SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

Chaplaincy 2014 Year One GSEM 790 DMIN PROJECT SEMINAR Spring 2014

David Penno, PhD



Andrews **D**University

GSEM 790 DMIN PROJECT SEMINAR 2014 CHAPLAINCY COHORT

Spring 2014

GENERAL SEMINAR INFORMATION

Intensive location: Intensive dates: Credits offered: Florida Conference Office, Orlando, FL Monday, April 7, 2014, to Tuesday, April 15, 2014 4

INSTRUCTOR CONTACT DETAILS

Professor:David Penno, PhDTelephone:269-471-6366Email:penno@andrews.eduOffice location:Seminary, S207Office hours:8:00 am-12:00 pm, 1:00-5:30 pm (M-Th), 8:00 am-12:00 pm (F)

SEMINAR DESCRIPTION

Forming the project proposal and issues related to completing the project successfully. Areas of focus include literature review, theological reflection, critical thinking, experiential learning, reflective observation, research design and techniques, reading and evaluating research, academic writing, an effective work plan for completion of the project, and other project-related topics.

OUTCOMES

Program Learning Outcomes (PO)

Doctor of Ministry (DMIN) Program Outcomes

- 1. Experience positive collegial relationships (PO 5).
- 2. Gain theoretical knowledge that contributes to advanced ministry (PO 7).
- 3. Evaluate ministerial practices through theological reflection (**PO 9**).
- 4. Use appropriate tools to analyze the needs of churches and communities (PO 10).
- 5. Develop habits of study that contribute to lifelong learning (PO 13).

Student Learning Outcomes (SLO)

The student should be able to:

- 1. demonstrate a focused commitment to academic research and writing
- 2. demonstrate a willingness to receive constructive criticism and input from others
- 3. demonstrate a knowledge of both Andrews Standards for Written Work and APA style
- 4. demonstrate an understanding of the principles of good academic research and writing
- 5. complete a successful DMin project proposal
- 6. incorporate the skills of good academic writing in all DMin assignments
- 7. complete a successful DMin project document

SEMINAR REQUIREMENTS

I. Pre-Intensive

Pre-Intensive Reading:

A journal is due the first day of the teaching intensive for each of the four required pre-session titles (excluding *Andrews University Standards for Written Work*). The journal (there will be four, one for each book) is an informal reflection of your thoughts as you read the book. Reflection in this context suggests a cognitive and imaginative process. Examine what you read in the article and "bounce it off" what you have experienced or imagined. Consider the text in the light of your values, experiences, ideas, and hopes. The result is your "reflection" on the text. Give deliberate and intentional attention to how the text relates to your life and relate it with written clarity. Journals are usually four to six pages, need not follow any particular style, and will not be graded for grammar, writing, etc. Begin the journal for each book with a simple statement that you have read the required book or state what you have read of the book.

Prepare and submit a report stating that you have read *Andrews University Standards for Written Work* (12th -Updated). You do not write a journal for this title.

The pre-intensive assignments are **due April 7, 2014, 8:00 am**. Upload these assignments in Moodle.

- 1. Ammerman, N. T., Carroll, J. W., Dudley, C. S., & McKinney, W. (Eds.). (1998). *Studying congregations: A new handbook*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press.
- 2. Andrews University standards for written work (12th ed. updated). (2011). Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press.

This book can be downloaded from the following URL: http://www.andrews.edu/sem/dmin/project/writing_assistance/

- 3. Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2010). *They say I say: The moves that matter in academic writing* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: W. W. Norton.
- 4. Pyrczak, F. (2008). *Evaluating research in academic journals: A practical guide to realistic evaluation* (4th ed.). Glendale, CA: Pyrczak.
- 5. Sahlin, M. (2005). *Understanding your community*. Lincoln, NB: Center for Creative Ministry.

(Distributed by AdventSource, the NAD materials center at <u>www.adventsource.org</u>)

Books can be purchased in any manner convenient to the participant. For ISBN and price information, please see the listing at the Bookstore <u>www.andrews.edu/bookstore</u>.

