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The sinner’s plight

The article, “The Sinner’s Plight in Romans 7,” (May 2008) by Richard Rice, is truly an excellent article about a chapter in the Bible that has been quite controversial. He clarifies the issues so that one can easily understand what is at stake.

I also recommend Paul Myers’s article “The Worm at the Core of the Apple,” which is also an article about Romans 7:7–25, be read in conjunction with this article. It can be found in the book The Conversation Continues: Studies in Paul and John (In Honor of J. Louis Martyn), Robert T. Fortna and Beverly R. Gaventa, eds. (Nashville, TN: Abington Press, 1990), 62–84. Both of these articles have insights that make Romans 7 understandable and meaningful for a world that is influenced by postmodernism.

—Rollin Shoemaker, email

I just finished reading Richard Rice’s article in the May 2008 Ministry, and I must say that I appreciate his comments regarding the power of sin: “Sin is much more than the moral failure of an individual; it is an active and enslaving power” (emphasis added).

It is only the power of God made available to us at the cross that can free us from being enslaved by the carnal mind of sin. As I read the article, I kept searching for where Dr. Rice was going. In his conclusion he suggests that there is only “frustration from an exegetical standpoint.” But he adds, “Jesus Christ is our only hope.” But throughout the article there is no encouragement that we can be more than vacillating, carnal Christians.

I am grateful that Paul says, “ ‘I have been crucified with Christ; it is no longer I who live, but Christ lives in me; and the life I now live in the flesh I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me and gave Himself for me,’” (Gal. 2:20, [NKJV]). For me, it is not about perfection, it is not about being sinless, but it is about fixing my eyes on the Lord Jesus Christ, fixing my thoughts on Him, clinging to Him.

As long as we are in this world, the enemy will continue to stalk us. But as long as we continue to abide in Christ, he is powerless!

—S. C. Kack, email

In reflecting upon Richard Rice’s article, my first experience with Romans 7 came in 1951 after my baptism. After a year of struggle I approached a young minister who I felt would understand an 11-year-old boy. He listened, while trying to hide a smile, as I explained my inability to stop fighting with my older, bossy, big sisters.

His entire answer, while patting me on the shoulder, was “Don’t worry about it. The apostle Paul had the same problem!” He then quoted the famous words from Romans 7 and left me standing there, confused.

That and other episodes in my life have shaped my understanding of this portion of Paul’s letter to the Romans. As a pastor what I have explained on the radio, from the pulpit, and in writing, is simply this: Romans 6 tells us what the new life is like, Romans 7 acknowledges our problem if we step outside of Christ for even an instant, and Romans 8 gives us the wonderful answer!

I hope that none of my fellow pastors will make the same mistake that young pastor made so many years ago. It’s too costly!

—Mel Phillips, pastor, Gila Mountain Seventh-day Adventist Church, Yuma, Arizona, United States

Ministry to the grieving

Your two-part series, “Assisting Parishioners Through Grief” (March, May 2008) was an act of ministry to me. Even though I have not had to experience much grief in my life, it ministered to me in areas of loss other than death. I came to see all loss involves grieving and the need to express it. It brought me back to my days as a CPE [Clinical Pastoral Education] student at Kettering Medical Center. Thank you for a moving, touching, and personal ministry through the written word.

—Rev. Victor Buksbazen, PhD, ThD, MHP, Spokane, Washington, United States

Visiting church members

Thank you for the excellent article in the May 2008 Ministry by Errol A. Lawrence on “Building Relationships Through Pastoral Visitation.” It is so important for us to realize that when we have finished our sermon on Sabbath morning our work has just begun.

It took me back to the very beginning of my ministry when I was placed with a pastor that believed in the visitation of his church members. He took me with him when he visited church members in their homes, when he visited those who were interested in our church, and

Continued on page 9
An urgent appeal: Our neighbors need you

Nikolaus Satelmajer

More than 75,000 men, women, and children were killed when a killer cyclone flattened parts of Myanmar (Burma) on May 2 with more than 55,000 still missing and presumed dead. Another series of devastating earthquakes demolished parts of China less than two weeks later, killing more than 70,000. Almost 20,000 are still missing and presumed dead. Thousands upon thousands of others have been left homeless and injured while experiencing unremitting grief because of the death of family members. In addition to the human losses, both countries have experienced loss of agricultural fields, factories, highways, schools, and churches. And yet somehow the survivors find reason to move forward in spite of their losses.

I'm writing this just a few days after returning from China as I feel sorrow and anguish because of the challenges these people face. While in China I listened to religious leaders explain the large numbers of places of worship that have been either totally destroyed or made unsafe for worship. Fortunately, both countries are receiving aid from governments and various nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and perhaps you are involved through your church's development or disaster organization in assisting the relief efforts. However, most of these governments or organizations will be addressing health, transportation, housing, and school needs. While there, I observed that the people in China continue to respond from their hearts to help the victims—in several of the cities I saw collection boxes for donations.

As my wife and I were returning to the United States, we had many hours to think about what happened in those two countries. Besides the personal losses, we grieved as we thought about the many houses of worship that have been demolished and the people who desperately want to again gather to worship their Lord. As we discussed the situation there, my wife and I felt that we must do something, personally, for the rebuilding of these churches. As we discussed the specific amount of our donation, I asked myself, Is there not something that the readers of Ministry, including pastors, professors, chaplains, or priests, would be willing to do either personally or corporately or both? You know, of course, that we do not, as a rule, participate in any major fund-raising efforts initiated through the journal. But these two events are extraordinary, and I am asking you to consider helping out either individually or from your organization. Surely our readers around the world will simultaneously share the blessings they have received from God to help rebuild these countless destroyed churches.

The entire Ministry publishing editorial team has, grateful for the opportunity, promised to participate—and we invite you to join us. Will you talk to your church, hospital, seminary, parish, conference, diocese, whatever organization you are affiliated with, and ask what you and they can do to help our brothers and sisters in those two countries? In China, church buildings become worship places for several congregations representing various denominational persuasions. All funds that are received will be distributed there by the appropriate channels so that what you give will bless many. These donations will be used in China and Myanmar (Burma) for the rebuilding of worship sites. One hundred percent of the funds will go there with no funds held back for fund-raising costs or processing, and we will let you know, through Ministry, just how much we will be able to share with these two countries.

When Jesus comes, He will say, “Come, you who are blessed by my Father; take your inheritance, the kingdom prepared for you. . . .” (Matt. 25:34, NIV). As we share that heavenly inheritance now with those who are anxious to again worship Him in an appointed church building, we figuratively put our funds in the hands of our Savior, Jesus Christ who has the power to multiply our gift again and again.

So, instead of just watching the news reports and thinking of how badly we feel about the devastation, let’s do something concrete about it. Won’t you join us?
Editor’s note: This article addresses a critical issue from the perspective of the Seventh-day Adventist Church and primarily in the context of North America. We believe, though, that similar challenges exist in other denominations and in other parts of the world.

I first learned the term, the bystander effect, in my undergraduate social psychology class. Wikipedia defines it as “a psychological phenomenon in which someone is less likely to intervene in an emergency situation when other people are present and able to help than when he or she is alone.” The article references a variety of horrific incidences in which dozens of bystanders “stood by” and did nothing as homicides occurred before their eyes.

I am still appalled by the bystander effect, but in another way. I found myself perplexed by how it may be impacting the church, allowing us to “stand by” and do nothing as a whole generation disappears from our ranks.

Disengaging, disenfranchised, and disappearing

In light of his landmark study of Adventist adolescents, Roger Dudley of the Institute of Church Ministry at Andrews University noted, “It seems reasonable to believe that at least 40 to 50 percent of Seventh-day Adventist teenagers in North America are essentially leaving the church by their middle 20s. This figure may well be higher.”

“This is a hemorrhage of epic proportions,” warned Dudley and he suggested that, “the decline in membership of many mainline Protestant churches has been shown to be largely traceable to the shortage of young adults in the congregations.” Demographer George Barna noted that across Christianity, “the most potent data regarding disengagement is that a majority of twentysomethings—61% of today’s young adults—had been churched at one time during their teen years but they are now spiritually disengaged (i.e., not actively attending church, reading the Bible, or praying).”

David Kinnaman of the Barna Group elaborated, “The current state of ministry to twentysomethings is woefully inadequate to address the spiritual needs of millions of young adults. These individuals are making significant life choices and determining the patterns and preferences of their spiritual reality while churches wait, generally in vain, for them to return after college or when the kids come.”

This disengagement threatens the Seventh-day Adventist Church. The president of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in North America (NAD), Don Schneider, said, “We must [also] concentrate on the young adults of our Church... young people need to become more fully integrated into the Church... Is there some way of allowing young people to worship in a way that is meaningful while making it safe for them to do so? Young adults must be heard at leadership levels, and their feelings must be given validity.”

Paul Richardson of the Center for Creative Ministry, with headquarters in College Place, Washington, United States, reported that the median age for the Seventh-day Adventist community in North America, “including the un-baptized children in church families, is 58... Among native-born White and Black members the median age is even higher.” The frightening implications of this figure are seen when that median age, 58, is compared to the median ages of the United States and Canada respectively—which are 36 and 37!

These trends are serious. There are more than 1,000 local churches (out of a total of about 5,500) in the Seventh-day Adventist Church in North America that have no children or teens at all. Fewer and fewer congregations have enough teens, young adults, or even young couples to provide “the critical mass necessary to conduct a youth group and other activities that have always been the life beat of Adventist churches.”

The departure of young adults from the local faith community has not gone unnoticed by the Seventh-day Adventist Church General
Conference president, Jan Paulsen, who has over the past several years entered into broadcasted conversations with this younger generation (http://letstalk.adventist.org). Paulsen noted: “They [young adults] have perspectives, they have hopes, they have dreams, and they have visions for the church which need to be considered seriously. If we don’t, they will feel disenfranchised, as many of them already do.”

This trend would not be as perplexing if we have promptly identified it and addressed it with all the immediacy it deserves. Yet, the fact is, we haven’t.

Over a decade ago in research on Generation X, I found comparable statistics of young adults disappearing from local faith life. Dudley’s research reflects more than three decades of scrutiny regarding the departure of new generations from the church. His book, Why Teenagers Leave Religion, was published back in 1978.

Beyond the statistical analysis, most of us know young people who have parted ways with our church. Many could exchange stories of peers or grown children and grandchildren who no longer participate in Adventism. We’ve known that young adults have been leaving our church for some time now and have been nothing but bystanders. Hence, the terrible “bystander effect.”

Why they leave

The first question is, Why do they leave? Dudley found that young adult perceptions of the quality of relationship with religious authority figures played a significant role in their departure. Leadership across Adventism concurred, stating that the reasons most frequently cited by persons who leave local church fellowship are found “in the realm of relationships, the absence of a sense of belonging, and the lack of meaningful engagement in the local congregation and its mission.”

