not. You can expect that your instructor will respond to e-mail message to you within 2 business days during the week and may not be available to respond on weekends.

Other Assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Username and password assistance</td>
<td><a href="mailto:helpdesk@andrews.edu">helpdesk@andrews.edu</a></td>
<td>(269) 471-6016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment and withdrawal questions</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sdecregister@andrews.edu">sdecregister@andrews.edu</a></td>
<td>(269) 471-6323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical assistance with online courses</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dlit@andrews.edu">dlit@andrews.edu</a></td>
<td>(269) 471-3960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exam requests and online proctoring</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sdeexams@andrews.edu">sdeexams@andrews.edu</a></td>
<td>(269) 471-6566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Student Services - any other questions</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sdestudents@andrews.edu">sdestudents@andrews.edu</a></td>
<td>(269) 471-6566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part 1: Course Information

Course Description
Andrews University
A continuation of RELT 100. Study of nature, sources, and methods of theology; the doctrines of God and his works (the Trinity, creation, providence, law, and Sabbath), Christ, the Holy Spirit, and human beings. Research paper may be required. Student can earn general education credit in either RELT 225 or RELT 325, but not in both.

Prerequisite/Corequisite
RELH 400

Course Learning Outcomes

1. Analyze theological statements regarding the trinity and their works from a biblical, systematic and historical point of view.
2. Demonstrate familiarity with the Seventh-day Adventist beliefs on these topics in discussion across a spectrum of theology.
3. Articulate theological positions regarding the doctrine of God and the way the triune God interacts with creation.
4. Gain a personal understanding of a God who is directly related to and engaged with each student’s individual life.

Required Text/Material
Handbook of Seventh-day Adventist Theology, Raoul Dederen ed., (Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 2000).

**Optional Text/Material**

**NOTE:** Purchase textbooks through any online bookstore, such as amazon.com, which can deliver within 2 days. If you need to use financial aid to purchase textbooks, email sdestudents@andrews.edu, cutting and pasting the textbook information from syllabi, including course title and section, your full name and student ID#.

**Credit Hour and Commitment**
This course is offered for 3 semester credits; therefore, it is expected that you will spend 135 hours total on this course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Introductions and Video Conference</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessons</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readings</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Checks and Exams (Including study time)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Paper</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suggested schedule(s) to accomplish this work are included in this syllabus.

**Part 2: Course Methods and Delivery**

**Methods of Instruction**
Methods of instruction include assigned readings from the textbook and the course material, interviews with members of the students’ community based on the lessons/readings, short open book knowledge checks on the lessons/readings, interactions with the instructor via assignment feedback and a required video conference, and two exams. Regular participation in the course is essential to good performance.

**Course/Technical Requirements**
- Internet connection (DSL, LAN, or cable connection desirable).

**LearningHub Access**
This course is delivered online through LearningHub at [http://learninghub.andrews.edu](http://learninghub.andrews.edu)

Your username and password are your Andrews username and password. You need to activate your username and password to access LearningHub.

Please do this online here:
[https://vault.andrews.edu/vault/pages/activation/information.jsp](https://vault.andrews.edu/vault/pages/activation/information.jsp) if you haven’t already. If you need assistance, call or email us: (296) 471-6016 or helpdesk@andrews.edu.

If you need technical assistance at any time during the course, or to report a problem with LearningHub, please email dlit@andrews.edu or call (269) 471-3960.
Part 3: Course Requirements

Important Note: Activity and assignment details will be explained in detail within each learning module. If you have any questions, please contact your instructor.

Your Schedule
In Learning Hub, you will access online lessons, course materials, and resources. This course is self-paced. You must complete the course within 180 days. This is the Consortium policy. You may have a stricter deadline imposed by graduation, financial aid, or other restrictions.

Start by creating a schedule for completion of the course.
- Determine your deadline. Do you need a transcript sent to your home institution?
- Working from your deadline, count backwards. Allow 2 weeks after you take your final exam for your final grade to be calculated. Allow another 2 weeks for the transcript to be processed and sent.
- Now use the suggested schedules to create a schedule for yourself that ensures completion 4 weeks before your deadline.

Submit your course plan to your instructor within Learning Hub AND discipline yourself to make regular progress.

Assessment Descriptions

Student Introductions and Required Video Conference: (5% of the final Grade)
- Student introduction options: You may introduce yourselves through video, audio, or text in the student introduction forum. (If you are choosing video or audio please use the recording software on learning hub.) Include the following: your name, where you are from, why are you taking this class, and what is your picture of God?
- There will be a required video conference during the 2nd week after you have registered for the class. The day and time will be arranged between the student and the instructor.

