### **Title Page**

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Required Components	All of the required components of the title page are included: (1) the name of the University and the seminary, (2) title of the study, (3) the degree for which the paper is submitted, and (4) the author's name and current month and year	1 of the components is missing	2 of the components is missing	More than 2 of the components is missing
Formatting	The page is formatted correctly according to Andrews University Standards of Written Work. The components are all in the correct order and spaced correctly	The page is mostly formatted correctly according to Andrews University Standards of Written Work. One of the components is not space correctly	There are 2-3 spacing or placement errors	There are more than 3 spacing or placement errors
Title of the Study	Title <u>clearly</u> describes the what, who, and where of the project	Title describes the what, who, and where of the project	The title is only vaguely connected to the project	The title seems to have no connection to the project
Language Conventions	There are no spelling errors	There is 1 spelling error	There are 2-3 spelling errors	There are more than 3 spelling errors

### **Description of the Ministry Context**

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Limited to ½ to ¾ of a page	No more than 1 page	More than 1 page or less than ½ page	Guidelines for length are not followed
Content	Describes clearly and concisely the ministry context where the project will be implemented	Describes somewhat clearly the ministry context where the project will be implemented	Description lacks clarity and conciseness and/or are related more the outcomes than reasons of importance	No clear description of the ministry context
Format	Follows precisely the format in the project proposal sample	Follows the format in the project proposal sample with minor variation	There are some clear differences from the project proposal sample	Does not follow at all the format of the project proposal sample
Clearly Written	The Description is written in a reader-friendly manner that models clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	The Description is written in a reader-friendly manner. One or two sentences lack clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	Several sentence in the Description lack clarity of expression. Expression of some ideas is confusing to the reader. Uses long, rambling sentences.	The Description does not promote reader understanding and/or is unclear in language use and expression. Uses long, rambling or run-on sentences.
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There is one spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are 2-3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors

#### **Statement of the Problem**

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	The Statement of the Problem is	The Statement is 6 to 7	The Statement is 8-10	Guidelines for Statement length
	limited to 4 to 5 sentences	sentences	sentences	are not followed.
Nature of the Problem	A specific problem from the ministry context is clearly identified	A specific problem is identified that is somewhat connected to the ministry context	The problem is not connected to the context of ministry	The problem is outside of the scope of ministry
Evidence of the Problem	The reality of the problem is supported by clear objective evidence	The reality of the problem is supported by subjective evidence	The source of the evidence is unclear	There is no evidence given to support the reality of the problem
Restrictive Nature of the Problem	The problem is neither too broad or too narrow and deals with one specific issue—any other problems are seen in subordination to the major one	A specific problem is identified but is either two broad or too narrow in scope	Multiple problems are identified	Does not demonstrate a clear understanding of the problem to be addressed
Components of the Statement	The Statement addresses the stable context, provides evidence of the problem, the consequences of the problem, and identifies the destabilizing condition (root problem)	1 of the four components are missing from the Statement	2 of the four components are missing from the Statement	3 or more of the components are missing from the Statement
Format	Follows precisely the format in the project proposal sample	Follows the format in the project proposal sample with minor variation	There are some clear differences from the project proposal sample	Does not follow at all the format of the project proposal sample
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There is 1 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are 2-3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors
Clearly Written	The Statement is written in a reader-friendly manner that models clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	The Statement is written in a reader-friendly manner. One or two sentences lack clarity of expression. Uses short declarative sentences.	Several sentence in the Statement lack clarity of expression. Expression of some ideas is confusing to the reader. Uses long, rambling sentences.	The Statement does not promote reader understanding and/or is unclear in language use and expression. Uses long, rambling or run-on sentences.