Young adult Kimberly Luste Maran noted that “too often the negative words and actions of more mature church members push the younger set to feelings of anger, resentment, bitterness, and fear... Satan will employ any methods, including the use of church members, to tear us away from our loving Father.”

As part of the Let’s Talk broadcasts hosted by Pastor Paulsen, 25-year-old Kadene said, “I think the best thing that church leaders can do for the youth of our church is get acquainted with them. Too often, church leaders sit on their high horses and judge our youth without having the slightest idea of what they are going through.”

Paulsen added, “We [church leaders] need to hear and understand what they [young adults] are saying, for it comes across clearly and strongly from those who are under thirty in our church. The point they are making is this: being included, being trusted, being considered responsible, for elders to be prepared to take some risk with inexperience, are sentiments and attitudes which senior leadership must be willing to show, or we are gone! We are gone simply because we have no ownership responsibility in the life of this church.”

Robert Wuthnow, professor of sociology at Princeton University, noted various trends that are impacting young adults and contributing to the fading American religious landscape. “My view is that congregations can survive, but only if religious leaders roll up their sleeves and pay considerably more attention to young adults than they have been.”

Why are young adults leaving? Although the responses may be as diverse and personal as each young adult, clearly the lack of mutually valued relationships that engender trust and shared support have left both parties, young adults and Seventh-day Adventism, at risk of going under.

Pointing out heroes

So what is the solution? The Wikipedia article on “the bystander effect” made a fascinating recommendation. “To counter the bystander effect when you are the victim, a studied recommendation is to pick a specific person in the crowd to appeal to for help rather than appealing to the larger group generally. If you are the only person reacting to an emergency, point directly to a specific bystander and give them a specific task such as, ‘You. Call the police.’ These steps place all responsibility on a specific person instead of allowing it to diffuse.”

To burst through the bystander effect, I am pointing TO YOU as a potential hero in the lives of young adults:

Parents. During the important transitional years of young adulthood, you play a vital role not only in the life of your child but also their sphere of friends. Make your home and your presence one that engenders hospitality, safety, and wisdom.

Connie Vandeman Jeffery shared a simple formula of food, friendship, and follow-up that made her home a safe harbor for young adults. If you are an adult without grown children in your home or don’t have children of your own, make the simple effort of building an authentic relationship with a twenty-something. It’s as simple as a lunch invitation, for starters.

Pastors. If the statistics are correct, your influence and impact on the climate of your church is desperately needed. Setting the culture of young adult inclusion is heavily dependent upon your vision and leadership.

Bill Bossert described how his dying church recognized their fate and took heroic steps to turn the tide. With careful self-analysis, practical research, and courageous yet inclusive change steps, the Shepherd’s House reversed the attrition tide, resulting in a 60 percent increase of young adults in their church. Change does not come without challenges and discomfort, but in order to break through the bystander effect, pastors need to be heroic so as to inspire their congregations to be likewise.

Professors/Teachers. There is a profound influence that educators have in the lives of young adults. Beyond academic or professional prowess, you are called upon to invest in young adult spiritual development as well.

While teaching at Spicer Memorial College, Falvo Fowler found that his simple initiative to start a Sabbath School with his students made a profound impact on what was once a “nominal” Adventist experience in the lives of many students.

Jimmy Phillips noted the “invisible majority” of coeds are in schools outside of our Adventist system, and I suspect many Adventists are among their faculty and staff. Thousands of Adventist young
If these words describe your Sabbath School classes, it’s time for some new techniques.

*LEAD* magazine’s Sabbath School Certification manuals will help you build a more vibrant and interactive Sabbath School.

**Certification 1**—Provides you with principles and sound biblical research, as well as insights gleaned from university-level religious education methods and personal experience to incorporate into your Sabbath School.

**Certification 2**—Helps you master the necessary interpersonal skills to get your Sabbath School class actively involved in the classroom, in fellowship, in the community, and in world mission.

To order your Certification manuals, call us at 301-393-4095 Monday-Thursday, 8:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m., or visit us online at www.leadmagazine.org.
adults will benefit from your efforts to collaborate with local churches and your respective college to establish student groups, faith fellowships, and discipleship communities.24 Adventist Christian Fellowship (http://www.acflink.org) is a great resource to support your initiative. Your advisement and mentoring are keys to battling the bystander effect, so rampant on these campuses and in the churches adjacent to these colleges and universities. The journal Dialogue, published by the Education Department of the Seventh-day Adventist Church,25 is also another great resource.

Leaders. Vision-casting leaders offer momentum towards constructive change. You are pivotal heroes with the ability to rally the crowd to action.

Mike Cauley, president of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in Florida, challenged his constituency, “Do we care enough to learn the language of kids [young adults]? I’m as serious as a heart attack. We have a broken world. We have a society of Millennials [young adults] who are hungry for the gospel, and we aren’t cutting it. . . . But I’m going to be asking them [Conference Executive Committee] to begin to plant churches to reach kids under 25. I’m going to be asking them to help us figure out how to become churches in the biblical, New Testament sense. . . . Somehow we have got to bring those kids, not to a place of entertainment, but to be fully committed disciples. . . . We need to give them the Church.”26

Not only your endorsement, but also your conspicuous actions27 as a leader will serve as a catalyst to transform young adult attrition statistics into retention trends.

Peers. There are stellar young adults who have not only remained in the church but are faith activists.28 You are among the most influential and powerful—not only in taking heroic action with your drifting peers but also in rejuvenating Adventism and fostering a movement that will draw new generations.

“Many of the Adventist pioneers first began their work when they were teenagers. Pioneers such as Ellen Harmon White, John Loughborough, J. N. Andrews, Uriah Smith, and John Harvey Kellogg were teenagers and young adults when they began making an impact in the Seventh-day Adventist Church. They were young, vibrant, and on fire for God!”29 Other denominations also were started by youthful leaders.

In fact, it was the same age group (young adults) that was passionate about the early Adventist movement. “J.N. Andrews was 22 when he started on the publishing committee. 22! He was a kid. . . . Uriah Smith was 21 when he joined the publishing work, and James White was 21 years old when he came upon the scene and began to preach the Advent doctrine.”30

We need a movement of that caliber right now. Those heroes from our Adventist heritage took valiant steps to save a drowning world. Today, young Adventists are just as essential in the embrace and encouragement of their peers. Peers, as well as parents, pastors, professors, and presidents must build restorative relationships with young adults.31

Bystanders no longer

If the principles of social psychology hold true, you may have come to the end of this article and are now saying to yourself, That’s a fine article. I’m glad that the issue of young adults leaving the church is being addressed. It’s good that someone is doing something about it.

That sentiment is the tragic reality of “the bystander effect.” It’s a phenomenon that has already seen generations of young Adventists fall away, while potential heroes have been spectators. We must no longer be bystanders. So I am pointing you out. If you’ve read to this point, I am pointing at you: take a step today to begin an authentic relationship with a young adult. Become a mentor. Have lunch with them. Listen carefully. Open your home. Offer your heart. There are as many options as there are young adults. Start with one action with one young adult today.32

We’re horrified when we hear stories of “the bystander effect” when someone is being murdered. Yet, what are we doing when we stand by and do nothing when young people, perhaps right in front of our eyes, are leaving us and, as so often is the case, leaving the Lord who died for them? M

2 Roger L. Dudley, Why Our Teenagers Leave the Church: Personal Stories From a 10-Year Study (Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 2000), 35.
3 Ibid., 22.
5 Ibid., paragraph 8.
6 North American Division, “2005 Year-end Meeting #3,” Friday Fax [Electronic Newsletter], November 1, 2005, paragraph 6.
7 Center for Creative Ministry, INNOVATIONewsletter, 12/19 [Electronic Newsletter], November 11, 2006.
8 Ibid., paragraph 2.
18 North American Division Family Ministries, http://adventistfamilyministries.com/, offers an array of resources and support to parents in the disciplining of new generations.
20 Bill Bossert outlined the steps his congregation took at http://adventistreview.org/article.php?id=1300 offering further specificity to their strategy.
22 Falvo Fowler, “Real,” in Adventist Review, July 18,


24 Ron Pickell, North American Division Adventist Christian Fellowship Coordinator, offers some insights as to what college students are looking for in a church at http://www.adventistreview.org/article.php?id=1372.

25 For more information, go to http://education.gc.adventist.org.


27 It is conspicuous actions of leadership that reveal their most important values. A couple of local conference presidents have already instated young adult ministry directors at the conference level. The Christian Leadership Center, http://www.adventist.org/clc, recently endorsed the development of young leadership training, offering scholarships and all church officials to mentor new generations of leadership.


31 For over a decade, dream VISION ministries, http://www.dreamVISIONministries.org, has offered training and resourcing in building authentic relationships with new generations. I offer a theological model for young adult ministry, http://www.adventistreview.org/2000-1556/story2.html, challenging young adults to see their role as ministers to their peers.
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when he gave Bible studies in the homes of people.

I think the Bible text used by Pastor Lawrence at the beginning of his article (Acts 20:28) is very basic for the training of pastors. Pastoral visitation in the homes of our church members needs to be promoted.

—Vernon L. Chase, Goldendale, Washington, United States

Nebuchadnezzar syndrome

I wish I had learned—early in my ministry—the lesson that Laurence Turner discussed (“The Nebuchadnezzar Syndrome,” March 2008). I had a “healthy” dose of that disease.

I thought I was a good preacher, and my wife backed me up on it. I thought I had a large number of Bible studies; and compared to other pastors, I did. I had a lot of baptisms to show for it. But, as you can see from this letter, I’m still struggling with the syndrome.

I’m retired now and should have learned my lesson long since. God has surely given me enough grass to eat through the years, and even now I taste it from time to time. Thank you, Pastor Turner, for putting into words a message I’ve needed all my life.

—Thurman C. Petty Jr., Burleson, Texas, United States

First person preaching

Thank you for Derek Morris’s article, “First-Person Narrative Preaching: A Fresh Approach for Telling the Old, Old Story” (May 2008). Such a method brings the Scriptures alive. There is tremendous hunger in our churches for expository sermons that let the Scriptures speak for themselves. God’s Word has power—if only the preachers would let it speak.

Philosophy, psychology, social programs, and the media have their own place. But they can’t be substituted for the Word. We as a people are Bible illiterate because we are starving for the Bread of Life. Young people are fleeing the church because of the shallow and lifeless messages that don’t nourish their souls.

Dr. Morris makes an excellent point that creativity and engaged Bible study will revitalize sermons. I join the author in the plea to all ministers: “Please, let the Scriptures speak!”

—Iryna Bolotnikova, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States

Doing God’s will

I very much agree with Nikolaus Satelmaier’s editorial in the May 2008 issue (“Titles Do Not Make Leaders”). However, I slightly disagree with his closing statement. In it he wrote that God will, in the final analysis, ask us, “What have you done?” According to Matthew 7:21—23, many who are lost when Jesus returns will have done many wonderful things in His name. But the issue is, Have we been faithful in fulfilling God’s will (Matt. 7:21)? So instead of God asking us “What have you done for Me?” I believe He will ask, “How faithful have you been in following My will in ministry?”