Lesson/Reading Notes: (10% of the final Grade) Take notes on the lectures/reading for each module and turn them in. The purpose of this is to help you to prepare notes which you can study from for the Knowledge Checks and exams, prepare questions for your interview assignments, and points of research for your up-coming paper. For each set of notes that you turn in make sure to include a section entitled “points that apply to my paper.” Not every week will apply but there is usually something helpful that you can glean for your research. This category also includes the knowledge checks which will be included in the weekly lessons.

Interviews and Reflections: (15% of the final Grade) There will be 8 interviews which you will be required to do and turn in. For each of the 8 modules of study in the class you will need to create a list of questions about the topic that you think might be relevant to the people around you. Find a person you can ask and write down the verbatim of the conversation. That means every word that is spoken during the interview. You may want to record these and type them up later. After uploading the verbatim to the drop box post a reflective blog in the corresponding discussion forum on your experience with each interview.

Knowledge Checks: (10% of the final Grade) There will be knowledge checks at the end of every lesson. The page will not allow you to finish the knowledge check until you get every
answer correct. This will mean that you master the knowledge being tested in each lesson. Knowledge checks will be made up of a mixture of matching, true and false, multiple choice, and fill in the blank.

**Exams:** (35% of the final Grade) The exams will be online and supervised by a proctor (see the exam section of this syllabus for more details). Exams will be made up of a mixture of matching, true and false, multiple choice, short answer, and essay.

**Research Paper:** (25% of the final Grade) The research paper for this course will be based on one of the topics selected from the list below. This assignment will be submitted in two phases. The first phase will be a topic proposal to demonstrate an initial engagement with the topic for the paper. The second phase will be the complete paper. Please take a look at the grading rubric for the paper for the specific requirements of this assignment and how they will be graded.

1. **Proposal of the Topic** (2-3 pages) (10% of the final Grad)
   a. Historical Background of the topic (what has been taught about this topic historically?)
      i. This section should be 3 paragraphs in length
   b. Problem and purpose (why write on this topic?)
      i. This section should consist of two well-crafted sentences.
      1. Finish this sentence “the problem this paper will address is...” The first sentence is: what is the theological problem this topic is addressing? Is there disagreement between theologians about the topic? Is there missing information about the topic?
      2. Finish this sentence “the purpose of this paper will be...” The second sentence is: how are you going to solve the problem? What contribution will you make to the discussion?
   c. Method (What method will you use for this paper?)
      i. This section should be 2 paragraphs in length
      ii. Are you going to study one theologian on the topic and the describe and evaluate what he or she has to say?
      iii. Are you going to study two theologians and compare and contrast what each of them has to say?
      iv. Are you going to do exegetical study on a particular passage of scripture about the topic?
   d. Bibliography (what sources have you already read to select this topic?)
      i. You must include 5 academic sources of the 15 that you will be using in your final paper.
      ii. These sources could include: textbooks for this class, theological dictionaries or encyclopedias, academic books (look for books with footnotes in them), academic journal articles (use the ATLA search engine through the library website to find articles on your topic).
      iii. You are limited to only one website based source in the proposal and 3 for the final paper, and the websites need to be academic websites like carm.org or bible.org, the web articles on these sights will have footnotes.
showing they are research based. (these are different from the journal articles found through ATLA).

2. **Complete Research Paper** (minimum 12 pages) (15% of the final Grade)
   a. Format - Make sure to use the [AU Standards for Written Work](#) for your formatting
      i. Times New Roman 12 point font
      ii. Double Spaced (See AU Standards for Written Work for specific line spacing instructions)
      iii. Margins: For theses and dissertations, the left-hand margin must be 1½ inches and all other margins must be at least one full inch. The initial page of a chapter or a major section (i.e., table of contents, bibliography, etc.) has a full 2-inch margin at the top of the page. For term papers, a left-hand margin of one inch is preferred. (From AU Standards for Written Work)
      iv. Use Turabian style for footnotes and bibliography
         1. Make sure to give credit where credit is due: footnote all of the information that you gain from other sources.
         2. Make sure that you give credibility and substance to what you write by backing them up with other academic sources.
   b. Title Page (in addition to the 12 pages)
   c. Introduction (2-3 pages)
      i. The introduction will be the proposal of the topic assignment previously submitted. The bibliography will obviously be moved to the end of the paper.
      ii. You may wish to edit or expand the historical background and the method section as your further study on the topic will have informed you more fully about the topic you are studying. Make sure you have made any suggested edits or expansions which have been suggested by the instructor on the previous assignment.
   d. Body of the Paper (8-9 pages)
      i. Descriptive research
         1. In this section, you will describe the information you have found for your research. Whether this is the information from the writings of a theologian or the exegetical material from commentaries on a specific passage.
      ii. Evaluative research
         1. In this section, you will evaluate the material that you have described in the previous section. What do you think about what the theologian has said? Do you agree or disagree with the theologian or exegetical writer? Why do you agree or disagree and on what basis do you agree or disagree? What is your argument on the subject and what substantial reasons can you give?
   e. Summary, Conclusion, and Suggestions (1-2 pages)
i. **Summarize** the work you have done in the previous section

