BRIEF NOTES

THE ANTI-JUDAIC BIAS OF THE WESTERN TEXT IN THE GOSPEL OF LUKE

GEORGE E. RICE Andrews University

In AUSS 17 (1979): 203-208, I presented a brief discussion on how the Western text in Luke uses variant readings to develop a theological bias. The variants noted in that study magnified the role of Jesus as the Messianic King by changing the words in the heavenly voice at Jesus' baptism and by borrowing the kingly line from Matthew's genealogy.

Further biases can be clearly seen in other variant readings throughout Luke. One that appears repeatedly is strongly anti-Judaic.

Eldon J. Epp comments as follows on the anti-Judaic bias in the Western text of Acts and in Codex Bezae (D) in particular. "These textual variants, like so many others in D, are small—there is, after all, a basic conservatism in all New Testament texts. These small variants, nevertheless, combine to reveal the calculated anti-Judaic sentiment from which they first sprang."¹ What is true in Acts is true in Luke.²

In the present brief note I shall call attention to instances of three ways in which this anti-Judaic bias is reflected by the Western text.

1. The Use of $\pi o \nu \eta \rho o s$

After examining D's addition of the word $\pi o \nu \eta \rho os$ ("evil") at Acts 3:17, Epp says that D's use of this word not only sharpens the

¹Eldon Jay Epp, The Theological Tendency of Codex Bezae Cantabrigiensis in Acts (Cambridge, Eng., 1966), p. 44.

²George Edward Rice, *The Alterations of Luke's Tradition by the Textual Variants in Codex Bezae* (Ph.D. dissertation, Case Western Reserve University, 1974), pp. 174-222.

contrast between the Jews and Christians, but confirms the disparity between what the Jews did in crucifying Jesus and what God did through Jesus' suffering and exaltation.³

The verse reads as follows:

Acts	3:	17

Codex B	Codex D	
και νυν αδελφοι	και νυν ανδρες αδελφοι	
οιδα	<i>επισταμεθα</i>	
οτι	οτι υμεις μεν	
χατα αγνοιαν	κατα αγνοιαν	
επραξατε	επραξατε πονηρον	
ωσπες και οι αγχοντες υμων.	ωσπες και οι αςχοντες υμων.	
"And now brethren	"And now men brethren	
I know	we know	
that	that (on the one hand)	
you acted	you did evil	
out of ignorance	out of ignorance	
even as your rulers also."	even as your rulers also."	
$+ \alpha \nu \delta \varrho \epsilon s, D$ it ^{hpw}		

+	ανδges, D it ^{*pw}		
	οιδα οτι] επισταμεθα οτι υμεις μεν,	D.	it ^{hpw}
+	$\pi o \nu n o \alpha \nu$, D it ^{shpw} syh ^m		

~ 1 ~

³Epp, p. 43-44. ⁴Epp, p. 44.

First, the addition of $\mu\epsilon\nu$ in the D-text contrasts the action of the Jews in v. 17 with the $\delta\epsilon$ and God's action in v. 18. Second, the addition of $\pi o \nu \eta \rho o \nu$ leaves no doubt as to how this action of the Jews is viewed. They are looked upon as being not without guilt because of their ignorance.⁴

The Western text uses $\pi o \nu \eta \rho os$ in a similar way in Luke. At Luke 23:41, the statement of the condemned criminal is altered.

Luke 23:41

χαι ημεις μεν διχαιως	χαι ημεις μεν διχαιως	
αξια γαρ ων επραξαμεν	αξια γαρ ων επραξαμεν	
απολαμβανομεν ουτος	απολαμβανομεν ουτος	
δε ουδεν ατοπον επραξεν	δε ουδεν πονηφον επφαξεν	
"And we justly	"And we justly	
for we did things	for we did things	
worthy of what we	worthy of what we	
are receiving but	are receiving but	
this man did nothing	this man did nothing	
amiss."	evil."	

52

In Acts, the Western text points out that what the Jews did to Jesus was evil. In Luke, on the other hand, Jesus is presented as having done nothing evil. As Epp observes, "The contrast is vivid and effective."⁵

A second use of $\pi o \nu \eta \rho o s$ in Luke strengthens the anti-Judaic sentiment developed by the Western text.