If we are following our own plans and ideas in ministry, we will be building with “wood, hay, stubble” (1 Cor. 3:12), that will not abide. But if we are following the will of the Father in our ministry, we will be building with “gold, silver, precious stones” that will endure eternally.

—Dennis Smith, pastor, New Haven, Connecticut, United States
The power of relationships in evangelism

S. Joseph Kidder, DMin, is associate professor of Christian Ministry, Andrews University Theological Seminary, Berrien Springs, Michigan, United States.

“I pray that you may be active in sharing your faith, so that you will have a full understanding of every good thing we have in Christ” (Philem. 6, NIV).

Paul’s desire for an active church in faith sharing continues as a perennial concern. Each generation needs to review its commitment level in witnessing and sharing their faith to the community, thus maintaining a continuous link with the Great Commission entrusted to the church. With a view to finding out this commitment in North America, the Institute of Church Ministry at Andrews University sent a survey in 2004 to a sample of Seventh-day Adventist members attending on a given Sabbath. The survey sought responses to three questions: (1) In what witness activities do members generally participate, and how many were brought into the church as a result? (2) What was the personal devotional life of the members like? (3) What was instrumental in their own experiences of joining the church?

The survey elicited 1,689 usable responses. This article will summarize the key features of the data. If we understand the principles behind the findings and pay attention to the implications, it will improve the way we do church and give us tools for effective evangelism.

The demographics

First, a look at the composition of the respondents. Genderwise, 57 percent were female and the rest male. Sixty percent were long-time Adventists—members for more than 20 years. Fifteen percent have been members for 11 to 20 years. Four percent have been members for less than a year. In addition, 61 percent of the respondents grew up with an Adventist parent. All this seems to indicate the power of relationships and that our congregations are not bringing in many new members who have no Adventist background.

The data also indicates an aging church. More than 60 percent are over 45 years of age with 22 percent being 65 or older. Only about 9 percent are under 25 years. This shows the need for the church to be much more intentional about reaching and keeping young people in the church.

Witnessing

In what witness activities did members usually engage? The survey reviewed 11 common activities (see table 1). Topping the list was small-group or Home Bible Fellowship studies, with 36 percent participating. Seven activities—phone contacts, evangelistic meetings, health seminars, Revelation Seminars, other Bible seminars, one-to-one Bible studies, and door-to-door contacts—clustered around 20 percent. Two activities—Daniel and family seminars—took in 10 percent.

As to the question regarding how many hours in a month on average members were involved in church-related, community-service programs, one-half of the respondents reported none. About 30 percent reported 1 to 5 hours, 11 percent said 6 to 10 hours, and nearly 6 percent put in a high 20 hours or more.

Table 1: Witnessing and Evangelism Activities Engaged in by Adventist Members in North American Division

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small group or Home Bible Fellowship</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone contacts</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public evangelistic meetings</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health seminars and programs</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bible seminars</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revelation Seminar</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving one-to-one Bible studies</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door-to-door contacts</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel seminar</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family seminar</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy for adults or English as a second language</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were asked, “How many people have you been wholly or partially responsible for bringing into the church in the last three years?” About two-thirds indicated that they were not
and the remaining 39 percent have family worship, 33 percent on a weekly basis, of the respondents have daily family worship, the survey showed 28 percent in young people in the church. With home and an important factor in retaining the way was personal private prayer, where 73 percent were involved daily and another 21 percent at least once a week (see Table 2). Personal Bible study did not fare nearly as well: 37 percent reported daily study, and 43 percent at least once a week. So far as Sabbath School attendance was concerned, 71 percent attended each week, and 9 percent attended at least once a month.

Other researchers have shown that family worship is a key to a solid Christian home and an important factor in retaining young people in the church. With Adventists, the survey showed 28 percent of the respondents have daily family worship, 33 percent on a weekly basis, and the remaining 39 percent have family worship only occasionally or not at all.

Table 2: The Frequency of Participation in Devotional Practices of Adventist Members in North America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devotional Practice</th>
<th>Daily</th>
<th>Once a Week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal private prayer</td>
<td>73 %</td>
<td>21 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Bible study</td>
<td>37 %</td>
<td>43 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of Sabbath School lesson</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>41 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Ellen White books</td>
<td>14 %</td>
<td>29 %, Never: 57 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family worship</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>33 %, Never: 39 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were invited to indicate what this “other” meant. While not all did, the most frequent comment had to do with Christian education and teachers.

Table 3 shows that key factors leading people to join a church relates to positive relationships and friendships, with this fact also documented in similar research, such as by Win Arn (see Table 4) and more recently by Rainer.

Arn discovered relationship as the most effective way of reaching people for the Lord. He extensively talks about the importance of oikos (relationship). That’s what I’d like to call “relational evangelism.”

Why they joined

The third set of questions attempted to probe what was instrumental in their own choice of joining the church. The survey measured the relative strength of each of nine possible factors (see Table 3).

Table 3: Instruments Influencing Members to Join the Adventist Church in North America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brought up in an Adventist home</td>
<td>59 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A friend or relative</td>
<td>58 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading of literature</td>
<td>49 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public evangelistic meetings</td>
<td>36 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bible studies in the home</td>
<td>34 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits by a pastor</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television or radio programs</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bible correspondence course</td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material on the Internet</td>
<td>7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why is relational evangelism effective?

Several important factors may be cited to show why relational evangelism is the most effective means of sharing the Gospel. Relational evangelism provides a natural network for sharing the good news of God’s redemptive love. Naturally, people who are close to each other share their faith with each other. Friends and relatives hang out together. They eat out together. They enjoy sharing and talking with each other. Andrew brought his brother Peter to Christ. We have the privilege of bringing our brothers and sisters, moms and dads, sons and daughters, friends and neighbors to Christ.

Relational evangelism deals with receptive people. Often we hear that we are more effective with strangers than our families, but this is not necessarily true. The Bible records many examples where one brought one’s relatives and friends to Jesus. Andrew brought Peter. Philip introduced Nathaniel to Christ.
The jailer in Philippi brought his whole household to Jesus. When people around us see a change in us, they will be attracted to the God we worship.

Relational evangelism allows for un hurried and natural sharing of God’s love. In friendship evangelism, no one feels pressure to make anyone be baptized in a short period of time. A natural process takes place over time—and in the context of love and acceptance.

Relational evangelism provides natural support when the web member comes to Christ. The single most significant reason why people leave the church is that they do not have a support group to pray for them, disciple them, and constantly encourage them. But, when people are brought to the Lord by a trusted friend, they already have their own pastor.

Relational evangelism results in the effective assimilation of new converts into the church. Friendship evangelism serves as a means to assimilate people into the life of the church. All experts in church growth agree that assimilation is one of the hardest things to do. You need an accepting group of people and an interested group of converts. In the case of oikos—relational evangelism—both are naturally present.

Relational evangelism tends to win entire families.

Relational evangelism provides a constantly enlarging source of new contacts.

Friendship evangelism is about a chain reaction that has no limit to its influence and effectiveness.8

What should we do in the face of such overwhelming evidence as to the importance of friendship and relational evangelism?

Recognize that friendship and relationship evangelism remains as the most potent means of witnessing. Moreover, the home still serves as a catalyst to make the gospel real to people. We learn to apply the principles of the gospel to real life in the home. Relationship, when it is healthy and intentional, will also help us to see in a concrete way how to live the Christian life effectively and with joy. As people who associate with us see that we are better people because of Jesus, that we are better fathers or mothers or spouses or children, they will more likely be attracted to Christianity than just by sharing doctrine or theology.

Educate, train, equip, and motivate members to be effective in sharing their faith with others. The church should be a training ground, a motivating center. Every obstacle should be removed to make it as easy as possible for people to actively and effectively share their faith.

We often give the impression that witnessing is about going to strangers, knocking at their doors, and trying to witness to them. We should train and inspire our members to share their faith naturally in whatever context they are in, whether in the home, the marketplace, or the neighborhood.

The most effective form of evangelism is the natural one—the one which takes place in the context of relationships. When this happens, the new believer has the added advantage of having their own pastor to minister to their spiritual needs.

Inspire and encourage personal spiritual growth of every member. The more passionate believers are about God, the more passionate they will be about sharing Him with others. Our churches should be sanctuaries, always encouraging and challenging people to grow in their walk with God. We cannot take for granted that people will somehow grow spiritually, and we cannot rely solely on the Sabbath sermon to do all the educating, motivating, and training to help people grow spiritually. We need to launch out into new ways of spiritual training and growth, and equip believers with as many effective tools to naturally and attractively share their faith.

Promote a paradigm shift from thinking of evangelism as an event to a process. As I talk to people about spiritual growth and evangelism, I often ask, “Who is the most effective evangelist in the world?” and the predominant answer I get is the name of a famous evangelist. But notice how the Great Commission of Matthew 28:18–20 conceives evangelism as not the task of a few but a way of life for all.

Ask the question, “Define evangelism and when did your church do evangelism?” The inevitable answer? “We had evangelistic meetings last year or three years ago or ten years ago.” Such an answer views evangelism as an event rather than a way of life that takes place any time, anywhere, by anyone, under any circumstances.

Adopt multiple pathways and entryways for sharing Jesus and helping people connect with the church. Though research has shown that the most effective way of evangelism is through relationships, we still need multiple ways to influence people for Jesus. Our research showed many programs scoring high effectiveness: public evangelism (36 percent), books (49 percent), television or radio (20 percent), Bible correspondence courses (19 percent), and Internet (7 percent).

Multiple ways serve at least three purposes: to create an avenue for the believer to share their faith in a natural way; to reach multiple groups of people when one method might not be the right one to reach all, to find new and receptive seekers who are out of our circle of relationships.

Personal and public evangelism must complement each other. Under the umbrella of public evangelism, the need to do personal evangelism definitely exists. Equally important is the need to do public evangelism under the umbrella of personal evangelism.

1 George Barna, Transforming Children into Spiritual Champions (Ventura, CA: Regal, 2003), 55–76.


3 Thom S. Rainer, Surprising Insights from the Unchurched (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2001), 73.

4 W. Charles Arn, How to Reach the Unchurched Families in Your Community (Monrovia, CA: Church Growth, n.d.).
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"The Word of God should be the measure": An interview with Eta Linnemann

Frank M. Hasel, PhD, is dean of the Theological Seminary at Bogenhofen, Austria, and teaches systematic theology and biblical hermeneutics. He is also the director of the Ellen G. White Study Center at the seminary.