ii. Offer your **conclusions** based on the work in the previous section

iii. Give **suggestions** for future research and study that could be done based on what you have learned.

f. Bibliography and footnotes (the bibliography is in addition to the 12 pages)

i. A minimum of 15 academic sources: These sources could include: textbooks for this class, theological dictionaries or encyclopedias, academic books (look for books with footnotes in them), academic journal articles (use the ATLA search engine through the library website to find articles on your topic).

ii. You are limited to only 3 website based sources, and the websites need to be academic websites like carm.org or bible.org, the web articles on these sites will have footnotes showing they are research based. (these are different from the journal articles found through ATLA).

iii. These sources should be referenced throughout the document in footnotes. It is often a good idea to include quotes and additional detailed discussion within the footnotes so that the body of the paper flows along nicely.

3. **Possible Topics**

   a. God/World Relationship
   b. Pantheism/Panentheism
   c. Personhood of the Holy Spirit
   d. Nature of Christ – Divine/Human
   e. Authority of Scripture
   f. Divine Love
   g. Omnipotence of God
   h. Omnipresence of God
   i. Omniscience of God
   j. If you have other suggestions contact your instructor for approval
## Rubrics

### Research Paper Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Exceeds Standard (5)</th>
<th>Good (3)</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Standard (1)</th>
<th>Absent (0)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 % Introduction</td>
<td>The introduction is engaging, states the main topic and clearly previews the paper.</td>
<td>The introduction states the main topic but does not adequately preview the paper.</td>
<td>Unclear and convoluted introduction.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % Problem &amp; Purpose</td>
<td>Clearly and concisely states the paper's problem (one sentence) and purpose (one sentence).</td>
<td>The problem is confusing, purpose is stated but is not succinct, not very clear and has more than one sentence.</td>
<td>The problem is not developed. The purpose is not clearly stated or not understandable.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 % Content</td>
<td>Balanced presentation of relevant information that clearly supports the purpose. Thoughtful, in-depth analysis of the topic. Reader gains important insight.</td>
<td>Information is only partly related to the purpose. Some analysis of the topic. Reader gains some insight.</td>
<td>Information is disconnected from the purpose. Analysis is vague or confused. Reader gains no insight.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 % Structural Development of the Idea</td>
<td>The ideas are arranged logically to support the purpose, flowing smoothly from one to another and clearly linked to each other. The reader can follow the line of reasoning.</td>
<td>The ideas are arranged in a somewhat logical way, although occasionally they fail to make sense together. The reader is fairly clear about the writer's intentions.</td>
<td>The writing is not logically organized. Ideas frequently fail to make sense. The reader cannot identify a line of reasoning and loses interest.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 % Conclusion</td>
<td>The conclusion is engaging, restates the purpose, concisely summarizes the paper and states the main conclusions. Also includes significant suggestions for further research.</td>
<td>The conclusion does not refer to the purpose. The main ideas and conclusions are somewhat logically arranged. Includes general suggestions for further research.</td>
<td>The conclusion is confusing, does not re-state the purpose, is incomplete or unfocused, and introduces new information. No suggestions for future research.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % Mechanics</td>
<td>No errors in spelling, capitalization or formatting. Clear headings and subheadings.</td>
<td>Some errors in spelling, capitalization or formatting. Headings and subheadings are generally clear.</td>
<td>Numerous and distracting errors in spelling, capitalization and formatting.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % Citation</td>
<td>All cited works are presented in the correct format with no errors.</td>
<td>Cited works are presented in a mostly correct format. Inconsistencies somewhat evident.</td>
<td>Few cited works with inconsistent formatting.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 % Bibliography</td>
<td>Presented in the correct format with no errors. Includes 15+ major references no more than three internet sites. Evidence that most references were used in text.</td>
<td>Presented in the correct format with some errors. Includes 10-15 major references no more than 3 internet site. It is clear that some references were not used in text.</td>
<td>Many errors in formatting. Fewer than 8 major references, with some listed as internet sites. References are mostly unrelated or not used in the text.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
<td>Out of 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please Note:** If any form of plagiarism is detected the paper will receive 0 marks.  