II. During the Intensive

- A. Punctual attendance is required for all intensive sessions. A maximum of 10% absence of total activities is allowed.
- B. Participation in discussion, group activities, journaling, and compilation of notes is expected.
- C. Assignments due during the intensive:
 - 1. Develop a Title Page. Due day 2, 8:00 am.
 - 2. Write a 2-3 paragraph Description of the Ministry Context. **Due day 2, 8:00 am**.
 - 3. Write a 4-5 sentence Statement of the Problem. **Due day 2, 8:00 am**.
 - 4. Write a 1-2 sentence Statement of the Task. Due day 3, 8:00 am.
 - 5. Write a ¹/₄ to ¹/₂ page Delimitations section. **Due day 3, 8:00 am**.
 - 6. Write a 1 page Description of the Project Process. Due day 3, 8:00 am.
 - 7. Develop a 2 page Project Document Outline. Due day 4, 8:00 am.

8. Develop a 1 page Vita. Due day 4, 8:00 am.

9. Develop a Reference List (12 references). **Due day 7, 8:00 am**.

III. Post-Intensive

A. Ministry Context Paper-Use available sources of information to write a 15-page paper describing the congregation or ministry organization in which you serve—including basic statistics such as typical attendance, community context, demographics, growth/decline trends, involvement of volunteers, financial giving (tithe, offerings, etc.), regular activities and programs, etc.—as well as an analysis of the congregation and community as a context for your Doctor of Ministry Project. A detailed outline of what is expected in this paper is in Appendix B, and will be explained during class time. The **due date** is July 2, 2014.

This paper is to be written according to the latest edition of Andrews Standards for Written Work and the American Psychological Association (APA) Style Manual, and <u>submitted via Moodle</u> in MS Word. Since this is a graduate course, correct spelling, proper grammar, and non-sexist, non-racist language usage are basic requirements.

B. Prepare and submit a Project Proposal to the DMin Project Proposal Subcommittee. This means that both the Project Coach and your advisor have approved the proposal as ready for submission to the sub-committee (see rubric in Appendix A). The advisor must send the Project Coach an email by the due date, affirming approval of the proposal. All drafts of the proposal are sent to the advisor and Project Coach via email.

GRADING AND ASSESSMENT

A. Credit Hour Definition

The Doctor of Ministry program requires 56 hours of study for each credit hour. This seminar is 4 hours, for a total of 224 hours.

For this seminar, the instructor estimates that this total of 224 hours will be distributed in the following activities:

• Reading and journaling – **84 hours**

- Intensive **55 hours**
- Assignments during the intensive **10 hours**
- Ministry Context paper **45 hours**
- Development of the project proposal **30 hours**

B. Criteria for Grades

Assessment is accomplished by evaluating participation and assignments around the outcomes of the concentration. The chart below describes the process of judging the integration of those outcomes.

Due Dates	Learning Resources Provided in This Seminar	Process of Assessment
April 7, 2014, 8 am	Pre-intensive reading and journaling	Journaling of literature: evaluation of personal reflection on the process of academic writing and research
During the Intensive	Intensive presentations and exercises	Evaluation of the quality of intensive participation
		(SLO 1)
During the Intensive	Peer group evaluations of writing exercises during the intensive One-on-one consultation with lead teacher(s) regarding draft of project proposal during the intensive	Observation of peer group interaction The response of the participant during the one-on-one consultation and the revision of their proposal to reflect the feedback they received (SLO 2, PO 5)
April 7, 2014, 8 am During the Intensive	Pre-intensive reading and journaling Intensive presentation and writing exercises	Journaling of literature and evaluation of their understanding of the principles expressed in the literature The incorporation of proper formatting and style into the writing work done during and after the intensive (SLO 3)
During the Intensive	Intensive presentations—in particular the academic writing workshop—and the writing exercises Field Research presentations	Journaling of literature and evaluation of their understanding of the principles expressed in the literature.