Author’s Note: Eta Linnemann, ThD, well-known theologian and New Testament professor, presented two public lectures and four seminars for the theology students and faculty at the Seventh-day Adventist Seminar Schloss Bogenhofen in Austria, in October 2007. In those lectures the 81-year-old theologian passed along, in a compact form, her theological legacy. Linnemann studied under such well-known Bible critics as Rudolf Bultmann, Ernst Fuchs, Ernst Käsemann, and Friedrich Gogarten in Marburg, Tübingen, and Göttingen universities in Germany. She held an honorary professorship at the well-known Philipps University in Marburg before she experienced her personal conversion at the height of her academic career. From that time on, she became convinced that Jesus actually lived, and that the widely propagated atheistic method of historical-critical theology presented an inaccurate picture of Jesus and the content of the Bible. She distanced herself from this method and pointed out the weak premise upon which it is founded. She joined an independent Evangelical Church. At Bogenhofen she addressed us as brothers and sisters in Christ, and also wished to be addressed the same way.

**Frank M. Hasel (FH):** Sister Linnemann, in your books and lectures you repeatedly mention the historical-critical method. In your opinion what are the consequences of this method for the believer and ecclesiastical theology?

**Eta Linnemann (EL):** Normally I do not use the term historical-critical method. I prefer to speak about historical-critical theology. The term historical-critical method is an expression used by those who practice it. Already, in my earlier articles, I pointed out that although it claims to be a scientific method, in reality, it is not scientific. One consequence of historical-critical theology is the destruction of faith. This might sound harsh to some, but I have seen this in the lives of dozens of students. Often they commence their theological studies as devout individuals, but later, as a result of the historical-critical theology, they are no longer able to be a pastor. What is even worse, there are pastors who, because of such theology, do not even know that humans are lost and we have to be born again. And what they themselves do not know, they cannot pass along to their church members.

It could be that someone as an infant may have been brought to baptism, may even have attended children’s church services and later may have lived on the margins of church life, but in the end, be lost because there was never an awareness of the necessity of rebirth. That is the worst of it. Apart from that, we can see Sunday after Sunday, in every church where historical-critical sermons are preached that those churches are empty. And those churches that still have members, become emptier. On the other hand, one makes the following observation: wherever God’s unadulterated Word is preached, people are attracted. This has nothing to do with the question of denominations. Wherever a pastor is found who knows Jesus personally and really preaches the pure Word of God, there you have a full church.

A historical-critical preacher provides stones to the congregation instead of bread. These stones can be beautiful and rhetorically polished stones; they can be interesting stones. But what do I do with stones? They are not suited for spiritual food.

In addition, historical-critical theology deals selectively with the Holy Scriptures. With this method it is possible at anytime to avoid being personally affected; for instance, in the Sermon on the Mount, when it is claimed that parts of the sermon are “secondary” or that Matthew or Luke were not the original writers. This method prevents the Word of God from saying what it actually does say.

**FH:** How do you explain that among evangelical theologians there are some who advocate a moderate use of the historical-critical method? These theologians are not all unbelievers, are they?

**EL:** That is correct. The situation is more complex. On the one hand we have those who have lost
their faith through their course of study. On the other hand, there are those who perhaps have already received Christian teaching, and who also have a supportive congregation who prays for them. These are able, more or less, to pull through. However, in certain areas compromises are made. For example, some believe that the pastoral letters are not from Paul, or the Johannine letters are not written by John, nor was Revelation written by him, and of course, the five books of Moses were not written by Moses. But one still believes in the resurrection, perhaps even the virgin birth, also in the second coming of Jesus. When you are a real evangelical, you believe this. However, some brokenness remains because one has compromised in many single issues whilst continuing to cling to other points of faith.

FH: How do you explain that?

EL: Actually, this is not surprising. Just imagine: as a student you arrive at the university or seminary. The professor at the lecturer’s desk is a master in the field and very good. Within their respective fields these people are quite brilliant. Also, by a wide margin, the professor is the absolute authority. And then it is officially stated from the lecturer’s desk that certain things are thus and so—even when much of what is said cannot be confirmed in every detail but simply reflects the general consensus.

One simply accepts many things because the professor has said it. What is not learned from the professor is learned from older students. It is inevitable that during the course of one’s studies one becomes accustomed to hearing much that does not harmonize with one’s beliefs and that has really not been fully examined. Then one graduates and even is unaware of all the instances where certain compromises have been made. This often remains hidden from oneself. Man is a rascal! He is of the opinion that when one still continues to believe in the resurrection and perhaps in the virgin birth, and is still convinced that the Bible is the Word of God, then everything is still OK.

The graduation of one’s studies also brings satisfaction. The theological exams have been passed, and what one has learned contributes to the experience of personal success. With that, a student is duty bound, unless of course, they are prepared to make a clean break and turn from all this, but then he would stand there naked, so to speak. What do academically trained pastors have to offer if they say “No” to all that they have learned in the course of their studies? Haven’t they been hired as pastors on the basis of their academic studies? They would have to admit, in the truest sense of the word, a conversion experience, a foundational conversion of their thinking. A certain brokenness prevails: they no longer feel free to speak clearly on certain topics, partly because they no longer clearly know, and partly because they are no longer convinced about it, and so they lack power and authority.

FH: In your lectures you stress the importance of using the intellect and that one should also study the Bible in an attitude of faith. Would you briefly outline the best way a converted, Bible-believing theologian should work?

EL: First of all, one should keep in mind that we do not have any wisdom on our own. When we think that we know everything, and we approach the Bible with such an attitude, we will soon be headed in the wrong direction. Without a humble attitude, one cannot practice theology. After all, we are ultimately dealing with the Word of God, and this cannot be dealt with in a purely academic manner. Naturally, there is also the question of guidance: what does God want that I should do now? Somehow, someway, the Lord will let you know this.

FH: What advice would you give to a theological seminary?

EL: First, they should really, without any reservation, take students who have already been born again. I would accept no one as a theology student with the idea that they will be converted some time. There should be unity in spirit. This is the context for spiritual growth. Furthermore, I would not recommend that students pick and choose from the university prospectus what they like best during a semester. If time and money are to be used effectively, a unified curriculum is needed. Otherwise, you have some lectures that are attended by only one or two students, while other lectures are overcrowded. That is not helpful.

Secondly, I believe an engaging spiritual life, where students can participate in a united manner on campus is important. This means, of course, that each individual has their own personal quiet time, but also that there are opportunities as a group to grow spiritually.

Then I believe practical work is important. Theology students should not live in an ivory tower. They should also learn in practical terms to carry responsibility in various areas. Good theology and practical experience in the churches should go hand in hand. I would recommend that by the second semester, at the latest, during the summer vacation, students should gather practical experience in children’s camps, for instance.
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They should also have the opportunity to engage in practical work during their studies. After three to four years of study, I would suggest a longer period of practical work, something like an internship, in which students can publicly prove themselves. Such an internship should not only teach the practical aspects of work, but should also engage the student under the leadership of experienced mentors and expose them to appropriate literature. I have been impressed by the training here at Bogenhofen, and I am also happy that here church history has not been neglected in the theological training. It is very important to learn from history and not to repeat earlier mistakes.

FH: Now a personal question: Do you have a motto in your life that has made an impression on you and sustained you?

EL: Some of the first words that came to me after I had given my life to Jesus were: “I am the Way, the Truth, and the Life.”

FH: This is not the first time you have visited with Seventh-day Adventists. You have been invited to various churches. Is there something that you have learned to appreciate about Seventh-day Adventists?

EL: The very first contact I had with Seventh-day Adventists was through Gerhard F. Hasel, who, on the occasion of a visit to the United States, had invited me to speak at a conference. He then also invited me to Andrews University where I spoke with theology students and faculty over a period of days. What I learned to appreciate is the high percentage of born-again Christians and that one can take it as a rule that amongst Adventists one normally deals with born-again Christians. This gives me the freedom to come to Adventists and serve them. I do not agree with all that Adventists believe, as you know, but I believe that it is important to have unity in essential things. That means we know that our Lord Jesus died for us on the cross of Golgotha, and we are in agreement in our attitude toward the Holy Scriptures. That is so important for me, and, therefore, I enjoy visiting with Adventists. There are also born-again Christians amongst the Catholics. By this I do not say that every Catholic is born again, indeed not, but there are some among them, and those I treasure. One can feel whether or not someone is truly a brother or sister in Christ. Since I was born again I feel this inner assurance, and one notices it when this is missing.

FH: Sister Linnemann, thank you very much for this interview. I hope that your new book that recently came off the press, Was ist glaubwürdig—Bibel oder Bibelkritik? (What Is Credible—The Bible or Biblical-Criticism?) (Nürnberg: Verlag für Theologie und Religionswissenschaft, 2007), will be a blessing to many people.
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The ministry of the deaconess through history
Part one of two

Editor’s Note: Part one of a two-part series examines the role of the deaconess in the New Testament and early church. Part two, to appear in September 2008, will examine the role of the deaconess in the Adventist Church.¹

What was the role of the deaconesses in the New Testament and in the church through history? To understand this issue fully, we shall first turn to the New Testament for a study of the word deaconess and review the life and work of some of the deaconesses mentioned there. Then we shall briefly explore the role of the deaconesses in the early church from available historical records.

The word in the New Testament

The word deaconess is the feminine counterpart of the male deacon. Both words come from the Greek verb diakone (to serve, to assist, to minister).

In Matthew 8:15, Luke 10:40, and Acts 6:2, the authors used the verb diakone in connection with serving food and other aspects of ministry. For example, Jesus coming to minister or serve (Matt. 20:28); Paul’s trip “to Jerusalem to minister to the saints” with the offerings he collected in Europe (Rom. 15:25, NKJV); and the commendation of believers “ministering” to the saints (Heb. 6:10).

The noun diakonia also describes: the table ministry the apostles entrusted to the seven (Acts 6:1, 2); Paul’s God-given ministry of the gospel (Acts 20:24); and the spiritual gifts given to the saints to prepare them for ministry (Eph. 4:12).

The noun diakonos is used in several ways. It denotes one who waits on tables, as at the wedding feast at Cana (John 2:5). Jesus told that “whoever desires to become great among you shall be your servant [diakonos]” (Mark 10:43, NKJV). With Paul, the word takes on a specifically Christian sense. Paul is a diakonos of the new covenant (2 Cor. 3:6), of God (2 Cor. 6:4), and of the church (Col. 1:25). In these texts, the meaning comes much closer to minister than to servant.

In Philippians 1:1 and 1 Timothy 3:8–13, diakonos identifies specific church officers. Theirs was evidently a spiritual occupation, for the requirements were spiritual, personal integrity, and blamelessness.

The Greek, which usually distinguishes carefully between masculine and feminine forms of a noun, does not do so with diakonos. The same word is used for male and female religious servers, both in pagan religions and in Christianity. When the article is used, the gender is visible: ho diakonos (masculine) and h diakonos (feminine). The feminine diakonissa appeared only in the early fourth century.

