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GENERAL CLASS INFORMATION

Class acronym: CHMN543
Class name: Christian Leadership in a Changing World
Semester & year: Spring Semester 2017
Class location: North Pacific Union Conference Office
5709 N. 20th Street, Ridgefield, WA 98642; Phone 360-857-7000
Class time/day: 1-6 p.m. Sunday; 8 a.m.-12; 1-5 p.m. Monday-Thursday
Credits offered: 3

INSTRUCTOR CONTACT DETAILS

Instructor: Stanley E. Patterson, PhD
Telephone: (269) 240-4900 (m); (269) 471-3217 (w)
Email: patterss@andrews.edu; patterson.stan@gmail.com
Office location: Seminary Hall S228
Appointments: Contact Sylvie Baumgartner 269-471-6371 or sylvie@andrews.edu

BULLETIN CLASS DESCRIPTION

This course explores biblical and theological principles of Christian Leadership, organizational theory, and systems thinking appropriate to the church and seeks to instill in students the servant leadership qualities. Additionally, it facilitates growth in administrative areas such as strategic planning, managing change, finance, Christian education, and conflict management.
PROGRAM AND COURSE OUTCOMES

CHMN543 MA in Pastoral Ministry (MAPM)

1. Deliver effective biblically-based sermons.
2. Demonstrate proper biblical interpretation skills
3. Understand the historical-theological development of the Seventh-day Adventist church
4. Capable of training church members for evangelism
5. Demonstrate an understanding of how to empower church members for leadership
6. Capable of reaching specific social groups

CHMN543 Student Learning Outcomes (SLO)
The student should be able to:

1. Demonstrate advanced understanding of the biblical and theological principles of Christian leadership.
2. Apply leadership and organizational theory to the church and its administration.
3. Describe behavior necessary to minister and empower people for ministry in diverse and multicultural settings.
4. Evaluate their effectiveness in organizing, equipping, and mobilizing others appropriately for mission and ministry.
5. Create a narrative that reflects the character of Christ and exemplifies personal integrity and professional ethics.
6. Generate a creative working model of the reconciling ministry of Christ as a peacemaker.

OUTLINE OF TOPICS AND ASSIGNMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Class Topic</th>
<th>Assignments Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mar 26</td>
<td>Introduction and Orientation Biblical Foundations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2    | Mar 27 | Spiritual Leadership  
                 Leadership Theory  
                 Integrity          |
| 3    |         | Clarifying Mental Models  
                 Leading Change      |
| 4    | Mar 28 | Jesus as Transformational Leader  
                 Mentoring/Discipleship |
| 5    |         | Empowerment  
                 Motivating People    |
| 6    |         | Ministry in Teams  
                 Trust                |
| 7    | Mar 29 | Managing Conflict Biblically  
                 Financial Leadership |
| 8    |         | E.G. White and Leadership  
                 Leadership and Power |
| 9    |         | Shared Vision & the Differentiated Self  
                 Distributed Leadership |
| 10   | Mar 30 | Priesthood of all Believers  
                 Assessing Effectiveness |
| 11   |         | Organizational Structure and Authority  
                 Stewardship of Time  |

**Mar 30, 3:00 p.m.**  
Final Exam (50pts.)  
(SLO 2,4,5,6; PLO 5)

**May 1, 2017**  
Post-intensive book review (50 pts.)

**June 15, 2017**  
L’ship Case Study (75pts.)  
(SLO 1,2, 3, 5; PLO 3, 5)

---

**COURSE MATERIALS**

For ISBN and price information, please see the listing at the Bookstore [www.andrews.edu/bookstore](http://www.andrews.edu/bookstore) or other book retailers including Amazon.

Pre-intensive Required Reading:


Recommended:


Criteria for Grades
Written assignments will be graded by considering the degree to which each of the written instructions for the assignment are incorporated into the paper; compliance with AU Standards for Written Work, 12th ed; quality of writing including grammar, punctuation, and clarity. (e.g. Criteria for each grade are listed in the Criteria for Assessment Guidelines which is attached as Appendix 1 of this document.)

Passing Grades
All assignments must be submitted in order to receive a passing grade regardless of the points awarded for any individual assignment(s).

Assessment Submission
All assignments should be submitted to me as MS Word documents via Learning Hub dated no later than the day the assignment is due.