		The incorporation of good principles of academic writing and research into their work done during and after the intensive (SLO 4, PO 7, PO 10)
During the Intensive September 15, 2014	Intensive writing exercises Peer group evaluations of writing exercises during the intensive One-on-one consultation with lead teacher(s) regarding draft of project proposal during the intensive Post-intensive assignment of developing a project proposal for submission to the Project Proposal Subcommittee	Evaluation of the Project Proposal by the Project Proposal Subcommittee Approval of the Proposal by the Proposal Subcommittee (see Appendix on page 14ff for the DMin Project Proposal Rubric , which is used to evaluate the proposal) (SLO 5)
During the Intensive July 02, 2014 September 15, 2014	Intensive writing exercises the Ministry Context Paper and Post- intensive development of a project proposal	On-going evaluation of completed chapters by the project coach, the project editor, the advisor, and the 2 nd reader Final evaluation of the project document at the oral assessment. (SLO 6, PO 9, PO 13)
April 7, 2014, 8 am During the Intensive	Pre-intensive reading and journaling Intensive presentations and exercises	On-going evaluation of completed chapters by the project coach, the project editor, the advisor, and the 2 nd reader Final evaluation of the project document at the oral assessment. (SLO 7, PO 7, PO 9, PO 13)

C. Grade Points

Pre-intensive Work:	
Reading Journals—30 points each x 4 books =	120 points
Reading Report (AU Standards)	20 points
During Intensive Work:	160 points
Post-Intensive Work:	
Description of Ministry Context Paper	350 points
An Approved Project Proposal (see rubric on pp. 14ff)	350 points
Total	1,000 points
LETTER GRADE SCALE:	

A (96-100%)B (85-89%)C (75-78%)A- (93-95%)B- (82-84%)C- (72-74%)B+ (90-92%)C+ (79-81%)

D. Assignment Submission

<u>All assignments, except your final proposal, will be turned in via Moodle</u> (go to <u>https://aumoodle.andrews.edu/login/index.php</u> and log in using your AU username and password)

Assignments are <u>not</u> accepted via email or hard copy. The only exception is the final proposal, which is sent to Dr. David Penno at <u>penno@andrews.edu</u>.

E. The late submission penalties for all assignments, **except the project proposal**, will be applied as follows:

Late up to 30 days:	10% penalty
Late 31-60 days:	15% penalty
Late 61-90 days:	20% penalty
Late more than 90 days:	Grade of zero: no assignments accepted beyond 90 days

Project Proposal is due September 15, 2014. A grade of DN (deferred and **not completable***) will be given if the <u>project proposal</u> is not approved by the project coach and your advisor by this date. No excuses accepted or exceptions made. A DN means you must drop out of your cohort.

* Graduation requires a 3.0 or better program GPA. Students who receive a DN must seek permission from the DMin office to restart with another cohort and seek a new program time limit. Such requests are considered by the DMin program committee and not guaranteed. No tuition refunds are considered.

ASESSMENT GUIDELINES

See rubric in Appendix A of this syllabus for the assessment tool used for the project proposal.

See Appendix B for criteria for the Ministry Context Paper.

SEMINAR POLICIES

Academic Integrity

"In harmony with the mission statement (p.18), Andrews University expects that students will demonstrate the ability to think clearly for themselves and exhibit personal and moral integrity in every sphere of life. Thus, students are expected to display honesty in all academic matters.

Academic dishonesty includes (but is not limited to) the following acts: falsifying official documents; plagiarizing, which includes copying others' published work, and/or failing to give credit properly to other authors and creators; misusing copyrighted material and/or violating licensing agreements (actions that may result in legal action in addition to disciplinary action taken by the University); using media from any source or medium, including the Internet (e.g., print, visual images, music) with the intent to mislead, deceive or defraud; presenting another's work as one's own (e.g. placement exams, homework, assignments); using material during a quiz or examination other than those specifically allowed by the teacher or program; stealing, accepting, or studying from stolen quizzes or examination materials; copying from another student during a regular or take-home test or quiz; assisting another in acts of academic dishonesty (e.g., falsifying attendance records, providing unauthorized course materials).

