

Multiethnic/Multicultural Mission and Ministry (NAD), 2018 Cohort
2019, Year Two

GSEM706, SPIRITUAL AND THEOLOGICAL
FOUNDATIONS FOR MINISTRY

Allan Walshe

Andrews University

GSEM 706

SPIRITUAL AND THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR MINISTRY

MULTIETHNIC/MULTICULTURAL MISSION AND MINISTRY 2018

GENERAL MODULE INFORMATION

Intensive location: Berrien Springs, MI, Andrews University
Intensive Dates: March 28-April 22, 2019
Credits offered: 8

INSTRUCTOR CONTACT DETAILS

Professor: Allan Walshe,
Telephone: 269-471-6186
Graduate Assistant: Jon Campbell
DiscipleshipGA@gmail.com

BULLETIN MODULE DESCRIPTION

This module looks at theological and spiritual foundations as a basis for engagement in ministry. Ministry is based on being as well as doing. This module builds the spiritual and theological foundation from which the practice of mission and ministry grows and seeks to lead the participant into a self-reflection and examination of life and belief.

SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

LEARNINGHUB ACCESS, 365-DAY LIMIT

LearningHub access for this module is limited to 365 days. Registered students generally have access to LearningHub 60 days prior to the first day of the intensive. All module assignments are to be submitted through LearningHub according to the due dates outlined in this syllabus.

MODULE MATERIALS

For this class there will be 8 books. They are divided into ‘pre intensive’ and ‘post intensive reading. The following list of 4 books is the pre intensive reading. The post-intensive reading list will be provided at the intensive.

- 1 McNeal, R. (2011). *A work of heart: Understanding how God shapes spiritual leaders*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- 2 Barnes, C. M. (1996). *When God interrupts: Finding new life through unwanted change*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press.
- 3 Miller, P.E. (2009). *A Praying Life: Connecting with God in a distracting world*. Colorado Springs, CO: NavPress.
- 4 Seamonds, D. (1991). *Healing for damaged emotions*. Colorado Springs, CO: Victor.

DMIN PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

The following program learning outcomes reflect the intended impact of the Doctor of Ministry Program:

1. Critically reflect on, articulate, and apply biblically based principles and values for excellence in mission and ministry.
2. Conduct research and implement an intervention in response to ministry challenges and trends in a local context, related to the primary field of service
3. Integrate knowledge and skills acquired into an effective ministry practice and evaluate the resultant impact on one’s personal experience and ministry.

DMIN PRIMARY EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

The Doctor of Ministry program seeks to develop the person, knowledge, and practice of its students. While the program is structured around certain areas of concentration, there are outcomes we feel are important to evaluate as outcomes for all students. The following are those program outcomes.

Being:

- a) Seek deeper Christ-like biblical spirituality
- b) Experience enrichment of personal and family life
- c) Intensify commitment to ministry
- d) Develop an Adventist perspective of discipleship, evangelism, mission, and ministry

Knowing:

- a) Acquire exceptional theoretical knowledge that contributes to advanced ministry
- b) Foster a holistic view of society and its needs
- c) Articulate theological and theoretical understandings that advance global ministry
- d) Understand the biblical model of servant leadership

Doing:

- a) Enhance the ability to evaluate ministerial practices through theological reflection
- b) Use appropriate tools to analyze the needs of churches and communities
- c) Refine skills that facilitate ministerial effectiveness
- d) Reinforce habits of study that contribute to lifelong learning

CONCENTRATION OBJECTIVES

The outcomes for the Multiethnic/Multicultural Mission and Ministry concentration include: a) knowledge base; b) transformational emphasis on being; and c) doing, evidenced in skill training for missional church practitioners.