**Total (out of 100)**
**Research Proposal Rubric (Criteria for Assessment)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Superior</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historical Background</strong></td>
<td>[5 pts]</td>
<td>[3 pts]</td>
<td>[0-1 point]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The historical background for the topic is well established in theological research.</td>
<td>The historical background for the topic is generally established in theological research.</td>
<td>The historical background for the topic is poorly established in theological research.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Problem Statement</strong></td>
<td>[5 pts]</td>
<td>[3 pts]</td>
<td>[0-1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The problem statement so clearly specifies the exact nature or basis of the controversy that it implies the reason why this research is needed, suggests possible methods, and thus leads logically to the purpose statement.</td>
<td>The problem is identified in a general way, but not with sufficient clarity to show why the research is needed, to suggest possible methods, and thus lead to the purpose statement.</td>
<td>The topic has not been shown to contain a significant problem that requires research to solve. The problem may be too simple or too obvious, or it may be so general that it does not lead to a clear, succinct purpose statement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose Statement</strong></td>
<td>[5 pts]</td>
<td>[3 pts]</td>
<td>[0-1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The purpose is stated so clearly and succinctly that it quickly reveals whether any particular datum belongs in the paper or not; thus enabling accurate, efficient decisions about gathering of sources.</td>
<td>Purpose is fairly clear and succinct, but still needs improvement.</td>
<td>Purpose is unclear (vague or ambiguous) and/or overly wordy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td>[5 pts]</td>
<td>[3 pts]</td>
<td>[0-2 pts]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method is clearly chosen and explained for the paper. 1. Analysis of a single theologian. 2. Comparison and contrast of two theologians. 3. Exegetical study of biblical passage on the topic.</td>
<td>Method is generally chosen and explained for the paper. 1. Analysis of a single theologian. 2. Comparison and contrast of two theologians. 3. Exegetical study of biblical passage on the topic.</td>
<td>Method is poorly chosen and explained for the paper. 1. Analysis of a single theologian. 2. Comparison and contrast of two theologians. 3. Exegetical study of biblical passage on the topic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scholarly/Professional Articles</strong></td>
<td>[5 pts]</td>
<td>[3 pts]</td>
<td>[0-2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bibliography has 5 scholarly resources limit of 1 web-site based source.</td>
<td>Bibliography has 3 scholarly resources limit of 1 web-based source.</td>
<td>Bibliography has 1-2 scholarly resource or more that 1 web-based source.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bibliography in style AU-Turabian</strong></td>
<td>[5 pts]</td>
<td>[3 pts]</td>
<td>[0-2 pts]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bibliography in AU-Turabian style, less than one mistake per entry.</td>
<td>Bib. follows AU-Turabian style, 1-3 mistakes per entry.</td>
<td>Roughly AU-Turabian style, more than 3 mistakes per entry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exams**

Exams must be completed in the presence of an approved proctor without the assistance of books, notes, devices or outside help unless otherwise specified in the exam review and exam directions.

Please review the current policy on approved proctors before completing the exam request form, which is linked through your course space. It is your responsibility to make arrangements for an approved proctor (unless living near the main campus) and to complete the exam request form at least two weeks prior to each exam date. Bring an official photo ID to show the proctor at the start of the exam session.

The midterm exam is worth 20% of your grade. You are allowed 90 minutes to complete this exam.

The final exam is worth 20% of your grade. You are allowed 120 minutes to complete this exam.

If you cannot take your exam within the period noted in the email regarding exam arrangements, email sdeexams@andrews.edu with the reason you cannot meet this deadline, and a proposed alternate time within a week, and prior to the course end date.