Andrews University takes seriously all acts of academic dishonesty. Such acts as described above are subject to incremental discipline for multiple offenses and severe penalties for some offenses. These acts are tracked in the office of the Provost. Repeated and/or flagrant offenses will be referred to the Committee for Academic Integrity for recommendations on further penalties. Consequences may include denial of admission, revocation of admission, warning from a teacher with or without formal documentation, warning

from a chair or academic dean with formal documentation, receipt of a reduced or failing grade with or without notation of the reason on the transcript, suspension or dismissal from the course, suspension or dismissal from the program, expulsion from the university, or degree cancellation. Disciplinary action may be retroactive if academic dishonesty becomes apparent after the student leaves the course, program or university

Departments or faculty members may publish additional, perhaps more stringent, penalties for academic dishonesty in specific programs or courses". *AU Bulletin*

Disability Accommodations

Accommodations are made for disabilities. Students with diagnosed disabilities should request accommodation. If you qualify for accommodation under the American Disabilities Act, please see the instructor as soon as possible for referral and assistance in arranging such accommodations.

Class Absences

"Whenever the number of absences exceeds 20% (10% for graduate classes) of the total course appointments, the teacher may give a failing grade. Merely being absent from campus does not exempt the student from this policy. Absences recorded because of late registration, suspension, and early/late vacation leaves are not excused. The class work missed may be made up only if the teacher allows. Three tardies are equal to one absence.

Registered students are considered class members until they file a Change of Registration form in the Office of Academic records". *AU Bulletin*

Excused Absences

"Excuses for absences due to illness are granted by the teacher. Proof of illness is required. Residence hall students are required to see a nurse on the first day of any illness which interferes with class attendance. Non-residence hall students should show written verification of illness obtained from their own physician. Excuses for absences not due to illness are issued directly to the dean's office. Excused absences do not remove the student's responsibility to complete all requirements of a course. Class work is made up by permission of the teacher". *AU Bulletin*

Language and Grammar

There is an expectation that a student enrolled in a graduate program possesses advanced written language skills, particularly in the language in which the degree is acquired. Thus, no special consideration will be given to English as a second language learners or native-English speakers who have yet to obtain mastery in written English. Such students are advised to seek the assistance of the campus writing lab or procure the services of an editor prior to the submission of their assignments. Tips for success include reading your assignments aloud and having someone else do likewise prior to submission. This practice will provide you with immediate feedback on your written assignments.

Emergency Protocol

Andrews University takes the safety of its student seriously. Signs identifying emergency protocol are posted throughout buildings. Instructors will provide guidance and direction to students in the classroom in the event of an emergency affecting that specific location. It is important that you follow these instructions and stay with your instructor during any evacuation or sheltering emergency.

After 25 years of pastoral ministry, I served the churches of Georgia-Cumberland as the Evangelism Coordinator for 5 years. I began my ministry in the Iowa-Missouri Conference in 1980, serving there for 13 years. We moved to Georgia-Cumberland in 1993.

I graduated from Southern Adventist University in 1980 with a BA in Theology and a minor in Biblical Languages. In 2000 I received an MA in Religion from Southern with emphasis in Homiletics and Church Growth. In May of 2009 I graduated with a PhD in Leadership from Andrews University, with a focus on cross-cultural and multi-cultural leadership.



Nancy and I have been married for over 37 years. We have two sons, Matthew and Eric. Matthew is a firefighter for Cobb County GA and is married to Heather. Eric is firefighter and EMT in the Berrien Springs area, is married to Melody, and they have a daughter Chrissy and a son Bentley.

We enjoy spending time at the beach, reading, and visiting historical sites. The boys and I also like to go backpacking and camping.

Appendix

DMin Project Proposal Rubric

Title Page

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Required	All of the required	1 of the components is	2 of the components	More than 2 of the
Components	components of the	missing	is missing	components is missing
	title page are			
	included: (1) the			
	name of the			
	University and			
	seminary, (2) title of			
	the study, (3) the			
	degree for which the			
	paper is submitted,			
	and (4) the author's			
	name and current			
	month and year			
Formatting	The page is formatted	The page is mostly	There are 2-3 spacing	There are more than 3
	correctly according to	formatted correctly	or placement errors	spacing or placement
	Andrews University	according to Andrews		errors
	Standards of Written	University Standards		
	Work. The	of Written Work. One		
	components are all in	of the components is		
	the correct order and	not space correctly		
	spaced correctly			
Title of the Study	Title <u>clearly</u> describes	Title describes the	The title is only	The title seems to
	the what, who, and	what, who, and where	vaguely connected to	have no connection to
	where of the project	of the project	the project	the project
Language	There are no spelling	There is 1 spelling	There are 2-3 spelling	There are more than 3
Conventions	errors	error	errors	spelling errors