Late Submission
The following penalties will be applied for late submission of assessment items:

- Assessments received by due date: (possible A grade)
- Delay up to 60 days: (no better than an A- grade)
- Delay up to 90 days: (no better than a B+ grade)
- Delay up to 120 days: (no better than a B grade)
- Delay up to 150 days: (no better than a C grade)

Other Guidelines
The following list details weight given each assignment and includes a point value for attendance based upon 3 points for each day you were in class and not tardy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>96-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>93-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>90-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>85-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>82-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>79-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>72-74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL TIME INVESTMENT FOR THIS CLASS

Advanced theological education is no ‘cake-walk’, nor is it intended to ‘wear out the saints.’ Designed to immerse the learner in deep theological study and introspective reflection, seminary course expectation is to challenge the student by examining his/her own premises against the study, research and inspiration of biblical scholarship.

This will take intentionality and time on your part. Course load is guided by the expectation that students will spend a total of 45 hours of course exposure to earn 1 hour of academic credit. That translates into 90 hours invested for a 2-credit class, and 135 hours for a 3-credit course. Students are advised to spend their time accordingly to meet course requirements and deadlines.

Following is a rule of thumb to help guide your reading, research, and writing for Seminary courses:
• Average reading speed 15-20 pages/hr.
• Average writing speed 3 hr./page
• Exam preparation 4-8 hours

Based on these averages, requirements for this class will take the average student the following:
• Reading@18 pages/hr (3 books-1100 pp.) 61 hrs.
• Book discussions in Learning Hub forum (5 hrs. toward 45 total contact hours)
• Post-in Reading and book Review (2 pages) 15 hrs.
• Case Study (6 pages) 15 hrs.
• Contact hours in class/Internet dialog 45

-------------------
Total Hours for class 135

Course Points per Assignment:

• Reading Journals (60 pts/25.5%)
• Post-intensive Book Review (50 pts/21.3%)
• Case Study (75 pts/31.9%)
• Final Exam (50 pts/21.3%)
• 235 total points

Course Requirements

1. **Pre-intensive Reflective Reading Discussions**: Do the required reading for all texts and participate substantially in the Learning Hub FORUM book discussions on or by the posted date. These postings will be graded for quality of content related to the book, reflective writing that considers the impact on you personally and professionally. At least 3 primary or response statements to postings by your fellow participants. Post an honor statement that you have read all or part of the books assigned by **Mar 30, 2017**.


2. **Post-intensive Book Review**: Choose a leadership related book published 2013 or later. Read it and write a 750-1000-word review for consideration of publication. The review should conform to the standard established in the Rubric on page 10. Follow the Andrews Standards for Written Work, 13th ed. Use APA style. Clear title with Dr. Patterson to avoid duplication with another student or a previously published review. **Due May 1, 2017**
3. **Final Exam:** An essay exam will be written as an assessment of your overall grasp of leadership theory and practice in the context organizing and mobilizing the church. The exam will provide topic options within the framework of a leadership model consistent with the teachings of Jesus. The final exam will be administered **March 30, 2017.**

4. **Case Study:** Produce a 6 page **Leadership Case Study** of an actual religious organization and one of its leaders with whom you are acquainted. Use fictional names for leader and organization. The case study is to be 6 pages, double spaced. Follow the Andrews Standards for Written Work, 13th ed. Use APA style. The case study is graded on basis of the rubric on page 9. **Due June 15, 2017.**

The page suggestions in the outline below are suggestions only. The leadership case study should include the following:

a. A brief **description** of the organization. (1/2 page)
   - The general identity (size, type, style) and context (history, location, social setting, cultural environment) of the organization.
   - The vision and mission of the organization

b. A **description** of the leader providing the focus of the paper. (1/2 page)
   - The leader’s cultural background, experience, personal context, philosophy, ethics, etc.

c. Your **analysis** of the leadership dynamics in the case. (2 pages)
   - Leadership theory(ies), Integrity, Empowerment, Relationships, communication, etc.

d. Any **theological dimensions**, themes, or issues involved in the case. (1 page)
   - What biblical issues or themes of leadership are involved in the case?

e. Your **evaluation** of your experience as a participant or observer in the case, including any concepts that will guide your ministry in the future. (2 pages)
   - What would you do the same?
   - What would you do differently?
   - What additional knowledge or skills would you need in order to serve as a leader in this organization?