A. Knowing: The knowledge base has the eleven following components

1. Understanding of the biblical and sociological foundations for multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
2. The four levels at which racism exists
3. The best practices for multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
4. A primary reason for the challenge of 2nd and 3rd generation immigrants
5. The changing demography of the United States
6. Postmodern and Metamodern views on racial inclusivity
7. The principles of racial reconciliation
8. Appreciation and awareness of the personal spiritual life as foundational for mission and ministry
9. Systems thinking and change theory

10. Leadership development as the framework for multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
 11. The principle of reflective practice
- B. Being: In the process of the concentration, participants will experience development in the following seven areas
1. One's identity formed through discipleship
 2. Multiethnic/Multicultural mission and ministry as a way of life
 3. Commitment to multi-ethnic/multicultural collaboration for mission and ministry
 4. Awareness of one's Cultural Intelligence
 5. A consciousness of personal and corporate racism
 6. Attentiveness to one's personal prejudices
 7. A lifelong learner
- C. Doing: Participants in the concentration demonstrate competency in areas fitting their context selected from the nine practices listed below
1. Implementing spiritual health into the fast paced life of the 21st century
 2. Applying the principles of racial reconciliation in their church and local community
 3. Modeling multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
 4. Transitioning a church for multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
 5. Planting a multiethnic/multicultural church
 6. Implementing best practices in multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
 7. Establishing clear indicators for assessing multiethnic/multicultural mission and ministry
 8. Processing and managing change
 9. Utilizing the principles of reflective practice

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Following are objectives that are important to the student development. These objectives should be reflected in the Ministry Development Plan developed by the participant.

The graduate will

1. Develop deeper biblical spirituality.
2. Experience enrichment of personal and family life.
3. Intensify commitment to ministry.
4. Develop an Adventist perspective of evangelism, mission, and ministry.
5. Experience positive collegial relationships.
6. Develop a global view of society and ministry.
7. Gain theoretical knowledge that contributes to advanced ministry.
8. Develop an understanding of the biblical model of servant leadership.
9. Evaluate ministerial practices through theological reflection.
10. Use appropriate tools to analyze the needs of churches and communities.
11. Develop skills that facilitate more effective ministry.

12. Articulate theological and theoretical understandings that advance global ministry.
13. Develop habits of study that contribute to lifelong learning.

THE COHORT

This module is open to members of this cohort, who take the sequence of modules and the project seminar together. Cohort members will meet in groups between intensives and pursue projects that advance their competencies. On completion, they will have completed a Multiethnic/Multicultural Mission and Ministry Concentration in their DMin program.

List all the modules and the project seminar for the cohort in sequence with intensive dates, starting with the words “Participants in the Multiethnic/Multicultural Mission and Ministry 2018 Cohort take the following modules and the project seminar in the following sequence:”

2018

- CHMN723 Theological and Sociological Foundations for ME/MC Mission and Ministry (4 cr)
Kenley Hall, April 16-24, 2018, Andrews University
- GSEM790 DMin Project Seminar, (4 cr)
Jim Wibberding, April 26- May 3, 2018, Andrews University

2019

- GSEM706 Spiritual and Theological Foundations for Ministry, (8 credits)
Allan Walshe, March 28-April 22, 2019, Andrews University
(Field Research Symposium, September 23-24, Petr Cincala)
- Implementation Symposium
David Penno, December 19, 2019, virtual meeting via Zoom 2:00-4:00pm

2020

- CHMN731 Essential Principles and Practices in ME/MC Mission and Ministry, (5 cr)
Kenley Hall, March 30-April 10, 2020, Riverside, CA
- GSEM796 DMin Project, Fall Semester 2020

2021

- CHMN712 Transformational Leadership, (5 cr)
Stan Patterson, April 12-23, 2021, Altamonte Springs, FL
- GSEM796 DMin Project, Spring Semester 2021

Always consult the Doctor of Ministry program planner at www.doctorofministry.com for possible adjustments to the date and locations of future teaching intensives.

MODULE REQUIREMENTS

I. Pre-Intensive

A. Pre-Intensive Reading:

A journal is due the **first day of the teaching intensive** for each of the 4 required pre-session titles. The journal (there will be 4, one for each book) is an informal reflection of your thoughts as you read the book. For every chapter or section in the book, explain how the content relates to your personal life and/or ministry. Journals are usually four to six pages, need not follow any particular style, and will not be graded for grammar, writing, etc. Begin the journal for each book with a simple statement that you have read the required book or state what you have read of the book.

1. McNeal, R. (2011). *A work of heart: Understanding how God shapes spiritual leaders*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
2. Barnes, C. M. (1996). *When God interrupts: Finding new life through unwanted change*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press.
3. Miller, P.E. (2009). *A Praying Life: Connecting with God in a distracting world*. Colorado Springs, CO: NavPress.
4. Seamonds, D. (1991). *Healing for damaged emotions*. Colorado Springs, CO: Victor

Books can be purchased in any manner convenient to the participant.