Continued on next page

Description of the Ministry Context

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Limited to ½ to ¾ of	No more than 1 page	More than 1 page or	Guidelines for length
	a page		less than ½ page	are not followed
Content	Describes clearly and	Describes somewhat	Description lacks	No clear description of
	concisely the ministry	clearly the ministry	clarity and	the ministry context
	context where the	context where the	conciseness and/or	
	project will be	project will be	are related more the	
	implemented	implemented	outcomes than	
			reasons of	
			importance	
Format	Follows precisely the	Follows the format in	There are some clear	Does not follow at all
	format in the project	the project proposal	differences from the	the format of the
	proposal example	sample with minor	project proposal	project proposal
		variation	sample	sample
Clearly Written	The Description is	The Description is	Several sentence in	The Description does
	written in a reader-	written in a reader-	the Description lack	not promote reader
	friendly manner that	friendly manner. One	clarity of expression.	understanding and/or
	models clarity of	or two sentences lack	Expression of some	is unclear in language
	expression. Uses short	clarity of expression.	ideas is confusing to	use and expression.
	declarative sentences.	Uses short declarative	the reader. Uses long,	Uses long, rambling or
		sentences.	rambling sentences.	run-on sentences.
Language	There are no spelling,	There is one spelling,	There are 2-3	There are more than 3
Conventions	grammar, or	grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or
	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors

Continued on next page

Statement of the Problem

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	The Statement of the Problem is limited to 4 to 5 sentences	The Statement is 6 to 7 sentences	The Statement is 8-10 sentences	Guidelines for Statement length are not followed.
Nature of the Problem	A specific problem from the ministry context is clearly identified	A specific problem is indentified that is somewhat connected to the ministry context	The problem is not connected to the context of ministry	The problem is outside of the scope of ministry
Evidence of the Problem	The reality of the problem is supported by clear objective evidence	The reality of the problem is supported by subjective evidence	The source of the evidence is unclear	There is no evidence given to support the reality of the problem
Restrictive Nature of the Problem	The problem is neither too broad or too narrow and deals with one specific issue— any other problems are seen in subordination to the major one	A specific problem is identified but is either two broad or too narrow in scope	Multiple problems are identified	Does not demonstrate a clear understanding of the problem to be addressed
Components of the Statement	The Statement addresses the stable context, provides evidence of the problem, the consequences of the problem, and identifies the destabilizing condition (root problem)	1 of the four components are missing from the Statement	2 of the four components are missing from the Statement	3 or more of the components are missing from the Statement
Format	Follows precisely the format in the project proposal sample	Follows the format in the project proposal sample with minor variation	There are some clear differences from the project proposal sample	Does not follow at all the format of the project proposal sample
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There is 1 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are 2-3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors
Clearly Written	The Statement is written in a reader- friendly manner that models clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	The Statement is written in a reader- friendly manner. One or two sentences lack clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	Several sentence in the Statement lack clarity of expression. Expression of some ideas is confusing to the reader. Uses long, rambling sentences.	The Statement does not promote reader understanding and/or is unclear in language use and expression. Uses long, rambling or run-on sentences.

