The Path to Redemptive Discipline
ne morning, I was seeking a new experience for my exercise routine. I had heard of the trail, cutting through blackberry thickets and upward through manzanita and ponderosa pine. The most difficult section was said to be a long, steep climb known as “Cardiac Hill.”

Fortunately, the trail was well-marked, and the early section an easy uphill slope. I rounded a bend and there, on a weathered post with an ominous tilt, I spotted the sign. Perhaps it had been carved by someone in a whimsical mood, or maybe it was a Freudian slip. But the sign read “Trial.”

What did I learn? To be certain, not all trails lead easily to one’s destination. Along many of the paths of life, we encounter a variety of challenges. The way in which we view these trials, however, may determine whether or not we reach our destination.

Of all the paths of experience, one of the most difficult seems to be that of discipline. This article attempts to provide a signpost that points the way toward a Christian view of discipline.

**Discipline, a Problem?**

Findings from the Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup poll indicate that discipline, or the lack thereof, is one of the greatest challenges facing public schools in the United States. This has a dramatic effect on education. Studies indicate that 14 percent or more of public school teachers in the United States leave the profession after their first year, with almost half of beginning teachers exiting within the first five years. Of those who drop out, significant proportions do so because of classroom management or discipline problems.

Even students recognize that their teachers’ approach to discipline has a significant relationship to their effectiveness in the classroom. Across a variety of settings, young people agreed that their worst teachers were those who were either coercive or soft on discipline, while their best teachers were those who were both demanding and caring.

This issue of discipline is not unique to the modern era. History reveals that parents and teachers have long searched for solutions to student misbehavior. Rousseau, for example, an early theorist of adolescence, observed that a young person can be “almost ungovernable.” From the Old Testament comes the query, “How shall we order the child, and what shall we do unto him?”

**What Is Discipline?**

Discipline can be viewed from two opposing perspectives. The first is that of *punishment*. For many, in fact, punishment and discipline are synonymous. The focus is on external behavior—primarily in the areas of symptoms and control. Discipline deteriorates into a conflict, with teachers and students engaging in a battle of wills.

Discipline, however, is not simply the act of punishing sinners, correcting wrongs, or even preventing naughtiness. In the school setting, true discipline endeavors to help students internalize biblical principles and achieve the abundant life that God has promised.

This takes us to the second perspective, that of *instruction*. Here discipline is seen as a journey on which young people are nurtured and where character is formed. Teachers view their roles as developing potential and helping young people to successfully navigate turbulent waters. In this model, discipline is taught, rather than merely administered.

In its broadest sense, discipline is a tangible expression of love. It involves all that educators are and do, not so much *to* the child, but *for* the child. It becomes a process whereby they
guide development and destiny, helping young people grow into the fullness of the person that God wants them to be.

In essence, Christian discipline is “disciple-ing,” the winning of thoughtful loyalty to right principles. Jesus called a number of men to be disciples, individuals who, along with strengths and talents, had perverse habits, distorted temperaments, and selfish pride. Christ took them as they were, and through example, encouragement, and loving correction shaped them into committed, God-centered persons who, in turn, transformed their world.8

**What’s Our Aim?**

A century ago, Ellen White wrote, “The object of discipline is the training of the child for self-government. He should be taught self-reliance and self-control.”9 Consequently, discipline should focus on internal rather than external restraint, on en-
While teachers and administrators do possess authority, they must judiciously assert their power, keeping in mind the eternal welfare of their students.

The Authoritarian Approach

In 1831, Jacob Abbott, in an address before the American Institute of Instruction, advocated that students should be subject to the will of their teachers, who were obligated to rigorously enforce obedience. We have surely come a long way from that philosophy... or have we? Authoritarian discipline may be described as autocratic—demanding, commanding, and restrictive. It seeks to achieve control and to compel compliance. Little attention is given to the needs of the student, who finds himself or herself forced to conform: “You obey or else!” The authoritarian adult relies heavily on punishment.

Early research conducted by Lippitt and White analyzed the behavior of young boys under an authoritarian system. They found that the youngsters’ response was either cringing submission or blatant aggression. Furthermore, the boys worked effectively only when the leader was present.

The deficiencies of this approach soon become evident. While an authoritarian teacher may produce well-drilled students, when they grow into adulthood, such rigidly controlled people often lack the ability to decide or act for themselves. They are unprepared for life and often flounder along to the wrong destination.

The teacher who seeks to develop his or her students’ capacity for self-government must not shatter their will or dwarf their decision-making capacities. To do so defeats the goal of Christian discipline. These individuals will subsequently fall easy prey to the coercive power of people with ulterior motives.