Luke 5:21,22

Codex B

 χαι ηρξαντο διαλογιζεσθαι οι γραμματεις χαι οι φαρεισαιοι

> λεγοντες τις εστιν ουτος ος λαλει βλασφημιας τις δυναται αμαρτιας αφειναι ει μη μονος ο θς

- 22. επιγνους δε ο τς τους διαλογισμους αυτων αποχριθεις ειπεν προς αυτους τι διαλογιζεσθε εν ταις χαρδιαις υμων
- 21. "And the Scribes and Pharisees began to reason saying who is this who speaks blasphemies? Who is able to forgive sin except God alone?
- 22. And Jesus knowing their reasoning answered and said to them why do you reason in your hearts?"

 χαι ηρξαντο διαλογιζεσθαι οι γραμματεις χαι οι φαρισαιοι εν ταις χαρδιαις αυτων λεγοντες τι ουτος λαλει βλασφημιας τις δυναται αμαρτιας αφειναι ει μη εις θς

Codex D

- 22. επιγνους δε ο της τους διαλογισμους αυτων λεγει αυτοις τι διαλογιζεσθαι εν ταις χαρδιας υμων πονηρα
- 21. "And the Scribes and Pharisees began to reason in their hearts saying why does this man speak blasphemies? Who is able to forgive sin except one. God?
- 22. And Jesus knowing their reasoning said also to them why do you reason evil in your hearts?"

+ εν ταις χαρδιαις αυτων post φαρισαιοι, D it τις εστιν ουτος os] τι ουτος, D μονος] εις, C D

v. 22.

αποχρίθεις είπεν προς αυτους] λεγεί αυτοίς, D + πονηρα post υμων, D

With the addition of $\pi o \nu \eta \rho \alpha$ here, D harmonizes Luke's text with Matt 9:4. The harmonization again presents a striking contrast

5Ibid.

v. 21

between Jesus, who did no evil, and the religious leaders, who thought evil and did evil.

The Western text again harmonizes Luke with Matthew by using a cognate of $\pi o \nu \eta \rho os$ at Luke 20:23 and intensifies the encounter between Jesus and the Jews while bringing into sharper focus the anti-Judaic bias.

Luke 20:23

Codex B κατανοησας δε αυτων την πανουργιαν ειπεν προς αυτους

"And when he perceived their craftiness he said to them." Codex D

επιγνους δε αυτων την πονηριαν ειπεν προς αυτους τι με πειραζετε "And when he knew thoroughly their malice he said to them why do you tempt me?"

χατανοησας] επιγνους, D e cop²⁶ Tatian πανουgγιαν] πονηgιαν, C* D it sy²⁶ + τι με πειgαζετε post αυτους, Byz D lat sy²

From the variants at Acts 3:17 and at Luke 23:41, 5:22, and 20:23, the Western text shows a strong anti-Judaic bias by its use of $\pi orngos$ and its cognate $\pi orngoa$.

2. The Ministry of John the Baptist

The ministry of John the Baptist provides another context for the anti-Judaic sentiment of the Western text. This sentiment surfaces in three identical variants in D. Before these variants can be appreciated, it is necessary to review briefly the attitude of the Jewish leaders toward John's ministry as it is presented by Luke.

In Luke's account, we are told that crowds of people came out to be baptized by him. As the crowds assembled John addressed them, "Generation of vipers, who warned you to flee from the coming wrath?" (Luke 3:7). The words of censure that John addressed to the crowds in Luke are addressed to the religious leaders in Matthew, "And when he beheld many of the Pharisees and Sadducees coming to his baptism he said to them, generation of vipers who warned you to flee from the coming wrath?" (3:7). From Luke's account one is left to conclude that the religious leaders did not seek repentance and baptism at John's hand. This conclusion is verified at Luke 7:29, "And the Pharisees and the lawyers rejected the will of God for themselves, not having been baptized by him [John]."

Understanding that Luke presents the Jewish leaders as rejecting God's will for them by refusing John's ministry, the variants in D's text strengthens this rejection and thus emphasizes the anti-Judaic sentiment at Luke 3:10, 12, 14. In the normal tradition of Luke $o\iota \ o\chi\lambda o\iota$ ("multitude"), $\tau\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu\alpha\iota$ ("publicans"), and $\sigma\tau\varrho\alpha\tau\epsilon\nuo\mu\epsilon\nuo\iota$ ("soldiers") are presented as coming to John, under the conviction of his preaching, and asking him, $\tau\iota \ \pi o\iota\eta\sigma\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$ ("What shall we do?").