Continued on next page

Statement of the Task

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Is limited to 1 to 2 sentences	Is limited to 3 to 4 sentences	The statements is 5 to 7 sentences	Guidelines for statement length are
				not followed.
Relationship to the	The Statement of the	The Statement of the	The Statement of the	There is no correlation
Statement of the	Task relates directly to	Task is somewhat	Task does not clearly	between the
Problem	the Statement of the	related to the	relate to the	Statement of the Task
	Problem	Statement of the Problem	Statement of the Problem	and the Statement of the Problem
Restrictive Nature	The task is neither too broad or too narrow and deals with one specific problem—any other problems are seen in subordination to the major one	A specific task is identified but is either two broad or too narrow in scope	Multiple tasks are identified	Does not describe a clear task to be implemented
Necessary	Clearly states what	Clearly states what	The what and the	It is not clear what you
Descriptors	you are going to do and why	you are going to do, but is less clear on why	why are vague	intend to do or why
Imbedded Intentions	There is a clear	The statement is	Two or more of the	There are no
	intention stated to	missing one of the	intentions are	imbedded intentions
	develop, implement, and evaluate the intervention	three intentions	missing from the statement	in the statement
Format	Follows prosisoly the	Follows the format in	There are some clear	Does not follow at all
	Follows precisely the format in the project	the project proposal	differences from the	the format of the
	proposal sample	sample with minor	project proposal	project proposal
		variation	sample	sample
Language	There are no spelling,	There is 1 spelling,	There are 2-3	There are more than 3
Conventions	grammar, or punctuation errors	grammar, or punctuation errors	spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors
Clearly Written	The Statement is	The Statement is	Several sentence in	The Statement does
	written in a reader-	written in a reader-	the Statement lack	not promote reader
	friendly manner that	friendly manner. One	clarity of expression.	understanding and/or
	models clarity of	or two sentences lack	Expression of some	is unclear in language
	expression. Uses short	clarity of expression.	ideas is confusing to	use and expression.
	declarative sentences.	Uses short declarative	the reader. Uses long,	Uses long, rambling or
	l	sentences.	rambling sentences.	run-on sentences.

Continued on next page

Delimitations of the Project

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Limited to 1/3 to 1/2	No more than ¾ of a	More than ¾ of a page	Guidelines for length
	of a page	page		are not followed
Content	Clearly articulates	Somewhat articulates	Vaguely articulates	Does not articulate
	self-imposed	self-imposed	self-imposed	any real self-imposed
	limitations of the	limitations of the	limitations of the	limitations
	project, such as ethnic	project, such as ethnic	project, such as ethnic	
	groups, age groups,	groups, age groups,	groups, age groups,	
	gender, church	gender, church	gender, church	
	organizational units,	organizational units,	organizational units,	
	geography, etc.	geography, etc.	geography, etc.	
Format	Follows precisely the	Pretty much follows	There are some clear	Does not follow at all
	format in the project	the format in the	differences from the	the format of the
	proposal example	project proposal	project proposal	project proposal
		sample	sample	sample
Clearly Written	The expectations are	The expectations are	Several sentence in	The expectations do
	written in a reader-	written in a reader-	the expectations lack	not promote reader
	friendly manner that	friendly manner. One	clarity of expression.	understanding and/or
	models clarity of	or two sentences lack	Expression of some	is unclear in language
	expression. Uses	clarity of expression.	ideas is confusing to	use and expression.
	concise sentences.	Uses concise	the reader. Uses long,	Uses long, rambling or
		sentences.	rambling sentences.	run-on sentences.
Language	There are no spelling,	There is 1 spelling,	There are 2-3 spelling,	There are more than 3
Conventions	grammar, or	grammar, or	grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or
	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors

Continued on next page

Description of the Project Process

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	The description is limited to 1 page	The description is 1 ½ pages	The description is less than ¾ of a page and greater than 1 ½ pages	Guidelines for statement length are not followed
Logic/Flow	The section is well organized. It outlines a clear and logical sequence of steps.	The section is pretty well organized. One idea may seem out of place.	The flow is a little hard to follow. The outlined steps do not seem to have a logical flow.	Steps seem to be randomly organized.
Theological Reflection and Literature Review	Shows a clear and well defined intention to provide theological reflection and significant literature reporting	Shows an intention to provide theological reflection and literature reporting but is less clearly defined	Is missing one of the two components	Does not show an intention to provide either
Intervention Design	Clearly articulates the intervention design that will be used	Somewhat articulates the intervention design that will be used	The intervention design is unclear	The intervention design is not given
Implementation Process	The process of implementation is well defined	The process of implementation is somewhat defined	The process of implementation is unclear	No implementation process is given
Evaluation Process	The process of evaluation is well defined	The process of evaluation is somewhat defined	The process of evaluation is unclear	No evaluation process is given
Expected Completion Date	Based on the nature of the problem, a <u>realistic</u> completion date is given (Month and Year)	Based on the nature of the problem, a <u>very</u> <u>tight</u> completion date is given (Month and Year)	Based on the nature of the problem, a <u>unrealistic</u> completion date is given (Month and Year)	No expected completion date is given
Format	Follows precisely the format in the project proposal example	Pretty much follows the format in the project proposal sample	There are some clear differences from the project proposal sample	Does not follow at all the format of the project proposal sample
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There is 1 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are 2-3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors
Clearly Written	The project process is written in a reader- friendly manner that models clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	The project process is written in a reader- friendly manner. One or two sentences lack clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	Several sentence in the project process lack clarity of expression. Expression of some ideas is confusing to the reader. Uses long, rambling sentences.	The project process does not promote reader understanding and/or is unclear in language use and expression. Uses long, rambling or run-on sentences.