Completed exams are never available to you or your proctor. Instructors provide feedback on exams other than the final exam. Exam grades can be viewed in the course space, and the final course grade is included in the University Academic Record accessible through your IVUE page.
# Suggested schedule for completion in 8 weeks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modules</th>
<th>Lessons</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Course Objectives Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intro</td>
<td>Introduction &amp; Orientation</td>
<td>Orientation Writing Expectations</td>
<td>Submit: Schedule Tell About Me Academic Honesty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1       | What is Theology: Sources, Methods, and System | • Lesson 1 Material  
• Lesson 2 Material  
-Knowledge Check  
-Interview #1  
-Video Conference | CO 2 |
| 2       | Doctrine of God: God/World Spectrum | • Lesson 3 Material  
• Lesson 4 Material | -Interview #2  
-Lesson/Reading Notes #2  
-Knowledge Check | |
| 3       | Doctrine of God: Divine Love | • Lesson 5 Material  
• Lesson 6 Material | -Interview #3  
-Lesson/Reading Notes #3  
-Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
| 4       | Doctrine of God: Trinity | • Lesson 7 Material  
• Lesson 8 Material | -Interview #4  
-Lesson/Reading Notes #4  
-Research Proposal Due | CO 1-4 |
|         | MIDTERM EXAM  
(Covers Modules 1-8) | | | |
| 5       | Doctrine of God: Who is Jesus? (Christology) | • Lesson 9 Material  
• Lesson 10 Material | -Interview #5  
-Lesson/Reading Notes #5  
-Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modules</th>
<th>Lessons</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Course Objectives Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6       | Doctrine of God: Who is the Holy Spirit? (Pnuematology) | • Lesson 11 Material  
• Cory Wetterlin “Ellen White’s Understanding of the Indwelling of the Holy Spirit.” AUSSJ Vol. 1, 2 (Fall 2015), 35-52  
• Lesson 12 Material | -Interview #6  
- Lesson/Reading Notes #6  
- Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
| 7       | Doctrine of God: The Law – a reflection of God’s Character | • Lesson 13 Material  
• Norman R. Gulley, "Chapter Eleven: Old and New Covenants" in *Systematic Theology: God as Trinity*, (Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 2010), 339-380. | -Interview #7  
- Lesson/Reading Notes #7  
- Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
| 8       | Doctrine of God: The Sabbath – presence with God | -Interview #8  
- Lesson/Reading Notes #8  
- Research Paper Due | CO 1-4 |

**FINAL EXAM**  
(Covers Modules 9-14)

### Suggested schedule for completion in 16 weeks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modules</th>
<th>Lessons</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Course Objectives Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Intro   | Introduction & Orientation | Orientation  
Writing Expectations | Submit:  
Schedule  
Tell About Me  
Academic Honesty | |
| 1       | What is Theology: Sources | • Lesson 1 Material | -Knowledge Check | CO 2 |
| 2       | What is Theology: Methods and System | • Lesson 2 Material  
- Knowledge Check  
- Interview #1  
- Video Conference | CO 2 |
| 3       | Doctrine of God: God/World Spectrum Pt.1 | • Lesson 3 Material  
| 4       | Doctrine of God: God/World Spectrum Pt.2 | • Lesson 4 Material  
• Norman R. Gulley “Chapter Seven: God’s Eternal Relationship: Contemporary Views” in *Systematic Theology: God as Trinity*, (Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 2010), 231-273. | -Lesson/Reading Notes #2  
- Knowledge Check  
- Interview #2 | CO 1-4 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modules</th>
<th>Lessons</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Couse Objectives Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5       | Doctrine of God: Divine Love Pt. 1 (Biblical View) | • Lesson 5 Material  
| 6       | Doctrine of God: Divine Love Pt. 2 (Spectrum) | • Lesson 6 Material | -Interview #3  
-Lesson/Reading Notes #3  
-Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
| 7       | Doctrine of God: Trinity Pt. 1 (Biblical Evidence) | • Lesson 7 Material  
• Norman R. Gulley, “Chapter One: God's Eternal Relationships: Trinity” in Systematic Theology: God as Trinity, (Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 2010), 3-42. | -Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
| 8       | Doctrine of God: Trinity Pt. 2 (Spectrum and Adventism) | • Lesson 8 Material  
• Norman R. Gulley, “Chapter Three: God’s Eternal Relationship: Early Patristic Views”; “Chapter Four: God’s Eternal Relationship: Trinitarian Covenant” in Systematic Theology: God as Trinity, (Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 2010), 81-165. | -Lesson/Reading Notes #4  
-Knowledge Check  
-Interview #4  
-Research Proposal Due | CO 1-4 |
|         | **MIDTERM EXAM** | (Covers Modules 1-8) | | |
| 9       | Doctrine of God: Who is Jesus? Pt. 1 (Christology-Biblical Evidence) | • Lesson 9 Material  
• Raoul Dederen, “Christ: His Person and Work” in Handbook of Seventh-day Adventist Theology, Raoul Dederen ed., (Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 2000), 160-204. | -Knowledge Check | CO 1-4 |
| 10      | Doctrine of God: Who is Jesus? Pt. 2 (Christology) | • Lesson 10 Material | -Lesson/Reading Notes #5  
-Knowledge Check  
-Interview #5 | CO 1-4 |
| 12      | Doctrine of God: Who is the Holy Spirit? Pt. 2 (Pnuematology – Indwelling Spectrum) | • Lesson 12 Material  
• Cory Wetterlin “Ellen White’s Understanding of the Indwelling of the Holy Spirit.” AUSSJ Vol. 1, 2 (Fall 2015), 35-52. | -Lesson/Reading Notes #6  
-Knowledge Check  
-Interview #6 | CO 1-4 |
| 13      | Doctrine of God: The Law – a reflection of God's Character | • Lesson 13 Material  
-Knowledge Check  
-Interview #7 | CO 1-4 |
| 14      | Doctrine of God: The Sabbath – presence with God | • Lesson 14 Material | -Lesson/Reading Notes #8  
-Knowledge Check  
-Research Paper Due  
-Interview #8 | CO 1-4 |
| 15      | **FINAL EXAM** | (Covers Modules 9-14) | | |
Completing Assignments
All assignments for this course will be submitted electronically through Learning Hub unless otherwise instructed. Assignments and exams must be completed within 180 days of course registration date. This timeframe is subject to change depending on deadlines set by your home institution.