B. MBTI

Each student must take the assessment and know his or her Myers-Briggs type indicator (MBTI) which consists of 4 letters. If you have not taken the test or have forgotten its results, the easiest way to identify your type is to take the shortened form of the test in the following book:

Kiersey, David, and Marilyn Bates, *Please Understand Me: Character and Temperament Types*. Delmar, CA: Gnosology Books Ltd. ISBN 0-9606954-0-0

(Note: A free, short-version test based on the Jung-Myers-Briggs typological approach is available online at <http://www.humanmetrics.com/> . Take the Jung typology test.)

Results must be brought to the intensive.

II. The Intensive

- A. Attend the Field Research Symposium on March 28, 29, 2019.
- B. Punctual attendance is required for all intensive sessions. A maximum of 10% absence of total activities is allowed.
- C. On some evenings a daily journal will be required.
- D. Participation in discussion, group activities, journaling, and compilation of notes is expected.
- E. A cohort field experience (will or may) be planned for Saturday and Sunday.

III. Post Intensive

- A. Journal and report the post intensive books in the same manner as for the pre-intensive books.
- B. Review and revise the Ministry Development Plan (MDP) you were required to do in year one. The Ministry Development Plan has four sections; a description of your current situation, your vision for your life and ministry following the program, the steps you propose to move in the direction of that vision during your program, and a listing of the helping as well as hindering forces. The Ministry Development Plan should include spiritual, personal, relational, and professional context, vision, and activities to accomplish the vision in those areas. The MDP will serve the context support group and form the foundation for a reflection paper at the time of your assessment at the end of the program.
- C. Chapter two of your project document, a paper of 25 pages, will be required providing a theological reflection relevant to your project challenge. **This work required in year two partially integrates your 6 credits of project learning into the program.**

The Andrews University Standards for Written Work, 12th Edition (or more recent edition) will provide the standards for all written work. Doctor of Ministry papers are done in Turabian style.

- D. Meet again with your context support group of five to nine persons and review your MDP. The meeting will center on personal and professional progress. The meeting must occur on or before **June 30, 2019**. The group will review the MDP and its progress.
- E. Students will participate in a minimum of two sessions of a work group for peer support and sharing of experience.
 1. A journal and attendance record of the group meetings will be required from a secretary for each group by **December 15, 2019**.
 2. The first group meeting must occur on or before **July 30, 2019**, and review the work of each student on their chapter two.
 3. The second group meeting must occur on or before **November 30, 2019**, and review a book or other assignment.
 4. Groups may meet by phone conference, face-to-face, or via electronic conference.

Assessment is accomplished by evaluating participation and assignments around the outcomes of the concentration. See the chapter rubric guidelines at the Doctor of Ministry web site for further information.

C. Grade Points

Reading Journals and Reports	225 points (25 each)
Ministry Development Plan	80 pts.
Theological Reflection	250 pts.
Context Support Group	60 pts.
Peer Work Group Meetings	50 pts.
Report Regarding Mentor	40 pts.
Perception Checks	20 pts.
Notes	20 pts.
Total	745 pts.

- 94 - 100% - A
- 90 - 93% - A-
- 87 - 89% - B+
- 83 - 86% - B
- 80 - 82% - B-
- 77 - 79% - C+
- 73 - 76% - C
- 70 - 72% - C-

D. Assignment Submission

Submission of **all assignments** must be submitted via Learning Hub before or on the deadlines listed.

E. Assignment submission / Late Submission deadlines will be applied as follows:

Assignment due date: (possible A grade)

Late up to 30 days: (no more than A- grade)

Late 31 to 60 days: (no more than B+ grade)

Late 61 to 90 days: (no more than B grade)

Late 91 days or more: (no credit for the assignment)

Reading reports and reading journals for all pre-intensive books are due the first session of the teaching intensive, (**March 28, 2019**). If submitted late, the work will be discounted 10%. The remaining assignments are due (**January 31, 2020**).

F. Student grades will be recorded by June 30, 2020.

G. Graduation requires a 3.0 or better program GPA. Students who receive a DN for a module must seek permission from the DMin office to restart with another cohort and seek a new program time limit. Such requests are considered by the DMin program committee and not guaranteed. No tuition refunds are considered.

ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES

Criteria for Assessment of the Post Intensive Paper

Category	4.00 Target	3.00 Needs Improvement	2.00 Unsatisfactory	1.00 Unacceptable
Introduction	The chapter begins with an introduction that invites the reader into the topic and presents a bird's eye view of what the chapter will cover.	Same as Target, the bird's eye view is incomplete.	The reader is invited into the topic but no bird's eye view is given of what the chapter will cover.	There is no introduction or no clear connection between the introduction and the body of the chapter.
A Constructive Theological Essay	The chapter is clearly written as a constructive theological essay. It identifies a theological question/problem which is central to the task of the project. It enters into conversation with the Bible and Spirit of Prophecy (optional) through the lens of a particular theological approach. It then constructs an answering biblical theology to serve as the theological foundation for the project.	Same as target, except there is a slight tendency to sidetrack onto tangents that are not directly related to the central issue being addressed.	There is a tendency to get off point and to deal with tangents that are not directly related to the central issue being addressed and/or the chapter is only loosely connected to the central task of the project.	One or more of the following is true: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The chapter is not connected to the central task of the project. • There is no clear theological approach • There is no answering biblical theology constructed
Writing in the Academic Voice	All claims are supported by clear evidence. The connection between the claim and the evidence is warranted. The evidence is presented in a clear and linear fashion. The chapter bases its appeal upon <i>logos</i> and doesn't attempt to divert to the	The evidence is presented in a mostly linear fashion and is clear. There is a slight tendency to overstate certain claims. However all claims are still based on clear and warranted evidence and/or there is a minor inclination to use sermonic language.	1 or 2 of the claims made in the chapter are either not supported by clear evidence or the connection between the claim and the evidence does not seem warranted and/or there is a clear tendency to write in a sermonic voice and not an academic voice.	3 or more claims are not based on either evidence or warranted evidence and/or the entire chapter sounds like a sermon.

sermonic lures of pathos and ethos. It does not overstate its claims.

Use of Quotations	The chapter minimizes the use of quotations and instead seeks to summarize the ideas of others. All quotations are placed within a “quotation sandwich.” All quotations are formatted correctly.	There is a reasonable balance between summarization and quotation and the majority of quotations are placed within a “quotation sandwich.”	The quotations that are used are not placed within a “quotation sandwich.” and/or the chapter focuses more on quoting others than on summarizing their ideas.	The chapter looks like a “cut and paste” job and/or the quotations are not formatted correctly.
Conclusion	The chapter ends with a conclusion that reiterates the main points, restates the thesis in light of its substantiation and exemplification reflects on the journey that has led to this concluding moment, and acknowledges directions for further research and reflection.	The chapter ends with a conclusion that reiterates the main points and restates the thesis in light of its substantiation and exemplification.	One of the main points is not reiterated in the conclusion. Or in addition to reiterating what was discovered in the body of the chapter the conclusion presents new evidence or makes claims that are not substantiated in the body of the chapter.	There is no conclusion or the conclusion does not capture the main points of the chapter.
Format	The chapter formatting follows proper <i>Andrews Standards for Written Work</i> .	There is 1 formatting mistake.	There are 2 formatting mistakes.	There are 3 or more formatting mistakes.
Style	The chapter follows Turabian Parenthetical Style in-text referencing to cite sources.	There is 1 stylistic mistake.	There are 2 stylistic mistakes.	There are 3 or more stylistic mistakes.
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.	There is 1 spelling, grammar, or punctuation error.	There are 2 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.	There are more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.
Clearly Written	The chapter is written in a reader-friendly manner that models clarity of expression.	The chapter is written in a mostly reader-friendly manner. There is a slight tendency to use a few long rambling sentences.	Expression of some ideas is confusing to the reader. Uses lots of long, rambling sentences.	The chapter does not promote reader understanding and/or is unclear in language use and expression. Uses long, rambling or run-on sentences.
Length	16-25 pages	26-30 pages	31-40 pages	More than 40 pages

UNIVERSITY POLICIES

Disability Accommodations

If you qualify for accommodation under the American Disabilities Act, please contact Student Success in Nethery Hall 100 (disabilities@andrews.edu or 269-471-6096) as soon as possible so that accommodations can be arranged.