Continued on next page

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Outline is limited to 2	No more than 2 ½	Outline is more than	Guidelines for length
	pages	pages	2 ½ pages but no	are not followed
			more than 3	
Evidence of Reflective	The chapter titles and	Chapter titles and	Chapter titles and	Chapter titles and
Work	subheads <u>clearly</u>	subheads show that	subheads suggest	subheads seem to be
	show that reflective	some thought has	that little thought has	randomly selected
	thought has been	been given to the	been given to the	
	given to the content	content of each	content of each	
	of each chapter	chapter	chapter	
Logic/Flow	The chapters are well	The chapters are well	An entire chapter	Chapters seem to be
	organized. One	organized. The flow of	seems out of place.	randomly arranged
	chapter follows	material in one of the		
	another in a logical	chapters may seem		
	sequence.	out of sequence.		
Foundational	Chapter 1 is	One of the	Two of the	All three of the
Chapters	designated as an	foundational chapters	foundational chapters	foundational chapters
	Introductory chapter,	is out of sequence	are out of sequence	are missing
	Chapter 2 is set apart			
	as a theological			
	foundations chapter			
	and Chapter 3 as a			
	Literature review			
	chapter			
Intervention and	Chapter 4 will			
Learning Chapters	describe the plan or			
	strategy of			
	intervention, Chapter			
	5 will narrate the			
	implementation of	One of the	Two of the	All three of the
	the intervention, and	Intervention and	intervention and	intervention and
	Chapter 6 will	learning chapters is	learning chapters is	learning chapters are
	describe the learning	missing	missing	missing
	from the project, and			
	describe the personal			
	and professional			
	transformation of the			
	participant			
Format	Follows precisely the	Follows the format in	There are some clear	Does not follow at all
	format in the project	the project proposal	differences from the	the format of the
	proposal example	sample with minor	project proposal	project proposal
a l 1 1 1 1		variation	sample	sample
Clearly Written	The outline is written	The outline is written	Several sentence in	The outline does not
	in a reader-friendly	in a reader-friendly	the outline lack clarity	promote reader
	manner that models	manner. One or two	of expression.	understanding and/or
	clarity of expression.	sentences lack clarity	Expression of some	is unclear in language
	Uses concise	of expression. Uses	ideas is confusing to	use and expression.
	sentences.	concise sentences.	the reader. Uses long,	Uses long, rambling or
			rambling sentences.	run-on sentences.
Language	There are no spelling,	There is 1 spelling,	There are 2-3	There are more than 3
Conventions	grammar, or	grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or
	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors

Project Proposal Reference List

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Correct Style for The	All of the various	2 of the entries are not	3-4 of the entries are	5 or more of the
Type of Entry	types of entries are	in correct APA style	not in correct APA	entries are not in
	in correct APA style		style	correct APA style
Number of	A minimum of 60	50 references from	40 references or,	Less than 40
References	references from varied types of sources	varied types of sources	regardless of the number of entries, they are limited to one single source type	references
Language	There are no spelling	There is 1 spelling	There are 2-3 spelling	There are more than 3
Conventions	errors	error	errors	spelling errors

Vita

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Should be very brief— no more than 1 page	Just over 1 page	Is more than 1 ½ pages	Guidelines for length are not followed
Components	Includes educational and employment history, and current contact information	Does not include 1 of the components	Does not include 2 of the components	Does not include any of the components
Language Conventions	There are no spelling or punctuation errors	There is 1 spelling or punctuation error	There are 2-3 spelling or punctuation errors	There are more than 3 spelling or punctuation errors