Character must be guided, but not rigidly confined. In the Middle Ages, St. Anselm said it well: “If you were to plant a tree in your garden, and were to enclose it on all sides so that it could not extend its branches, what would you find when, at the end of several years, you set it free from its bands? A tree whose branches would be bent and crooked; and would it not be your fault, for having so unreasonably confined it?”

The Creator did not intend the human spirit to be splintered and scarred. A crushed or battered spirit reacts with distrust and evasiveness, and may harbor a burning hatred for authority.

“But we are only trying to protect our students from evil influences because we love them,” educators may protest. Over-control is very subtle. It creeps in when adults over-protect, react with rigidity, or overuse corrective measures without showing tender regard for students and attempting to guide them toward self-government.

Over-control is dangerous. Ellen White notes, “If you wish to ruin your family [or classroom, we might add], continue to govern by brute force and you will surely succeed.” While teachers and administrators do possess authority, they must judiciously assert their power, keeping in mind the eternal welfare of their students. Without love, authority develops into oppression.

How should the educator use his or her authority? To guide, mentor, and develop. Every true teacher will feel that should he err at all, “it is better to err on the side of mercy than on the side of severity.”

The Permissive Perspective

Permissive discipline is not so much a method of discipline as the lack thereof. James Dobson notes that perhaps the greatest social disaster of the 20th century was the belief that abundant love makes discipline unnecessary.

This concept, however, is foreign to God Himself. The Bible reveals that God loves human beings with a love that is greater than death, and yet those whom He loves He corrects. In His infinite wisdom, God understands that human beings, unless they have a sanctified will, inevitably choose a sinful path.

Permissiveness is based upon a false concept of freedom and love. Not wanting to make students feel restrained, teachers instead make them miserable. They allow students to carve out a self-centered life, which inevitably bears fruit in restlessness and discontentment. Students need boundaries. They search for order and appreciate justice. The chaos of permissive discipline is difficult for young people to understand, and its lack of limits
students who experience inconsistent discipline never know what is expected of them. They become convinced that it is senseless to even try to follow the rules. Perhaps, even more tragically, the adult’s inconsistencies provide no moral frame of reference.

love. Furthermore, when teachers “make deals” in order to “gain control,” the results are only temporary.

How does permissiveness align with the goal of self-government? Like the child under coercive discipline, the indulged youngster has little opportunity to learn how to make right decisions and fails to develop the bedrock of personal morality. Adult forbearance has degenerated into tolerance of the young person’s deficiencies. Whatever is, is right, or at least tolerable. This failure to instruct actually constitutes a form of child abuse.27

In summary, permissive discipline is the downward path of least resistance, a trail whose end causes great damage to the child’s character, self-worth, and future.

The Bombastic Barrage

The bombastic method of discipline rains down a torrent of angry words and threats. Sometimes dramatic action follows, but more often than not, it only stimulates a new deluge of empty threats. The students become so accustomed to the noise that they ignore the teacher’s threats. The teacher must then work up to a screaming frenzy in order to produce any reaction, since he or she can never count on instant obedience. Many children have been reared on this “thunder and lightning” approach to discipline. Nothing, however, grows in a lava bed fueled by violent eruptions. To discipline while overcome by rage is actually a type of over-control, which quickly degenerates into permissiveness. The undesirable behavior is not remedied, only scattered and multiplied.

Students who experience inconsistent discipline never know what is expected of them. They become convinced that it is senseless to even try to follow the rules.28 Perhaps, even more tragically, the adult’s inconsistencies provide no moral frame of reference. Young people need the guidance, peace, and security that come from adult predictability.

Ellen White observed, “Sharp words and continual censure bewilder the child, but do not reform him.”29 Educators must not make rash statements before truly understanding a situation. It is altogether too easy to pronounce threats which one cannot or would not want to carry out.30 Avoid threats. Act! But act with intentionality and sensitivity. When you feel inclined to be passionate, be compassionate. There is a difference.

A Democratic Dimension

If discipline is to train for self-government, it must incorporate democratic elements. In many respects, democratic discipline is superior to the approaches that we have discussed above. In the classic study noted earlier,31 boys under an authoritarian system worked actively only when the leader was present. When supervised by a democratic leader, however, the boys displayed cooperation and enhanced productivity, even when the leader was not physically present.

The democratic method is based on internal controls. This requires that the principles that govern life be explained to the young person in such a way that their logic and the interplay of cause and effect are clearly seen. This will enable students to identify positive values and use them to make personal decisions.

In the democratic system, students can help to establish the rules of the classroom.32 This makes them participants in governance and decision-making. They begin to recognize and accept the consequences of their behavior, and thus assume responsibility for their lives.