Women deacons in the New Testament

Phoebe. Paul, in Romans 16:1, 2, called Phoebe a diakonos of the church of Cenchraea. Besides this brief statement, we know nothing about Phoebe, except that she was a benefactor of Paul and others, and that Paul commended her to the church in Rome.

That she was a benefactor or patroness (prostatis) suggests a woman of wealth and position. In the first-century Mediterranean world, a patron or benefactor funded the construction of monuments or buildings, financed festivals or celebrations, and supported artists and writers.

Of interest to this study, Paul recognized Phoebe as a diakonos, or minister, of the church at Cenchraea. Only here is diakonos used in relation to a specific church, implying some kind of position in the church. Translation of the term diakonos in this passage has more to do with the translator than the meaning of the Greek word. The KJV has “servant”; the NIV has “servant,” with “deacons” in the note; the NRSV says “deacon,” with “minister” in the note.

Early church writers give their own interpretation of this passage. Origen (185–254) interprets Paul’s statement to teach “that there were women ordained in the church’s ministry.”² About Phoebe
and the other women of Romans 16, John Chrysostom (c. 347–407) wrote: “You see that these were noble women, hindered in no way by their sex in the course of virtue; and this is as might be expected for in Christ Jesus there is neither male nor female.” Theodoret (393–460) noted Phoebe as “a woman deacon, prominent and noble. She was so rich in good works performed as to have merited the praise of Paul.”

“The women likewise.” In 1 Timothy 3:2–7, Paul lists the characteristics of bishops or overseers. Verses 8–10 describe the spiritual traits required of diakonoi. Verse 11 seems something of a digression: who are these “women”? The Greek word, which can be translated “women” or “wives,” has been variously translated as “women,” “women deacons,” or “their [deacon’s] wives.”

The suggestion that the term refers to wives of deacons presents difficulties, for in the Greek there is no possessive. Whose wives were they? On the other hand, if one takes the context seriously, these women serve the church as do their male counterparts. Quite probably, these women were female deacons, as was Phoebe.

In the late second century, Clement of Alexandria (155–220) indicated that this text presented evidence for the existence of diakonon gunaikon (“women deacons”). John Chrysostom and Theodoret, writing in the fourth and fifth centuries respectively, also understood these women to be female deacons.

**Women deacons in the early church**

During the early centuries, women deacons and widows were recognized church leaders. We will examine evidence for the existence, tasks, and ordination of women in the diaconate and then point to reasons for the demise of the female diaconate.

The existence of deaconesses. Somewhere between A.D. 111 and 113, Pliny the Younger, governor of Bithynia, wrote to the Emperor Trajan asking how he should deal with Christians. In the letter, he tells of questioning two women, who were called ministrae, the Latin equivalent of diakonos.

Tomb inscriptions also provide evidence that female deacons served the church. Among others, an inscription...
found in the vicinity of the Mount of Olives tells of “Sophia the Deacon.” Dated to the second half of the fourth century, the tombstone reads: “Here lies the slave and bride of Christ, Sophia, the deacon (he diakonos), the second Phoebe.”¹⁰ As a “bride of Christ,” Sophia would have been celibate.

A sixth-century inscription from Cappadocia in Asia Minor gives not only the title, but shows what this female diakonos did: “Here lies the deacon Maria of pious and blessed memory, who according to the words of the apostle raised children, sheltered guests, washed the feet of the saints, and shared her bread with the needy. Remember her, Lord, when she comes into your kingdom.”¹¹

In the East, deaconesses appear as late as the twelfth or thirteenth century. The Liber Patrum states: “As for deaconesses, they must be wise. Those who have provided a clear witness of purity and fear of God are the ones who should be chosen. They should be chaste and modest and sixty years or older in age. They carry out the sacrament of baptism for women because it is not fitting that the priest should view the nudity of women.”¹²

The ordination of deaconesses. The Apostolic Constitutions (late fourth century) give instruction to the bishop on the ordination of church leaders, male and female. The bishop is to lay hands upon the woman and pray: “O Eternal God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Creator of man and woman, who didst replenish with the Spirit Miriam, and Deborah, and Anna, and Hulda, who didst create the woman and pray: “O Eternal God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Creator of man and woman, who didst

Emperor Justinian directed a novella (March 16, 535) to the archbishop of Constantinople, indicating that the church there should have 40 women deacons. In subsequent instructions, he stated that the same rules should apply to women deacons as to priests and deacons. As virgins or widows of one husband, they merited sacred ordination.¹³

The Barberini Greek Euchology, an eighth-century Byzantine ritual for the ordination of male and female deacons, calls for the laying-on of hands in ordination. The first of two prayers was said by a deacon, and noted that God sanctified the female sex through the birth of Jesus and has given the Holy Spirit to both men and women. The second prayer, said by the archbishop, stated: “Lord, Master, you do not reject women who dedicate themselves to you and who are willing, in a becoming way, to serve your Holy House, but admit them to the order of your ministers. Grant the gift of your Holy Spirit also to this your maid servant who wants to dedicate herself to you, and fulfill in her the grace of the ministry of the diaconate, as you have granted to Phoebe the grace of your diaconate, whom you had called to the work of the ministry.”¹⁴

Tasks of deaconesses. From ancient documents, we learn of the functions performed by early deaconesses. The Apostolic Constitutions command the bishop to “ordain also a deaconess who is faithful and holy, for the ministrations towards women. . . . For we stand in need of a woman, a deaconess, for many necessities.”¹⁵ Female deacons had a special ministry for women, especially in pagan homes, where male deacons were not welcome. They took the eucharist to women who could not attend church. In addition, they ministered to the sick, the poor, and those in prison.¹⁶ The most important ministry of the female deacon was to assist at the baptism by immersion of women. The deaconess anointed the baptismal candidate with oil, apparently over the whole body. In some cases, she held up a veil so that the clergy could not see the naked woman being baptized. She may have accompanied the woman into the water.

The Disdasca lla points to the role of women deacons in the teaching ministry: “And when she who is being baptized has come up from the water, let the deaconess receive her, and teach and educate her in order that the unbreakable seal of baptism shall be (kept) in chastity and holiness. On this account, we say that the ministry of a woman deacon is especially required and urgent.”¹⁷

James of Edessa (683–708) noted that deaconesses in the Eastern Church “had no authority regarding the altar.” They could “sweep the sanctuary and light the sanctuary lamp.” In a community of nuns, they could take “the holy sacrament from the tabernacle and distribute this” to her fellow nuns.²⁰

Demise of the female diaconate

While deaconesses appear in the Eastern Church until the twelfth or thirteenth century, in the West their end came much earlier. British monk Pelagius (c. 420) wrote that the female diaconate was an institution fallen into disuse in the West, though remaining in the East.²¹

The Synod of Nimes (396) pointed out that the problem with deaconesses was that women had “assumed for themselves the ministry of the Levites,” which was “against apostolic discipline and has been unheard of until this time.” Further, “any such ordination that has taken place is against all reason and is to be destroyed.”²²

A series of church councils made pronouncements against the ordination of deaconesses. The First Council of Orange (441) ordered: “In no way whatsoever should deaconesses ever be ordained. If there already are deaconesses, they should bow their heads beneath the blessing which is given to all the people.”²³ The Burgundian Council of Epaon (517) ruled: “We abrogate totally within the entire kingdom the consecration of widows who are named deaconesses.”²⁴ The Second Synod of Orleans (533) followed up on this
prohibition. Its Canon 18 states: “To no woman must henceforth the benedictio diaconalis be given, because of the weakness of the sex.”

The ordination of deaconesses, rather than their work, seems to have become an issue, perhaps because of their monthly “impurity.” Bishop Epiphanius of Salamis (315–405), who held that women “are a feeble race, untrustworthy and of mediocre intelligence,” pointed out that deaconesses were not clergy, but served the “bishops and priests on grounds of propriety.” In a letter to John, Bishop of Jerusalem, he insisted he had never “ordained deaconesses . . . nor done anything to split the church.” By 1070, Theodore Balsamon, Patriarch of Antioch, could affirm that “deaconesses in any proper sense had ceased to exist in the Church though the title was borne by certain nuns.” One of the reasons he gave was the “impurity of their menstrual periods” and the fact that law “prohibits women from entering the sanctuary.”

Jacobeite author Yahya ibn Jarir, writing from Persia in the third quarter of the eleventh century, wrote: “In antiquity deaconesses were ordained; their function was to be concerned with adult women and prevent their being uncovered in the presence of the bishop. However, as the practice of religion became more extensive and the decision was made to begin administering baptism to infants, this function of deaconesses was abolished.”

Michael the Great, patriarch from 1166 to 1199, seemed to agree: “In ancient times there was a need for deaconesses, principally to assist with the baptism of women. When converts from Judaism or paganism became disciples of Christianity and thereby became candidates for holy baptism, it was by the hands of the deaconesses that the priests and bishops anointed the women candidates at the time of their baptism . . . . But we can plainly see that this practice has long since ceased in the Church . . . . There is no longer any need for deaconesses because there are no longer any grown women who are baptized.”

Conclusion
The existence and ordination of deaconesses in the early church is evident. Their tasks—assisting at the baptism of women, teaching, and caring for people—are also clear. Yet, they disappeared.

Three factors seem to have contributed to the demise of the female diaconate. First, infant baptism replaced adult baptism, making the assistance of a female at the baptism of adult women unnecessary. Second, the sacrifice of the Mass, which gave to the priest the power of converting bread and wine into the very body and blood of Jesus, shaped the understanding of clergy and laity and removed lay people—male and female—from ministry. Further, the rise of monasticism, with the institution of nunneries and the insistence on celibacy, changed the focus of church work for women.

1 A fuller version of this article appeared in Andrews University Seminary Studies 43 (2005): 133–158.
2 Origen, Epistula ad Romanos 10.17.2; commentary on Romans 16.
4 Theodoret, Interpret. Epist ad Rom. 16:1, PG 82,Cols. 217D, 220A.
5 Clement Stromata 3.6.53; John Chrysostom, In Epistola 1 ad Timotheum 3, Homily 11.1.
6 For further information on the history of female deacons, see “The History of Women Deacons,” at http://www.womenpriests.org/traditio/deac_ovr.htm (May 21, 2007). See also, John Wijsgaards, No Women in Holy Orders? The Ancient Women Deacons (Norwich, UK: Canterbury, 2002). While Wijsgaards interprets the evidence as including women deacons in the clergy, Aimé Georges Martimort, whose careful analysis, Deaconesses: An Historical Study (San Francisco: Ignatius, 1986) is considered a classic on the topic, admits the existence of women deacons but denies that they were ever considered clergy.
7 Pimy, Letters 10.96.
11 Ibid., 164-167.
12 Liber Pontifum, ser. 2, fasc. 16, in S. Congregatio pro Ecclesia Orientali, Coditzationes canonica orientale, Fonti (Rome: Tipografia Poliglotta Vaticana, 1930), 34, quoted in Martimort, 158.
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15 Justinian, Novellae 3.1; 6.6; Corpus Juris Civilis, vol. 3, Novellae (Zurich: Weidmann, 1968), 20, 21, 43–45.
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Achieving the mission of the church

“‘I tell you the truth, wherever the gospel is preached throughout the world, what she has done will also be told, in memory of her’” (Mark 14:9, NIV).