**Note:** Case Study rubric (p.9) will be used to determine grade for this assignment.
### CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES

#### Rubric for Assessing the CHMN543 Case Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent (90-100%)</th>
<th>Satisfactory (60-89%)</th>
<th>Emerging (30-59%)</th>
<th>Unacceptable (0-29%)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introductory material</strong> (10)</td>
<td>The introduction provides a well-developed context for the project. The significance of central questions is illustrated by references to course materials.</td>
<td>The introduction provides an adequate context for the project. The purpose is identified through reference to one or more central questions.</td>
<td>The introduction is present. Identification of the purpose and central questions is sketchy.</td>
<td>There is no introduction. The purpose is not identified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptions of the setting and data collection process</strong> (15)</td>
<td>The narrative contains well-developed descriptions of the setting and the data collection process (which is built upon concepts from current research, theory, and course materials).</td>
<td>The narrative contains adequate descriptions of the case study setting and the data collection process.</td>
<td>The narrative contains an adequate description of the setting, but an incomplete description of the data collection process.</td>
<td>The narrative contains an incomplete or vague description of the setting, and no description of the data collection process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Record of observations</strong> (15)</td>
<td>The narrative contains observations from multiple sources, includes qualitative and quantitative data, and makes references to models of appropriate practice that are supported by current research and theory.</td>
<td>The narrative contains observations from multiple sources or includes qualitative and quantitative data.</td>
<td>The narrative contains observations from at least two sources.</td>
<td>The narrative contains observations from only one perspective, or of a single type of data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion, logic, and conclusions (L'ship Theology, Theory, etc.)</strong> (20)</td>
<td>The discussion seems complete. Conclusions are logical; they address the central questions, suggest possible strategies for addressing weaknesses, and are tied to the course work</td>
<td>The discussion seems complete. Conclusions are logical and address the central questions.</td>
<td>The discussion is adequate, but conclusions- if present--do not match the central questions.</td>
<td>The discussion is incomplete or illogical, and conclusions are missing or unrelated to the central questions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Presentation's clarity and style** (15)                      | All of the following are true:  
  - The project contains no serious errors in grammar, spelling or mechanics.  
  - The page layout facilitates understanding of the narrative.  
  - Navigation between sections is clear.  
  - APA format is used for in text and bibliographical references to external resources. | One (1) of the following is true:  
  - The project contains multiple or serious errors in grammar, spelling or mechanics.  
  - The page layout is cluttered. Navigation between sections is unclear.  
  - APA format is not used for in-text and bibliographical references to external resources. | Two (2) of the following are true:  
  - The project contains multiple errors in grammar, spelling or mechanics.  
  - The page layout is cluttered. Navigation between sections is unclear.  
  - APA format is not used for in-text and bibliographical references to external resources. | At least three (3) of the following are true:  
  - The project contains multiple errors in grammar, spelling or mechanics.  
  - The page layout is cluttered. Navigation between sections is unclear.  
  - APA format is not used for in-text and bibliographical references to external resources. |       |

**Total (75)**

Reference:

Adapted from: Using Rubrics to Grade Student Performance, Dawn M. Zimmaro, Ph.D.
Center for Teaching and Learning
Telephone: (512) 232-2662; Web: www.utexas.edu/academic/ctl
Location: Bridgeway Building, 2616 Wichita Street, Address: P.O. Box 7246, Austin, TX 78713-7246

**Seventh-day Adventist Theological Seminary**
Rubric for Assessing the CHMN543 Book Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus: author’s thesis; book’s content (10)</th>
<th>Grade range- 90-100%</th>
<th>Grade range-80-89%</th>
<th>Grade Range &lt; 80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearly communicates the author’s thesis/main idea and succinctly summarizes the book’s content</td>
<td>Adequately communicates the author’s thesis and summarizes the book’s content</td>
<td>Does not clearly communicate the author’s thesis and/or summarize the book’s content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critique: evaluation on behalf of the leadership community; Includes specific language about strengths and weaknesses; not a personal reaction (15)</th>
<th>Grade range- 90-100%</th>
<th>Grade range-80-89%</th>
<th>Grade Range &lt; 80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interacts with and critiques the author’s ideas at a high level on behalf of the leadership community</td>
<td>Adequately critiques the author’s ideas on behalf of the community</td>
<td>Does not offer a helpful critique, and/or does not critique on behalf of the community, and/or too much personal reviewer bias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application: applied aspect for Christian leaders; not limited to reviewer’s personal context (15)</th>
<th>Grade range- 90-100%</th>
<th>Grade range-80-89%</th>
<th>Grade Range &lt; 80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shares clear and practical application of the author’s ideas for Christian leaders</td>
<td>Adequately applies the author’s ideas for Christian leaders</td>
<td>Does not sufficiently apply the author’s ideas for Christian leaders, and/or application limited to reviewer’s personal context</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation: to read this book or not, and why (10)</th>
<th>Grade range- 90-100%</th>
<th>Grade range-80-89%</th>
<th>Grade Range &lt; 80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearly and strongly recommends whether to read the book, including a summary of reasons why</td>
<td>Adequately recommends whether to read the book</td>
<td>Does not strongly recommend whether to read the book and/or include reasons why</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Author” refers to the book author; “reviewer” refers to the book reviewer.

