

## EVALUATION FORM FOR THE SCIENCES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES HONORS THESIS SYMPOSIUM

Presenter's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Circle one: Research Advisor / Honors Council Member / Faculty / Student / Guest

**Directions: Each aspect of the presentation should be rated by circling a number in each row.  
Comments: Include rationale for any Exceptional (5) or Inferior (1) scores given.**

	<i>Inferior</i>	<i>Below average</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Above Average</i>	<i>Exceptional</i>
--	-----------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------------	--------------------

### PROJECT DEFINITION (10 Points)

1. Articulates precise research question(s) and hypothesis(es)	1	2	3	4	5
_____					
_____					

### METHODOLOGY AND CONTENT (20 Points)

2. Defends convincingly the soundness and quality of research process and methodology	1	2	3	4	5
3. Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge of the relevant secondary scholarly work	1	2	3	4	5
4. Offers significant quantity and quality of research data	1	2	3	4	5
5. Produces substantive research conclusions and well-conceived recommendations for further investigation	1	2	3	4	5
_____					
_____					

### COMMUNICATION OF THE PRESENTATION (15 Points)

6. Presents a well-organized talk adapted to the interdisciplinary audience and uses the allotted time effectively	1	2	3	4	5
7. Embodies personal engagement with and ownership of research topic	1	2	3	4	5
8. Responds with clarity, flexibility, and grace in question and answer session	1	2	3	4	5
_____					
_____					

### HOLISTIC IMPRESSION (5 Points)

9. Overall impression of research and presentation	1	2	3	4	5
_____					