Of all the followers of Christ before His death, Mary Magdalene seemed the least likely to be associated with the mission of the church. Yet Mark 14:9 records that Jesus directly connected the two.

With preaching the good news of hope to the world as the mission of the church, what, then, does Mary’s alabaster bottle have to do with it? What was so important about Mary’s action that qualified her story to be told wherever the gospel is preached?

The answer? Commitment—self-denying, self-sacrificing, total commitment.

The cost of Mary’s offering

Mary Magdalene is no stranger. Her name brings to mind a girl seduced by Simon, a prominent man. A young woman who, perhaps trying to avoid the Bethany village gossip and ridicule, relocates to Magdala. There she loses her identity and gets initiated into a life of prostitution.

At the mention of her name, the picture of an exhausted, fearful outcast being dragged by men with stones comes to mind. You can probably feel her emotions as, under such uncomfortable circumstances, the words of hope drop into her ears like music: “Neither do I condemn thee: go, and sin no more” (John 8:11). That event led to her release from the shackles of seven demons, and into a love relationship that led to a total commitment. How did she develop her self-denying, self-sacrificing commitment?

To her, being at the feet of Jesus had gone beyond probability to reality. That is how she got her strength and commitment—just as you and I would when we sit every morning at His feet, gazing at His face and listening to His voice in a personal relational Bible study and prayer. This probably describes the “how” of commitment.

The feast at Bethany

But I learn the “what” of commitment in the afternoon when Simon threw a party in honor of Jesus.

Come with me to Bethany, to a feast. People are eating and enjoying themselves. A woman enters stealthily and moves apprehensively toward the Guest of Honor. With a heart that seems to be pounding out of her chest, she kneels by His feet and starts to weep and moistens His feet with her tears. She wipes the tears with her long flowing hair, breaks an alabaster jar of perfume, and anoints the feet of Jesus.

What interests me is that Mary went beyond tears of repentance and appreciation. She wiped the tears with her long flowing hair in public. First Corinthians 11:15 says that a woman’s hair is her glory. Notice, Mary poured out her glory at the feet of Jesus—in public. That was self-denial.

She also demonstrated self-sacrifice by giving what looked like her life’s savings. The perfume was prepared from the roots and hairy stems of a plant found on the high mountains of the Himalayas in India. To get it, one had to climb to the heights of the Himalayas, uproot the herbs before the leaves opened, dry them, and extract an aromatic oil to make that fragrant perfume. The perfume was, thus, expensive in India. Now it was exported all the way from India to Palestine, making it extraordinarily expensive.

The bottle

The alabaster bottle was also expensive by itself. It was a sealed flask made from a rock and had a long neck that had to be broken in order to use the perfume. Once broken, it could not be used anymore. You can imagine the appreciating effect it would have when added to the extraordinarily expensive perfume.

Mark 14:5 gives an idea of how much the perfume could have cost—more than a year’s wages. To put this in perspective, to our knowledge...
THE CHURCH CANNOT ACHIEVE MUCH WITHOUT THE TOTAL COMMITMENT OF ITS LEADERS AND MEMBERS—LEADERS WHO WILL HUMBLE THEMSELVES AND BE EXAMPLES OF SELF-DENIAL AND SELF-SACRIFICE.

Could have," or "might have," incorporates the concepts of ability, capability, and power. The substantive form is used in Acts 1:8, "You will receive power when the Holy Spirit comes on you." (NIV; emphasis added). Dunamai, in the context, implies that she could have sold it for over a year's wages, yet she surrendered it all at the feet of the Lord. Her action shows that one has to surrender one's abilities, capabilities, power, and self-glory to the Lord before one can fulfill the mission of the church.

What was important about the action of the woman with the alabaster bottle? She did not only sing "I Surrender All." She lived it. She was a living demonstration of self-denial, self-sacrifice, and complete commitment, which must accompany the proclamation of the gospel.

The church cannot achieve much without the total commitment of its leaders and members—leaders who will humble themselves and be examples of self-denial and self-sacrifice. Commitment is the driving force that the Holy Spirit will use to achieve the mission. Surely wherever the gospel will be preached, Mary's love, self-denial, and commitment will be told. May the Holy Spirit help us develop those qualities as we proclaim the words of hope. Then our offices will emit hope, our relationships will transmit hope, and our proclamations will effect hope as we, under the power of God, work to achieve the mission of the church.
How should Christians react to grief? What is the relation between faith and grief? Some Christians assume that something has gone wrong with their faith if they experience or express grief, and particularly so at a time when the spotlight shines on the young, the virile, the positive, and the successful. But the point remains that in the course of any normal human experience, grief and sadness do have their share. Normally, people of faith do feel sadness and grief at times of loss. God has made us with the capacity to express our sad as well as happy emotions.¹

When Abraham lost his wife, he mourned and wept for her: “She died in Kirjath Arba (that is, Hebron) in the land of Canaan, and Abraham came to mourn for Sarah and to weep over her” (Gen. 23:2).² When Jacob died, Joseph “fell upon his father and wept over him and kissed him” (Gen. 50:1), and his family and friends “lamented loudly and bitterly” (Gen. 50:10). Hannah grieved over her inability to bear a child (1 Sam.1:5), and David composed two great psalms on grieving over his failures and sins (Pss. 32 and 51).

Many psalms speak frankly about the reality of grief: “My eyes grow weak with sorrow; / they fail because of all my foes” (Ps. 6:7); “How long must I wrestle with my thoughts / and every day have sorrow in my heart? / How long will my enemy triumph over me?” (Ps. 13:2); “Be merciful to me, O Lord, for I am in distress; / my eyes grow weak with sorrow, / my soul and my body with grief” (Ps. 31:9); “The length of our days is seventy years— / or eighty, if we have the strength; / yet their span is but trouble and sorrow, / for they quickly pass, and we fly away” (Ps. 90:10); “Then their numbers decreased, and they were humbled / by oppression, calamity and sorrow” (Ps. 107:39); “The cords of death entangled me, / the anguish of the grave came upon me; / I was overcome by trouble and sorrow” (Ps. 116:3).

From these and other similar passages, we note that God does not condemn our grief and sadness but understands them as a normal part of human experience. Paul acknowledged God’s goodness in healing his friend Epaphroditis and mourned that his death would have brought “sorrow upon sorrow” (Phil. 2:27). In other words, Paul freely admits that he would have had a hard time in coping with the loss of a friend and gives some counsel on a Christian’s attitude to grief. The apostle acknowledges the normalcy of grief and does not suggest that Christians should be strong and avoid the pain associated with loss. Rather, Christians are reminded that while they grieve, they must not lose faith. “We do not want you . . . to grieve,” says the apostle, “like the rest of men, who have no hope” (1 Thess. 4:13). In other words, the Christian response to bereavement includes both grief and hope.³

Twofold affirmation

That response includes a twofold affirmation: first, we live in a world of pain, separation, and death (1 Cor. 15:56); second, we know a better day is coming, a resurrection day of triumph over suffering and death (1 Cor. 15:16, 17; John 5:28, 29; 11:23, 24). In between, we are asked to endure the pain of separation, “the sting of death” (1 Cor. 15:56), and comfort one another with the hope of the Second Coming (1 Thess. 4:17).

Jesus chose that ultimate hope to comfort Martha in her hour of grief (John 11:23). While Jesus’ words were meant to bring encouragement, His intent was not to repress Mary and Martha’s need to grieve. In fact, as Jesus saw their pain, He provided appropriate and meaningful ministry and support by mirroring their grief: “Jesus wept” (John 11:35). Whatever the case, Jesus did not rebuke Mary and Martha for their grief.

When we lose a loved one who has died in the blessed hope, we can take consolation in the hope that we will see them again and know that they are not suffering but simply waiting in an unconscious sleep (John 11:11; Dan. 12:2; 1 Cor. 15:51), which for them lasts but a moment. Their very next thought will be to wake up to Jesus’ calling them to come forth (1 Thess. 4:16; John 5:28, 29). At the same time we can acknowledge the fact that we hurt because we miss them and will endure the sting that will one day come to an end.
Where do some Christians get the mistaken idea that it is inappropriate to grieve? How does the expression of sorrow and hurt become a demonstration of weakness? Nowhere does the Bible teach that concept. Solomon reminds us of the reality of death and grief by pointing out that there is “a time to be born and a time to die, . . . a time to weep and a time to laugh, a time to mourn and a time to dance” (Eccles. 3:2–4). Christians are not to live in a fantasy world. Often times when significant loss occurs, the bereaved persons feel that their faith has been shaken or even shuttered. Religious people may find themselves questioning their entire belief structure and doubting all that has been the foundation of their past life. This would be a normal consequence of grief.

Derek Nuttal says, “Having a religious belief will not necessarily reduce the pain of loss nor remove the need to work through the stages of grief. Such belief, however is an aid to grieving.” In bereavement we need to know we are not alone, that God understands our pain and in some ways shares our sorrow. “At the heart of Christianity is faith in a God who through His son has shown He loves us and shares in what we experience and through the cross suffers with us.”

To say that a deeply religious person will not face grief situations is unrealistic and emotionally unhealthy. Jesus felt free to express His grief on different occasions including weeping openly (John 11:35; Matt. 26:37). He even confided in His disciples toward the end of His life that His soul was “overwhelmed with sorrow to the point of death” (Matt. 26:38). He even asked for an impromptu support group when He appealed to His closest disciples to “stay here and keep watch with me” (Matt. 26:38).

Commenting on Christian attitudes toward grief, one writer says, “Grief appears to have a transcendent function and can in the end enhance spiritual growth of bereaved people as it awakens them to existential and spiritual essence of life.” We, as Christians, sometimes go wrong when we use the hope of life to come to mask our present feelings of pain, which must have expression. Not continuous expression as if we had no hope, but sufficient expression in order to get past them through to healing.

Jesus: Model in Grieving

Christians should consider Jesus as the Model. He shared our emotions and feelings. There were moments when He was troubled and full of sorrow: “Surely he took up our infirmities / and carried our sorrows” (Isa. 53:4). He told His disciples, “My soul is overwhelmed with sorrow to the point of death” (Matt. 26:38). “He [Jesus] began to be deeply distressed and troubled” (Mark 14:33). He knew how to cry: “As he approached Jerusalem and saw the city, he wept over it” (Luke 19:41). He experienced anguish: “And being in anguish, he prayed more earnestly, and his sweat was like drops of blood falling to the ground” (Luke 22:44). He experienced and displayed the full range of emotions including joy, love, and compassion (see Luke 10:21; 7:13; John 15:10, 11; 17:13; Mark 10:21; 1:40, 41; Matt. 14:13, 14). He was “a man of sorrows, and acquainted with grief” (Isa. 53:3, KJV). He displayed the deep emotion of anguish and despair in a time of impending loss when He said, “My God, why have you forsaken me?” (Matt. 27:46). Jesus’ questioning and despair demonstrated a part of the grieving process. In addition, Jesus also showed grief over His beloved city Jerusalem (Matt. 23:37).