If appropriate, some information about the author might be included: Who is he/she? Why write this book? Any information about what makes the author an authority on the book’s subject is helpful, especially if the author is relatively unknown.

Things that should not be in a review:
- Rants about negative or positive aspects of the book.
- Expressions of personal prejudices: “I liked/didn’t like X aspect of the book...”
AU Standards for Written Work
All papers submitted for this class must conform to AU Standards for Written Work, 12th edition. 10% will be deducted from the total possible points if cover page, title, sub-headings, page numbering, citations, bibliography, reference lists, abbreviations of scripture references and margins do not comply. http://www.andrews.edu/sem/dmin/project/writing_assistance/sww12-updated.pdf

Classroom Seating
To facilitate the instructor in learning each student’s name, please select a permanent seat in the classroom.

Disability Accommodations
If you qualify for accommodations under the American Disabilities Act, please see the instructor as soon as possible for referral and assistance in arranging such accommodations.

Emergency Protocols
Andrews University takes the safety of its students seriously. Signs identifying emergency protocol are posted throughout the buildings. Instructors will provide guidance and direction to students in the classroom in the event of an emergency affecting that specific location. It is important that you follow these instructions and stay with your instructor during any evacuation or sheltering emergency.

Computer use in class is for taking notes. The professor reserves the right to discontinue the use of computers during class lectures if inappropriate use is noted.
Food is not allowed in the classroom by Seminary policy.

Class Attendance
“Regular attendance at all classes, laboratories and other academic appointments is required for each student. Faculty members are expected to keep regular attendance records. Whenever the number of absences exceeds 10% of the total course appointments, the teacher may give a failing grade. Merely being absent from campus does not exempt the student from this policy. Absences recorded because of late registration, suspension, and early/late vacation leaves are not excused. The class work missed may be made up only if the teacher allows. Three tardies are equal to one absence.” Andrews University Bulletin 2010, page 29-30

Academic Integrity
Andrews University takes seriously all acts of academic dishonesty. Academic dishonesty includes (but is not limited to) falsifying official documents; plagiarizing; misusing copyrighted material; violating licensing agreements; using media from any source to mislead, deceive or defraud; presenting another’s work as one’s own; using materials during a quiz or examination other than those specifically allowed; stealing, accepting or studying from stolen examination materials; copying from another student; or falsifying attendance records. For more details see the Andrews University Bulletin 2010, page 30.

“Consequences may include denial of admission, revocation of admission, warning from a teacher with or without formal documentation, warning from a chair or academic dean with formal documentation, receipt of a reduced or failing grade with or without notation of the reason on the transcript, suspension or dismissal from the course, suspension or dismissal from the program, expulsion from the university or degree cancellation. Disciplinary action may be retroactive if academic dishonesty becomes apparent after the student leaves the course, program or university.” Andrews University Bulletin 2010, page 30
INSTRUCTOR PROFILE

Dr. Stanley Patterson currently teaches and mentors ministry professionals at the SDA Theological Seminary. He serves as Chair of the Christian Ministry Department and teaches classes in Christian leadership and pastoral practices. He also serves as executive director of the Christian Leadership Center of Andrews University which is dedicated to providing Christian Leadership development and guidance to organizations and ministry teams around the world.

He began his pastoral ministry in 1975 in Texas, then Wyoming, Colorado, and Georgia. He served as ministerial director and evangelism coordinator in the Greater New York Conference and for twelve years in the Georgia-Cumberland Conference as ministerial director and later as vice-president for pastoral ministries and evangelism.

He was granted a Ph.D. in Leadership and Administration from Andrews University in 2007 and responded to a call to serve the Seminary in 2008. He has published numerous academic and professional articles on Christian Leadership and has assisted in the development of Christian leaders throughout the world field.

Glenda and he make their home in Berrien Springs, Michigan and have four adult children and eight grandchildren.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Class Bibliography:

Note: Any student wishing to gain access to Dr. Patterson’s www.shelfari.com reading web site may do so by emailing a request to patterss@andrews.edu for an invitation.