study of Genesis. Our thanks and congratulations are due the translator and the publishing house for bringing this notable work to the English-reading public.

Andrews University

William H. Shea


This book, a revision of Wiklander's 1983 Uppsala doctoral thesis, proposes "that Isaiah 2-4 is a structured and functional unit of discourse" (p. 248; cf. p. ix). Hereby, Wiklander distances himself from much recent scholarship which has generally found a collection of originally independent prophetic oracles in Isa 2-4.

To demonstrate this thesis, Wiklander undertakes a linguistic and rhetorical analysis, among whose characteristics the following receive special attention: reduction (looking at the text anew, without regard to previous interpretations); text-orientation (focusing upon the text from a variety of viewpoints); holistic approach (examining the parts of the text in light of the whole passage); synchronic analysis (viewing the text from a given point in time); and historical analysis (placing the text within a text-historical process of the literary work of Isaiah). By these approaches, he seeks to retrieve the "true" meaning of the text. "I shall try to grasp the capacity of meaning as it emerges in a distinct communicative setting. Thus, I shall proceed on the assumption—currently gaining ground in text linguistic research—that 'textual meaning' is a dynamic phenomenon and that 'texts' should be conceived as deriving their life from being integrated by the interpreter with dynamic socio-cultural and interactional processes" (p. 32).

How does such an analysis proceed, and what results does it yield when applied to Isa 2-4?

(1) Assuming this passage to be part of an anthology of Isaiah materials and to have made its first appearance in the period from 450-400 B.C., Wiklander examines its linguistic and rhetorical characteristics and looks for contemporary socio-cultural data from Israelite history having a bearing on the meaning of the text.

(2) Identifying certain "markers" (e.g., 21, 25, 31ff.) in the text, recognized by both its author and its recipients, enables Wiklander to outline the larger sections in Isa 2-4. When viewed in the context of Isa 1-5, syntactic links between its various sections, either anaphoric (looking back
upon the preceding) or cataphoric (looking forward to what follows), set
Isaiah 2-4 off from its larger context as possessing internal coherence.
Finally, the text has syntactic characteristics identifying it as "audience-
oriented," "argumentative-persuasive," and "oratorical."

(3) Turning to the semantic dimension of the text, Wiklander identifies
the covenant, understood as a treaty between sovereign and vassal, as the
dominant conceptual field. It gives coherence to the whole passage (Isa
2-4), and by virtue of the related field of lawsuit (rib), the passage again
shows itself as "audience-oriented," and "argumentative-persuasive," and
depends for its meaning upon the intended act of text reception.

(4) Reconstructing the argumentative situation (between author and re-
ceiver) by pointing to the political, national-ethic, socio-economic, moral,
religious-cultic aspects, Wiklander has identified the author’s stance vis-à-
vis his social support group (Jerusalem temple circles), its opposition
(foreign advisers and local supporters), and the most likely historical setting
for the text (Hezekiah’s time). Finally, the text genre suitable to achieve the
author’s communication intentions is identified as written composition
intended for oral performance, a "revelation text" in which the covenant law-
suit proceedings and the "prophetic vision report" are interfused (p. 215).
This genre is entitled: "restoration of the covenant by means of prophetic
revelation" (p. 219), and it is structured on the model of discourse de-
signed to make the audience “think, feel, remember, believe, decide and do”
(p. 227). By such a text production-reception, the audience is invited “to
become the true and faithful vassal or covenant partner of Yahweh”
(p. 228).

Reading prophecy as literature in this way enables the interpreter to
ask new questions about the text and to carry away new, fresh answers.
That would seem to be the chief contribution of the present work. However,
not all questions about the book of Isaiah are answered equally well, so it
seems; and some new ones arise. Thus, while some questions about the
literary composition of Isaiah are addressed in a helpful way (e.g., the
coherent unity of Isa 2-4), others are not (such as, the question of the
composition of the whole book, its relationship to other prophetic books
[e.g., Micah], and its place in Israel’s history). Finally, from a theological
perspective, some readers might wonder if the present sharp focus upon
the literary characteristics of the passage will not raise the same theological
questions, vis-à-vis inspiration, divine revelation and authority, that have
been raised by other approaches to the prophets, such as form, tradition,
and redaction analysis. But these cautions do not diminish the insights
offered in this interesting study.
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