Jesus grieved at the time of Lazarus’ death (John 11). He cried, and others observed “how He loved him!” (John 11:36). The passage also states that Jesus was “deeply moved in spirit and troubled” (11:33). Ellen White comments on how Jesus felt “every pang of anguish, as He said to His disciples, ‘Lazarus is dead.’” Although Jesus was aware that He would raise Lazarus in a short while, He grieved for the pain and anguish that Mary and Martha had to experience. In human sympathy He wept for those in sorrow. He also wept for those who would plan His own death because of their unbelief in and hatred of Him.

If Jesus, our Model and Example, can grieve and be “human,” then other humans in this world of sin can also hurt and grieve. Ministers who are commissioned to pastor the flock need to stop shutting down the expression of pain from their hurting sheep because it makes them (the ministers) uncomfortable. Much of the time, whether we realize it or not, we are motivated by our own needs. Shutting grieving people down becomes one way of keeping a lid on our own repressed grief. It would be better if we sought help and assistance in working through our own losses so we could feel more comfortable and therefore able to be present in the midst of our congregant’s pain and suffering.

The griever needs our presence. When the timing is right, we can certainly share the reality of heaven that serves as a foundation for our hope in the midst of grief and loss. But our encouragement should never be used to shut down the need of hurting people to express their pain over the sorrow they are experiencing regarding separation from their loved ones.
“Be prepared. Have your house in order.”

Pastor Jimmy and Shereen Ferguson / Baltimore, Maryland

We learn by example. Shereen’s two elderly aunts lived together and shared everything. Worried about what might happen if one or the other of them died, the aunts prepaid their funerals; wrote out all their wishes for their belongings; and had an attorney prepare their wills. When they died, there were no unanswered questions. Their testimony, in death as in life, was: “Be prepared. Have your house in order.” Ellen White wrote, “Death will not come one day sooner...because you have made your will.” By welcoming Trust Services into our life and into our church, we can truly live Ellen White’s teaching. Making wills is part of ordering your life – like doing a family budget or planning for education and careers. We’re preparing wills and guardianship documents for our children – so that our family can lead our church by example.

Call us toll free: 1-877-WILLPLAN
A few things I have learned

When I was seeing the usual array of patients on an ordinary day in 1962, one lady came in who appeared particularly troubled. As she detailed her symptoms, I sensed that her need was more spiritual than anything else. At that time in my life, my spiritual toolbox contained only a single item, and that was to invite her to visit my church.

Much to my embarrassment, when I met her at church, I couldn’t remember her name, so right away I called my receptionist. After I described the patient, she gave me name after name until she said “Wilma.” That was she, so I went back into the church and introduced her to the pastor.

Because I had never even had a thought about giving Bible studies to anyone, let alone Wilma, the pastor offered to study with her. When he began, Wilma’s 15-year-old daughter, Sharron, joined in. One lesson per week was not enough, so they did two. At that rate, it was not many weeks until both wanted to be baptized. Wilma’s husband, Everett, saw the wonderful changes in them, and he, too, was eventually baptized.

Then there was the matter of school for Sharron. The high school crowd had different values from Sharron’s new ones, so her parents were interested in hearing about Gem State Academy, a Christian high school. The financial obligations, however, seemed overwhelming. But between what Everett and Wilma could do, and adding what Sharron’s older brother contributed, what Sharron could earn at the school, and what aid the local church could offer, Sharron attended the academy after all. Following academy, she went to what was then known as Walla Walla College for elementary education training.

Eventually, I moved to Washington State and set up practice there. Our local church school needed a teacher, Sharron applied, and we hired her. Some time later, she married, and still later, she was my patient for her pregnancies. The first were twins. Sharron and Larry kept a growing spiritual life and raised their three children as Jesus’ close friends.

Years later, tragedy struck. A mammogram and biopsy confirmed Sharron’s breast cancer, which the doctors treated. However, it was later found in her brain. There were several brain surgeries, but each time the cancer returned with a vengeance. Her pastor and friends did what they could to help Sharron through this difficult time. Angela, her daughter who had just graduated from college, moved home to nurse Sharron through those trying years.

With my last visit to this family, not long before Sharron’s death, I concluded that in spite of the persistent and spreading cancer, God did His healing miracle in the mental and spiritual areas.

Since Sharron’s death, I have visited Angela several times. Now it is she who needs, and is finding, healing. She uses her physical, mental, and spiritual tools well, with her spiritual life centering around her daily devotional time with Jesus and the privilege of sharing God’s healing with her friends. And, she has just led her church in its Vacation Bible School.

She also tends to the physical factors in her personal life that she finds necessary for healing, such as careful nutrition for the health of her body’s cells, proper exercise for tension release, and regular times for sleep to bring both physical and mental stability and renewal. Emotional healing is, of course, also essential.

As we look over these three generations, what do we see, and what can we learn?

Wilma came seeking physical answers to spiritual problems. When given a spiritual start, she ran with it and gained the physical and mental blessings as well. Sharron had a physical problem that we never were able to cure. However, she was healed in the mental and spiritual areas. Angela now finds herself needing emotional healing, and she will find it with help from spiritual and physical tools.

As I have watched all this unfold during more than 45 years of medical practice and ministry, a few points have stuck out in my mind about how—if ministers and health professionals would work together—our churches would be in much better position to meet the needs of our
members, as well as be more effective in outreach to the community.

**It takes all three**

What if we were to separate these three areas of healing? What if I, as a physician, had not thought to address Wilma’s spiritual needs? What if the pastor had left her spiritual care to me, since I had been her initial contact person? What if the church had not joined together to help provide for the educational needs of Sharron?

First, ministers should recognize the need to keep the physical, emotional, and spiritual areas of life together as they work with Christian physicians and other professionals when people with special needs come forward.

Second, there are studies that show that purpose in life and spirituality are positively correlated in HIV-positive patients. Total sobriety maintenance for those with spiritual involvement and beliefs was significant over those who relapsed. There is support for belief that spirituality can significantly improve healing from cancer.

“Total health is possible only when the body and spirit are integrated into one reality.” Research confirms our understanding of humanity and human nature. That’s why ministers and health professionals need each other. None can do it all alone, but together they can be an effective team, working toward ministering to whatever needs arise with the folks they encounter on a daily basis.

Third, we need to work together on a personal basis by asking for help from each other when we need it. We need to join each other in the church, showing that we are on the same team, and we need to work together in our community outreach programs. We have often relegated the pastor’s role in a cooking school to ask God’s blessing on the food, or we request the doctor to give a personal introduction or a three minute “health nugget” at the start of the evangelist’s meetings.

Often those who might not be interested in a preaching series will be interested in an integrated physical, mental, and spiritual series done in your local church. God alone knows how many folks are members today who came looking for physical healing, not realizing how much more the Lord had in store for them.

**A more effective ministry**

In recent years, great strides were made in our local church when some of the individuals in the medical field had a weekly early morning meeting with the pastor for Bible study and prayer. Differences were worked out, and a spirit of trust grew so that we could count on each other to help in areas outside of each one’s primary expertise. We know we can refer more complex problems over to those who are best qualified to handle them, and as a team we are exponentially more effective than working solo.

Presently, for instance, we conduct weekly community health classes in which health professionals deal with the scientific data about health, another person deals with the social and emotional aspects, while the pastor takes one-third of the time with a dovetailed presentation centered on the healing stories from Scripture.

**How can ministers, then, do the same work as their Master?**

Team building has become the best answer I know to this important question. When we work as a team, God’s healing messages—grounded in the gospel of Jesus Christ and the hope of eternal life—have new luster and power. But, if a person hurts, physically or mentally, their own pain will be their first priority—not the nature of the Trinity, the prophecies of Daniel, or what happens during the millennium.

Imagine how much more effective your ministry would be if, through working with health professionals, you were able to better meet the physical and mental challenges of those with whom you come in contact. Think about how much more open folks would be to the spiritual messages if you were able to bring relief and comfort in areas where they hurt the most. People are not just spiritual beings but mental and physical as well, and we will be most effective when we can help them, as a team, in all three areas.

Have we forgotten this well-known statement? “Christ’s method alone will give true success in reaching the people. The Saviour mingled with men as one who desired their good. He showed His sympathy for them, ministered to their needs, and won their confidence. Then He bade them, ‘Follow Me.’”

Herein lies a crucial key to effective ministry: meeting the people’s needs wherever and whenever we possibly can. And so often, aren’t those needs physical and mental and spiritual? Not just one or another?

**Nobody can do it alone**

“I am the Lord that healeth thee” (Exod. 15:26). Ministers and health professionals are only the tools in God’s hands. God is our Healer, our Comforter, and our Savior. We can do none of these things. We can, instead, choose to cooperate with Him and others of His servants in seeking to reach out to the hurting, the lost, and the suffering who are always all around us. I saw this first with Wilma, then with Sharron, and now I’m seeing it with Wilma’s granddaughter, Angela.

Our work isn’t over. And it will never be, at least not until that day when, as was read at Sharron’s funeral, “the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord. Wherefore comfort one another with these words” (1 Thess. 4:16–18).

---

1 The names used in the scenarios throughout this article are pseudonyms.
Arua, Uganda—A two-week intensive training program in evangelism sponsored jointly by the Seventh-day Adventist Church in the Middle East and the Seventh-day Adventist Church for Trans-Europe, was recently conducted in Uganda for pastors from southern Sudan. The event, held in Arua, featured classroom lectures, hands-on workshops in evangelistic sermon preparation and delivery, and time for questions. The training was part of a far-reaching plan to emphasize the critical importance of evangelism as the lifeblood of the church in southern Sudan.

Janos Kovacs-Biro lectured on the motives, theology, and practice of evangelism, as well as practical suggestions on preparing for an evangelistic series. Maywald Jesudass dealt with Bible study and sermon preparation and issues relating to the personal life of the pastor/evangelist. Complementing these discourses were lectures by Bern Yuot on personal prayer and spiritual development. Amir Ghali also spoke on gaining decisions for Christ and the growth of the church.

“This training has inspired us with new ideas. We have been given a better understanding of our responsibilities as pastors and evangelists to share the gospel in a non-offensive way, yet with power and authority,” said Charles Lagu.

“Our heartfelt thanks goes to those who gave freely of their time and resources to make this training possible,” said Michael Collins, president of the church in South Sudan. [Michael Collins/TED News]

The years 2008 to 2010 have been designated as three special years to emphasize a new concept of large church convocations known as Festivals of Religious Freedom. This initiative is the result of a vision by John Graz, Public Affairs and Religious Liberty director for the Seventh-day Adventist Church world headquarters.