Democratic discipline seeks to make obedience attainable. This may require adjusting the requirements to the student’s ability. Acceptable performance and right action are placed within the realm of possibility, and the child’s self-esteem is enhanced as the teacher provides him or her with opportunities for success.

While the democratic view is one of the more favorable in secular settings, there is an added perspective within Christian education—that of redemption, with transforms the school’s approach to discipline.
A comprehensive passage appears in Hebrews 12:5-13, from which we can glean a number of key concepts:

- **Love motivates true discipline** (vss. 5, 6). Even when students are corrected, they must sense that the penalty has been imposed because they are loved.
- **Discipline is based on relationship** (vss. 7, 8). It is a declaration of belonging, an evidence of concern and caring.
- **Discipline must incorporate respect** (vss. 9). It should teach respect for others, for self, and for those in authority. Respect, however, must be mutual. Adults cannot expect a young person to treat others with dignity unless they model this virtue themselves.
- **Discipline has a high purpose** (vss. 10). It helps to prepare the student for godliness, for God-likeness.
- **Discipline is forward-looking** (vss. 11, 12). It takes eternity into account.
- **Discipline establishes clear boundaries and expectations** (vss. 12, 13). At the same time, it is also compassionate—nurturing, remediation, and strengthening.

Other principles of redemptive discipline may be found throughout Scripture. These reveal that discipline:

- **Functions within a context of love:** “Those who love their children care enough to discipline them” (Proverbs 13:24 and 3:12; Revelation 3:19);
- **Involves self-government:** “The grace of God... teaches us to say ‘No’ to ungodliness and worldly passions, and to live self-controlled, upright and godly lives in this present age” (Titus 2:11-12, NIV; also Philippians 2:12, 2 Timothy 1:7, and Titus 1:8);
- **Is time-sensitive:** “Discipline your children while there is hope. Otherwise, you will ruin their lives” (Proverbs 19:18);
- **Is personalized:** “On some have compassion, making a distinction; but others save with fear, pulling them out of the fire” (Jude 24, 24, NKJV);
- **Relates to one’s self-image:** “He who ignores discipline despises himself” (Proverbs 15:32);
- **Is centered on learning:** “To discipline a child produces wisdom” (Proverbs 29:15; also Psalm 94:12 and Proverbs 1:1-7, 12:1, 15:5);
- **Seeks long-term results:** “No discipline is enjoyable while it is happening—it’s painful! But afterward there will be a peaceful harvest of right living for those who are trained in this way” (Hebrews 12:11; also Proverbs 22:6); and
- **Focuses on destiny:** “Corrective discipline is the way to life” (Proverbs 6:23; also 5:23 and 10:17).

---

### A Biblical Foundation

Redemptive discipline is founded on biblical principles. Proverbs, for example, includes at least 20 passages about discipline.6 Depending on the translation, the terms **to discipline, to correct, to nurture, and to instruct** are often used interchangeably. This is fitting, considering the context of the original language, as well as the perspective in which discipline and instruction are elements of the total educational process.47

---

### God Demonstrates a Method

Children and youth learn about God’s character by observing its reflection in those with whom they associate. As educators, we need to understand how God disciplines, and then illustrate through our interactions the divine model of discipline.

God does not compromise with evil, yet He loves the sinner. He becomes intimately involved with humankind, taking the initiative to bridge the gap between Him and us. His guidance and reproof are tangible expressions of that love—“I correct and discipline everyone I love.” God gives us the freedom to make mistakes; and then transforms our failures into learning experiences. He does not abandon us if we choose unwise. He is the “Great Recoverer.”

God focuses on transformation, rather than censure and condemnation. On one occasion, the Jews brought to Jesus a woman taken in adultery, urging that she be stoned. After addressing the accusers’ hypocrisy, Jesus spoke to the woman, “Neither do I condemn you.” He then added, “Go now and leave your life of sin.” Similarly, in conversation with Nicodemus, Jesus clarified, “God did not send his Son into the world to condemn the world, but to save the world through him.”

God demonstrates a method, rather than a censure and condemnation. On one occasion, the Jews brought to Jesus a woman taken in adultery, urging that she be stoned. After addressing the accusers’ hypocrisy, Jesus spoke to the woman, “Neither do I condemn you.” He then added, “Go now and leave your life of sin.” Similarly, in conversation with Nicodemus, Jesus clarified, “God did not send his Son into the world to condemn the world, but to save the world through him.”