Continued on next page

Overall Project Proposal

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	The main text of the	The main text of the	The main text of the	The guidelines for
	proposal should be	proposal is 7-8 pages	proposal is 9-10	length are not
	limited to 5-6 pages		pages	followed
Components	All of the components	1 of the components is	2 of the components	More than 2 of the
	of a project proposal	missing or out of	are missing or out of	components are
	are included and in	sequence	sequence	missing or out of
	the right order			sequence
Format	The proposal is	The proposal is mostly	There are 2-3	There are more than 3
	formatted correctly	formatted correctly	formatting errors	formatting errors
	according to Andrews	according to Andrews		
	University Standards	University Standards		
	of Written Work.	of Written Work.		
		There is one		
		formatting errors		
Style	The proposal follows	The proposal mostly	There are 2-3 APA	There are more than 3
	correct APA style	follows correct APA	style errors	APA style errors
		style. There is 1 APA		
		style error.		
Clearly Written	The overall proposal	The overall proposal is	Several sentence in	The proposal does not
	is written in a reader-	written in a reader-	the proposal lack	promote reader
	friendly manner that	friendly manner. One	clarity of expression.	understanding and/or
	models clarity of	or two sentences lack	Expression of some	is unclear in language
	expression. Uses	clarity of expression.	ideas is confusing to	use and expression.
	concise sentences.	Uses concise	the reader. Uses long,	Uses long, rambling or
		sentences.	rambling sentences.	run-on sentences.
Language	There are no spelling,	There is 1 spelling,	There are 2-3	There more than 3
Conventions	grammar, or	grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or	spelling, grammar, or
	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors	punctuation errors

Rubric: Revised 11/06/2012

Appendix B

GSEM790 Field Research for Ministry

What to Include in the Research Paper

This assignment will also be the first draft of the section on your Ministry Context in Chapter 1 of your Project Document.

It should be a total of about <u>15 pages</u>, double-spaced, in APA style, and formatted using the latest version of *Andrews Standards for Written Works*. Use Times New Roman font in 12 point.

1. Introduce the congregation (one or two paragraphs)

What year was it founded? Where does it meet on Sabbath? (address, brief description of facilities) Typical Sabbath attendance Official membership Total number of participants in all programs and activities What school(s), institutions and conference is it related to? When did you become pastor and how many years have you been there?

2. History (one page)

3. Internal statistics and trends (two or three pages)

Membership data over at least 10 years (a table or graph) Attendance data over at least 10 years (a table or graph) Giving data over at least 10 years (a table or graph for Tithe, local, other) Summarize and comment on trends [Why various ups/downs/plateaus?] How does per capita giving compare to conference per capita? Why?

4. Ministry overview (two or three pages)

Demographic profile of members if you have it or estimates/guesses What percentage of members are involved in leadership? ... volunteering? Describe regular ministries (groups, activities, programs) About how many people currently participate in each? Number of leaders? Number of others? Percentage of members and non-members? (estimate) Purpose and effectiveness of each How did most of the people you baptized in the last year (or two or three) come into the congregation? How did your people flow relate to the various ministries? Other analysis or comments on ministries

5. Community context (five to eight pages)

What type of community? Demographics (get data from census web site) Summarize: What kind of people live here? What is their culture and values? Compare demographics of community with demographics of church members

Religious profile (<u>www.thearda.com</u> in the U.S.; census web site in other nations) What are some of the major human needs in this community? (United Way, Percept, etc.) What percentage of your members live in the community? Are involved in a civic group? How well known is your church in the community? What community needs does it meet? What is the penetration ratio? (Number of population per Adventist member)

6. How does the context relate to your project? (one or two pages)

If you are a department director, chaplain, administrator or director of a specialized ministry: Then define your territory as the "community" above and your constituency as the "congregation" above. For a department director, the focus may be "youth ministry in the Central Conference," for example. For a chaplain, the institution is the "community" and you can focus on either the pastoral care department (including volunteers, relevant administrators, etc.) as your ministry organization or those who attend chapel as your "congregation," if you wish.

Syllabus: Revised 05/16/2013