As a Christian community that endorses and proclaims the conviction that God created all people with the right to choose their beliefs, the Seventh-day Adventist Church is called to promote religious freedom in all countries where it is feasible, and to thereby preserve what has been accomplished through sacrifice and goodwill through the years.

The sincere expression of Thank You for Religious Freedom, expressed in various ways through the festivals, is a positive message to be delivered to public officials, to the community at large, and to all believers who enjoy the benefits of religious freedom around the world.

Festivals of Religious Freedom will embrace the following:

- Gratitude to God
- Gratitude to country
- Gratitude to public authorities
- Gratitude to institutions and organizations promoting religious freedom
- Gratitude to leaders of this noble cause
- Gratitude in memory of those who died in defense of religious freedom
- Gratitude to those who anonymously have been supporting religious freedom from behind the scene

During the festivals, emphasis will be given to thanking the host country and the presiding authorities who support religious freedom as an extension of justice and respect for human dignity. According to Graz, “Festivals of Religious Liberty have already been organized in many countries, including Romania, South Korea, the Philippines, Brazil, Peru, Ghana, South Africa, Trinidad and Tobago, Mexico, Guyana, and the Ukraine. In 2006 the first megafestival was organized in São Paulo, Brazil, with twelve thousand participants. Megafestivals are already planned for 2008 and 2009 in North America, South America, Asia, Africa, and other continents—including the First World Festival of Religious Freedom to be held in Lima, Peru, on November 21, 2009.” [Alfredo Garcia-Marenko]

Book Review


The topics of human sexuality and gender differentiation are very much alive today in academic circles and in society at large. The contribution of the Old Testament to human sexuality is abundant, but there has been a need to look at it in a holistic way, attempting to identify its underlying theological unity. Richard Davidson accepted the challenge and has produced a massive volume on the topic of sexuality in the Old Testament. It is an exciting book, uncovering theological insights of deep significance and, when necessary, taking the reader through the practices found in the ancient Near Eastern religions.
What we find in the Hebrew Scripture, Davidson argues, is to a significant extent unique.

Davidson defines human sexuality as referring to gender differentiation and to sexual endowment, that is to say, the biological, psychological, and social dimensions of sexuality. With that definition in mind, he proceeds to explore the Old Testament materials on sexuality. His methodology is clearly stated from the beginning. He is approaching the text in its canonical form. He accepts insights from other approaches to the text as long as they honor the text as we have it. This means that he will not read against the grain of the text but with it, and that consequently he will not apply to the text the feminist hermeneutics of suspicion and resistance, but rather one of consent. The result is an integrated theology of human sexuality that does not overlook the complexity of the topic and the diversity of materials found in the Old Testament.

As a result of the study of the biblical materials, Davidson has concluded that “the Edenic pattern for sexuality constitutes the foundation for the rest of the OT perspective on this topic” (p. 3). This is most probably the central premise of the book and becomes the evaluative criterion by which the rest of the materials on sexuality are evaluated. This premise will certainly be a bone of contention among scholars who have sustained that Creation theology was a latecomer in Old Testament theology. But in this case the canonical approach demonstrates the high value of Davidson’s premise. He has chosen to listen closely to the biblical text and the result is an impressive theology of human sexuality.

From the structural point of view, the book forms a literary envelope. Davidson begins with the theology of sexuality depicted in the narrative of the Garden of Eden and closes the book with the restoration of that theology in the book of Song of Solomon. There we again find a couple deeply in love in the setting of a garden. There is a final chapter on the New Testament, but it is almost an appendix. He persuasively demonstrates that the theology of the book is indeed a return to the Creation theology of human sexuality. Between those covers, he takes the reader on a journey throughout the Old Testament materials dealing with human sexuality after the Fall in the Torah, Prophets, and the Writings. He carefully deals in a balanced way with topics such as heterosexuality and homosexuality, transvestism, monogamy versus polygamy and concubinage, and questions related to the submission of woman. Other topics that do not escape his attention are prostitution, masturbation, mixed marriages, female impurity, adultery, and premarital sex.

Throughout the discussion Davidson is constantly able to demonstrate that the Edenic divine design for human sexuality was not totally lost in Israel. He acknowledges that human sexuality was distorted by sin and men abused and exploited women for their own interests. But he also affirms and demonstrates that such distortions were not condoned by the Lord. In fact, he argues that in the Old Testament women enjoyed a high status in religious and civil affairs. The Lord instituted a number of laws whose purpose was to set limits to the male abuse of women in Israelite society.

Unquestionably, the book reaches its climax in Davidson’s analysis of the book of Song of Solomon. This is an exquisite, tasteful, and yet vivid description and theology of human sexuality in all of its purity, reflecting the divine intent. The reader will be greatly enriched by a careful reading of the discussion. Davidson employed the theological elements of human sexuality found in the Creation narrative to structure the theology of Song of Solomon. However, he does not force on the book a theology that is foreign to it. On the contrary, he demonstrates that such theological parallel is provided by the text of the book itself.

The title of the book was taken from Song of Solomon 8:6c (NASB): “[Love is] the very flame of (Yahweh).” This means that “if the blaze of love, ardent love, such as between a man and a woman, is indeed the flame of Yahweh, then human love is explicitly described as originating in God, a spark off of the Holy Flame. It is therefore, in a word, Holy love” (p. 630).

Readers may argue with Davidson about the interpretation of particular passages, but they will probably acknowledge that he did not hastily reach his conclusions. He carefully went through the scholarly literature and submitted all suggestions and conclusions to the scrutiny of Scripture. Having said that, allow me a suggestion or two. Davidson argues quite convincingly that the Shulammite was the first wife of Solomon, and most probably an Egyptian princess. If that is the case, we are dealing with a mixed marriage. The Edenic theology of human sexuality found in the book seems to require a couple committed to the Lord. We know that in cases of political marriages the bride came accompanied by their maids and that her religious convictions were to be respected by making provision for her to worship her gods. Do we have any evidence for the opposite in the case of the Shulammite? Did she become an Israelite? I missed that discussion (perhaps it is there and I simply overlooked it). It is here that a valid pastoral concern surfaces that may need some attention. I also missed any discussion related to circumcision. It would be difficult to argue that the rite did not have sexual overtones.

This book is highly recommended to professors, pastors, family ministries personnel, and those interested in human sexuality.

—Reviewed by Ángel Manuel Rodríguez, ThD, director of the Biblical Research Institute, Silver Spring, Maryland, United States.
A illustrated Bible story caught my attention. The artist had taken dramatic license in the rendition of a hatchet that had sprouted hands and feet with little fins—the swimming ax head.

Subsequently, I’ve discovered this story is much more instructive of God’s leadership principles than mere entertainment.

**Define your need.** “And the sons of the prophets said to Elisha, ‘See now, the place where we dwell with you is too small for us’ ” (2 Kings 6:1).* The need was self-evident—“this place is too small.” They had insufficient room for their expanding group. Analysis of current reality is essential, for you cannot possibly go where you would like until you thoroughly understand where you are. Evaluate your situation until the need becomes self-evident to the majority of your team.

**Design your plan.** “Please, let us go to the Jordan, and let every man take a beam from there, and let us make there a place where we may dwell” (2 Kings 6:2). The young men brought Elisha a clear, detailed plan. A site had been selected, necessary resources had been identified and located, and a strategy had been developed for everyone’s task. With planning considered essential, the more detail available the better. To develop ownership, the planning process becomes even more important than the final draft.

**Defer to God’s will.** “So he answered, ‘Go’ ” (2 Kings 6:2). With God’s endorsement, success was guaranteed. When you advance, certain that you are following Heaven’s will, you have the assurance of success. Scripture says, “We should make plans—counting on God to direct us” (Prov. 16:9, TLB). You will discover no better basis for moving forward than assurance of God’s approval. Pray-erfully await God’s permission. Then boldly venture where you otherwise would fear to go.

**Determine your support.** “Please consent to go with your servants.’ And he answered, ‘I will go’ ” (2 Kings 6:3). When you have carefully designed your plan and prayerfully deferred to God’s will, you are ready to enlist the support of others. Recruit your team. Seek counsel from your leaders and request their active participation. Your efforts, combined with Heaven’s approval and your leaders’ involvement, guarantee success.

**Direct your actions.** “So he went with them. And when they came to the Jordan, they cut down trees” (2 Kings 6:4). What a prescription for success: careful preparation immediately followed by diligent work—vision transformed into activity. Israel had previously been to Jordan but hesitated to cross into the Promised Land. They had set up camp and even held a prayer meeting, but nothing happened until the spiritual leaders moved the people forward. Once you have made your plan and sought God’s will, go to work! Seize the initiative. Be about your duty.

**Describe your trauma.** “But as one was cutting down a tree, the iron ax head fell into the water; and he cried out and said, ‘Alas, master! For it was borrowed’ ” (2 Kings 6:5). Even a God-endorsed project does not eliminate difficulties. In this life the reality of tragedy will repeatedly focus our attention on the wider view of God’s promised new creation. If we could achieve a trouble-free existence here, we would not long for the blessed hope. However, differentiate between tragedy and trauma. This was not a tragic loss of life or serious injury. The trauma was loss of a borrowed tool by an embarrassed student loath to face the owner. Help your people understand God’s interest in our concerns, large and small.

**Delight in your miracles.** “So the man of God said, ‘Where did it fall?’ . . . and he made the iron float” (2 Kings 6:6). Imagine the young man’s relief. I’m certain there was rejoicing by the Jordan and I’m certain the story was retold hundreds of times by those who witnessed the event. Miracles generate ongoing testimonies of what wonderful things God has done. Sharing the story multiplies the powerful reassurance that if God is with us, who can be against us!

**Designate your responsibility.** “Pick it up for yourself!” (2 Kings 6:7). Our response to a miracle means acting upon God’s providence. The ax head floated, it did not swim to shore and jump up on the bank. Elisha’s instructions were clear, “Pick it up for yourself!” Do what you can do. When God does His part, we must do our part. Cooperation with providential opportunities guarantees ultimate victory. When Jesus sent Peter fishing for Caesar’s tax, the coin was already in the mouth of the fish. But the miracle was only accomplished when Peter followed instructions.

**Decide to obey.** “So he reached out his hand and took it” (2 Kings 6:7). Each of us has a choice. Obedience is a decision. The student could have observed the floating ax head and never retrieved the miracle. He could have heard the instructions but refused to participate. When God opens an opportunity, we must cooperate. When we decide to obey, miracles move from possibility to reality.

This powerfully illustrates the miracle of working God’s way! □

---

*Unless otherwise noted, Scripture quotations are from the NKJV.

Tell us what you think about this editorial. Email us at MinistryMagazine@gc.adventist.org or write to us at 12501 Old Columbia Pike, Silver Spring, MD 20904.
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