### **Statement of the Task**

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	Is limited to 1 to 2 sentences	Is limited to 3 to 4 sentences	The statements is 5 to 7 sentences	Guidelines for statement length are not followed.
Relationship to the Statement	The Statement of the Task	The Statement of the Task is	The Statement of the Task does	There is no correlation between
of the Problem	relates directly to the	somewhat related to the	not clearly relate to the	the Statement of the Task and
	Statement of the Problem	Statement of the Problem	Statement of the Problem	the Statement of the Problem
Restrictive Nature	The task is neither too broad or too narrow and deals with one specific problem—any other problems are seen in subordination to the major one	A specific task is identified but is either two broad or too narrow in scope	Multiple tasks are identified	Does not describe a clear task to be implemented
Necessary Descriptors	Clearly states what you are going to do and why	Clearly states what you are going to do, but is less clear on why	The what and the why are vague	It is not clear what you intend to do or why
Imbedded Intentions	There is a clear intention stated to develop, implement, and evaluate the intervention	The statement is missing one of the three intentions	Two or more of the intentions are missing from the statement	There are no imbedded intentions in the statement
Format	Follows precisely the format in the project proposal sample	Follows the format in the project proposal sample with minor variation	There are some clear differences from the project proposal sample	Does not follow at all the format of the project proposal sample
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There is 1 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are 2-3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors
Clearly Written	The Statement is written in a	The Statement is written in a	Several sentence in the	The Statement does not
	reader-friendly manner that	reader-friendly manner. One or	Statement lack clarity of	promote reader understanding
	models clarity of expression.	two sentences lack clarity of	expression. Expression of some	and/or is unclear in language
	Uses short declarative	expression. Uses short	ideas is confusing to the reader.	use and expression. Uses long,
	sentences.	declarative sentences.	Uses long, rambling sentences.	rambling or run-on sentences.

### **Outline of Chapter 4**

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Major steps of how	Clearly indicates	Some or all of the	Some or all of the	None of areas are
the intervention will	how the	major steps are	major steps are	evident
be developed,	intervention will be	somewhat vague or	somewhat vague or	
implemented, and	developed,	unclear	unclear; and, one or	
evaluated	implemented, and		two of the areas	
	evaluated		(development,	
			implementation, or	
			evaluation) is missing	
Connection to theory	It is clear that the	It is somewhat clear	It is unclear that the	There is no connect
and theology	development,	that the development,	development,	between development,
	implementation, and	implementation, and	implementation,	implementation, and
	evaluation are based	evaluation are based	and/or evaluation are	evaluation and what
	on what was learned	on what was learned in	based on what was	was learned in
	in chapters 2 & 3,	chapters 2 & 3, and	learned in chapters 2	chapters 2 & 3, and
	and action research	action research design	& 3, and action	action research design
	design and methods	and methods	research design and	and methods
			methods	

### **Overall Project Proposal**

Category	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00
	Target	Needs Improvement	Incomplete	Unacceptable
Length	The main text of the proposal should be limited to 5-6 pages	The main text of the proposal is 7-8 pages	The main text of the proposal is 9-10 pages	The guidelines for length are not followed
Components	All of the components of a project proposal are included and in the right order	1 of the components is missing or out of sequence	2 of the components are missing or out of sequence	More than 2 of the components are missing or out of sequence
Format	The proposal is formatted correctly according to Andrews University Standards of Written Work.	The proposal is mostly formatted correctly according to Andrews University Standards of Written Work. There is one formatting errors	There are 2-3 formatting errors	There are more than 3 formatting errors
Clearly Written	The overall proposal is written in a reader-friendly manner that models clarity of expression. Uses concise sentences.	The overall proposal is written in a reader-friendly manner. One or two sentences lack clarity of expression. Uses concise sentences.	Several sentence in the proposal lack clarity of expression. Expression of some ideas is confusing to the reader. Uses long, rambling sentences.	The proposal does not promote reader understanding and/or is unclear in language use and expression. Uses long, rambling or run-on sentences.
Language Conventions	There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There is 1 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There are 2-3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors	There more than 3 spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors

Revised 03/19/2020