God sees us, not as we are, but as we can become, by His grace. He does not give up on us when the results are not immediate. Indeed, He runs the risk of “looking bad,” while we struggle to accept His power to become inwardly good. He trusts the untrustworthy, and keeps on doing so. There is a higher goal to God’s method that outweighs any risk. By allowing us the freedom of choice, God provides the foundation for obedience based on love, which is itself the expression of self-government.

God combines discipline and instruction. “Joyful are those you discipline, Lord, those you teach with your instructions.” He also praises and encourages. Of Job He said, “Have you noticed my servant Job? He is the finest man in all the earth.” God’s purpose is to bring about good. “God disciplines us for our good, that we may share in his holiness.” “Blessed is the one whom God corrects.”
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The Redemptive Remedy

Redemptive discipline is a joint venture with God. It seeks to help students know God more deeply, understand more clearly His design for their lives, and personally experience salvation. It involves not merely desire a change in behavior, but also in priorities and in allegiance—a life redirected toward God.

In redemptive discipline, it is God who changes the heart. Through the guidance of the Holy Spirit, human beings may be restored to the image of their Maker. Teachers provide a Spirit-filled environment in order that their students may know God experientially. As representatives of God’s character, they seek to make tangible His love and plan of salvation. As a result, young people are prepared for loyal self-government, both on earth and in heavenly places.

In a redemptive approach, discipline and love are not antagonistic. One is but a function of the other. God knows that the absence of either love or justice will quickly bring disaster. Authority and affection must be blended. Justice must be tempered with mercy and compassion.

Love is, in fact, central to redemption. When teachers mirror God’s love, they will give of themselves and unconditionally love the unlovely. The child who feels thus loved will live a secure and hope-filled life.

Redemptive discipline is distinct from the other methods discussed in this article. When compared with the authoritarian approach, for example, the redemptive adult exerts influence in place of coercion. Cooperation is won rather than demanded. Instead of a confrontational “You do as I say!”, the teacher says, “Let’s do it together.” Instead of defending fragile adult prestige, he or she reflects upon what the situation requires.

Redemption takes a whole-person approach and thus shapes the emotional and spiritual attitudes of the child, in addition to the cognitive and behavioral. It cultivates the affections and fosters trust, cooperation, and compassion.

Even when a young person’s behavior is unacceptable, adults must not make him or her feel rejected. At these times, through their actions, teachers must convey the message, “I love you too much to allow you to destroy yourself and others.” This preserves the student’s self-respect and revitalizes his or her courage. If the teacher traces the problem from cause to effect, this will enable the child to identify the root of the problem and predict future difficulties. While calling sin by its right name, the teacher must not confuse the sinner with the sin. When God disciplines His children, He does not banish them, but draws them closer to Himself. These students must be directed to God as the Source of pardon and help in overcoming destructive habits.

Discipline that focuses only on eradicating evil is doomed to fail. It may make a person look good, but, in reality, be good for nothing. The ultimate purpose of discipline is discipleship.
discipline not only guards, but guides. It overcomes evil with good. Consequently, discipline focuses on positive development. The truly disciplined individual is not one who only knows what not to do, but who receives instruction and practices positive behavior.

Consequently, redemptive discipline focuses on positive observations—commendation, encouragement, and praise. While avoiding flattery, it liberally affirms positive attitudes and behaviors: “Well done, good and faithful servant!” This perspective is biblical. Jesus, for example, commended Mary for having chosen the “good part.” He recognized the widow who gave an offering of two small coins, the woman who timidly touched His garment, and the centurion who exclaimed, “Speak the word only.” He praised the persistent widow, the tax collector who felt his unworthiness, the selfless service of the Good Samaritan, and the ministry of John the Baptist. Each one, a convincing testimony to the role of affirmation.

As teachers seek to redeem, they must exercise tact and tenderness, respecting students’ feelings and developmental needs, and providing well-established boundaries and active guidance. By establishing a trust relationship, they will be able to reclaim through kindness and love. Embedded in every reproof should be the positive message “that you may grow.”

To Arrive

Redemptive discipline is not an event, but a process. As teachers set out on this journey, they must have a definite purpose in mind: “May our sons flourish in their youth like well-nurtured plants. May our daughters be like graceful pillars, carved to beauty a palace.” Christian educators must focus on eternal results—seeking to raise up a generation of young people who will exemplify the character of their Lord and Savior, both now and throughout eternity.

Not all trails lead us to this destination. The route may not be easy, but only God’s pathway can ensure success. I invite you to partner with God in the ministry of redemptive discipline and thereby transform lives through redemptive love.

By the way, when I reached the top of the ridge and glimpsed the snow-clad Sierras, I learned one more lesson—the destination can be worth it all.
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