Part 4: Grading Policy

Graded Course Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent %</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Required Video Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lesson/Reading Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Research Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Knowledge Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Exams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Total Percent Possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Viewing Grades in Learning Hub
- Click into the course.
- Click on the Grades link in the Settings Box to the left of the main course page.

Letter Grade Assignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>88-89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80-82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>78-79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73-77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60-69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0-59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part 5: Course Policies

Withdrawal and Incomplete Policies
The current withdrawal policy can be found online at https://www.andrews.edu/distance/students/gradplus/withdrawal.html. The incomplete policy is found online at http://www.andrews.edu/weblmsc/moodle/public/incompletes.html.
**Maintain Professional Conduct Both in the Classroom and Online**
The classroom is a professional environment where academic debate and learning take place. Your instructor will make every effort to make this environment safe for you to share your opinions, ideas, and beliefs. In return, you are expected to respect the opinions, ideas, and beliefs of other students—both in the face-to-face classroom and online communication. Students have the right and privilege to learn in the class, free from harassment and disruption.

**Academic Accommodations**
Students who require accommodations may request an academic adjustment as follows:

1. Read the Andrews University Disability Accommodation information at [https://www.andrews.edu/services/sscenter/disability/](https://www.andrews.edu/services/sscenter/disability/)
   Preferably type answers. To save a digital copy, 1) print to file and save or 2) print and scan. Email the completed form and disability documentation (if any) to success@andrews.edu or fax it to (269) 471-8407.
3. Email sdestudents@andrews.edu to inform the School of Distance Education that a disability has been reported to Student Success.

**Commitment to Integrity**
As a student in this course, and at the university, you are expected to maintain high degrees of professionalism, commitment to active learning, participation in this course, and integrity in your behavior in and out of this online classroom.

**Commit to Excellence**
You deserve a standing ovation based on your decision to enroll in, and effectively complete this course. Along with your pledge of “commitment to Integrity” you are expected to adhere to a “commitment to excellence.” Andrews University has established high academic standards that will truly enhance your writing and communication skills across the disciplines and in diverse milieu with many discourse communities in the workplace.

**Honesty**
Using the work of another student or allowing work to be used by another student jeopardizes not only the teacher-student relationship but also the student’s academic standing. Lessons may be discussed with other students, tutors may help to guide a student’s work, and textbooks, encyclopedias and other resource materials may be used for additional assistance, but the actual response must be the student’s own work.

Exams must be completed in the presence of an approved supervisor without the assistance of books, notes, devices or outside help unless otherwise specified in the exam directions. The student should have no access to the exam either before or after it is taken. A student who gives information to another student to be used in a dishonest way is equally guilty of dishonesty.

Any violation of this policy will be taken before the Higher Education Academic and Curriculum Committee for appropriate punitive action.
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