Late Assignment Submission

Assignments submitted after the specified due date will incur a deduction of 10% per week or part thereof.

Examinations

“Credit is not granted in courses unless the required examinations are completed by the student. Students are expected to follow the published examination schedule. In cases where the schedule requires a student to complete four exams in one day, arrangements may be made with the dean to complete one of the examinations at another time”.

AU Bulletin

Class Attendance

“Regular attendance at all classes, laboratories and other academic appointments is required for each student. Faculty members are expected to keep regular attendance records. The syllabus notifies students of the attendance requirements.

AU Bulletin

Class Absences

“Whenever the number of absences exceeds 20% (10% for graduate classes) of the total course appointments, the teacher may give a failing grade. Merely being absent from campus does not exempt the student from this policy. Absences recorded because of late registration, suspension, and early/late vacation leaves are not excused. The class work missed may be made up only if the teacher allows. Three tardies are equal to one absence.

Registered students are considered class members until they file a Change of Registration form in the Office of Academic records”.

AU

Bulletin

Excused Absences

“Excuses for absences due to illness are granted by the teacher. Proof of illness is required. Residence hall students are required to see a nurse on the first day of any illness which interferes with class attendance. Non-residence hall students should show written verification of illness obtained from their own physician. Excuses for absences not due to illness are issued directly to the dean’s office. Excused absences do not remove the student’s responsibility to complete all requirements of a course. Class work is made up by permission of the teacher”.

AU Bulletin

The above Andrews University policy is for students in other AU programs. **The Andrews University policy for the Doctor of Ministry program is that no absences are granted from intensives other than for deaths in an immediate household or for hospitalization.**

Academic Integrity

“In harmony with the mission statement (p.18), Andrews University expects that students will demonstrate the ability to think clearly for themselves and exhibit personal and moral integrity in every sphere of life. Thus, students are expected to display honesty in all academic matters.

Academic dishonesty includes (but is not limited to) the following acts: falsifying official documents; plagiarizing, which includes copying others’ published work, and/or failing to give credit properly to other authors and creators; misusing copyrighted material and/or violating licensing agreements (actions that may result in legal action in addition to disciplinary action taken by the University); using media from any source or medium, including the Internet (e.g., print, visual images, music) with the intent to mislead, deceive or defraud; presenting another’s work as one’s own (e.g. placement exams, homework, assignments); using material during a quiz or examination other than those specifically allowed by the teacher or program; stealing, accepting, or studying from stolen quizzes or examination materials; copying from another student during a regular or take-home test or quiz; assisting another in acts of academic dishonesty (e.g., falsifying attendance records, providing unauthorized course materials).

Andrews University takes seriously all acts of academic dishonesty. Such acts as described above are subject to incremental discipline for multiple offenses and severe penalties for some offenses. These acts are tracked in the office of the Provost. Repeated and/or flagrant offenses will be referred to the Committee for Academic Integrity for recommendations on further penalties. Consequences may include denial of admission, revocation of admission, warning from a teacher with or without formal documentation, warning from a chair or academic dean with formal documentation, receipt of a reduced or failing grade with or without notation of the reason on the transcript, suspension or dismissal from the course, suspension or dismissal from the program, expulsion from the university, or degree cancellation. Disciplinary action may be retroactive if academic dishonesty becomes apparent after the student leaves the course, program or university

Departments or faculty members may publish additional, perhaps more stringent, penalties for academic dishonesty in specific programs or courses”.

AU Bulletin

Emergency Protocol

Andrews University takes the safety of its student seriously. Signs identifying emergency protocol are posted throughout buildings. Instructors will provide guidance and direction to students in the classroom in the event of an emergency affecting that specific location. It is important that you follow these instructions and stay with your instructor during any evacuation or sheltering emergency.

INSTRUCTOR PROFILE

Dr. Allan R. Walshe
Current ministry emphasis—Discipleship and Biblical
Spirituality

Brief summary of ministry:
Local pastor—3 different conferences
Conference Departmental Director: Various Departments
Union Departmental Director: Various Departments
Conference President: SPDiv
Union President: SPDiv
University Teaching Professor: Andrews University
Department Chair: Dept. Discipleship and Religious Education,
Adventist Theological Seminary, Andrews University



12/12/2018