To each group John gives appropriate counsel. In D's text, the question asked by each of the three groups is expanded to read, $\tau \iota \pi \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ("What shall we do that we might be saved?"). The rejection of God's will for the religious leaders now becomes a matter not only of rejecting John's ministry but of rejecting God's offer of salvation. Thus the religious leaders stand in sharp contrast to the general populace, even to the despised publicans, who sought salvation through John's ministry, and thus were obedient to God's will.

3. The Man With the Withered Hand

There are several intriguing changes in the next series of variants. Space forbids looking at them all here, even though some show an anti-Judaic bias. We will have to be content with examining three variants and leaving the rest for a future study.

Luke 6:9-11

Codex B

ειπεν δε ις προς αυτους
 επερωτω υμας ει εξεστι
 τω σαββατω αγαθοποιησαι
 η χαχοποιησαι ψυχην
 σωσαι η απολεσαι

Codex D

9. ειπεν δε ίης προς αυτους επερωτησω υμας ει εξεστιν τω σαββατω αγαθοποιησαι η κακοποιησαι ψυχην σωσαι η απολεσαι οι δε εσιωπων 10. χαι περιβλεψαμενοs παντας αυτους

> ειπεν αυτω εχτεινον την χειρα σου ο δε εποιησεν χαι απεχατεσταθη η χειρ αυτου

- αυτοι δε επλησθησαν ανοιας χαι διελαλουν προς αλληλους τι αν ποιησαιεν τω τυ
- 9. "And Jesus said to them I will ask you if it is lawful to do good on the Sabbath or to do evil, to save life or destroy?
- And when he had looked around upon them all he said to him Stretch out your hand and he did so and his hand was restored.
- And they were filled with anger and discussed with one another what they might do with Jesus."

v. 9

- και περιβλεψαμενος αυτους παντας εν οργη λεγει τω ανθρωπω εκταινον την χειρα σου και εξετεινεν και απεκατεσταθη η χειρ αυτου ως και η αλλη
- [5] και ελεγεν αυτοις οτι xs εστιν ο υιος του ανθρωπου και του σαββατου
- αυτοι δε επλησθησαν ανοιας και διελογισοντο προς αλληλους πως απολεσωσιν αυτον.
- "And Jesus said to them I will ask you if it is lawful to do good on the Sabbath or to do evil, to save life or destroy. And they were silent.
- 10. And when he had looked around upon them all in wrath he said to the man Stretch out your hand and he stretched it out and his hand was restored as the other.
- [5] And he said to them the Son of man is Lord also of the Sabbath.
- 11. And they were filled with anger and discussed with one another how they might destroy him."
- + οι δε εσιωπων post απολεσαι, D al Tatian
 v. 10
 + εν οργη post αυτους παντας, D Θ pm it Tatian ειπεν αυτω] λεγει τω ανθρωπω, D
 οδε εποιησεν] και εξετεινεν, 8 × . D pm
 + ως και η αλλη post αυτου, D al 1 131
 v. 5
 post v 10, D Marcion
 v. 11
 τι αν ποιησαιεν τω ū] πως απολεσωσιν αυτον, D

By adding or $\delta\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ("and they were silent") to v. 9 and $\epsilon \nu o \varrho \gamma \eta$ ("in wrath") to v. 10, D harmonizes Luke with Mark and intensifies the confrontation between Jesus and the religious leaders. D climaxes this intensified confrontation by a third harmonization with both Mark and Matthew; i.e., the leaders took counsel as to how they might destroy Jesus. By the first two harmonizations considered here, D increases the tension between Jesus and the leaders where Luke had softened the confrontation when compared with Mark. By the final harmonization with Matthew and Mark, D advances in point of time the plottings of the religious leaders to kill Jesus from 19:47 to 6:11 in Luke's tradition.

1. Conclusion

Through carefully placed variant readings, the Western text shows a decided anti-Judaic bias in Luke. This bias is seen more clearly in D than in other Western manuscripts, because D has a number of singular readings that are anti-Judaic in nature. In this study, the anti-Judaic biases are seen in: (1) the use of $\pi o \nu \eta \rho os$ ("evil")' (2) adding $\nu \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ("that we might be saved") to the question $\tau \iota \pi \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ("what shall we do") asked of John by the crowds, the publicans, and the soldiers, but not by the leaders; and (3) three harmonizations that intensify a sabbath confrontation between Jesus and the leaders and in Luke's tradition throws forward by thirteen chapters the plottings of the leaders to kill Jesus.