Andrews University Faculty Senate Budget Principles

Guiding Principles	Clarifications and Potential Action Items
1. Make budgeting decisions based on how activities support the University mission and strategic plan.	Shift budget thinking away from business unit constructs and towards valuable activities (activity-based costing)
2. Treat finances as a support function in service to the university mission	• Organizational structures and practices should reflect the assumption that finances do not <i>drive</i> the institutional decision-making but rather support the mission (i.e. the VP Finance should report to the Provost and should not be parallel to the Provost)
3. Improve budgeting transparency to support informed decision-making	 Transparency defined both in terms of allocation and performance measurements Need honesty/trust—Vice President/deans and deans/chairs Need to understand the whole budget picture Explore additional ways to save money: i.e. power usage and green practices
4. Make budgeting decisions based on fiscal responsibility	 Make budgeting decisions the result of a dialogue between decision-makers and decision-implementers Set contingencies in the Working Policy that encourage fiscal

	responsibility in budgeting (i.e., faculty load, advising load, work year beginning/end) Reconsider practice of counting credit hours as the prime measure of faculty productivity Set transparent goals by activity Change practices that encourage spending the budget down to zero at the end of the year (budget dust) Set performance measures that are controllable and then reward performance (i.e. while enrollment may not be controllable, the number of contacts with prospective students is measureable and controllable)
5. Assess programs based on the mission and strategic plan; invest in valuable programs; and consider the future of underperforming programs	 Note that finance is not the final goal of this institution; it is simply a means to serve the world church Note that by "underperforming" we do not mean exclusively financial concerns Do not view programs in isolation because in some cases synergies between smaller programs result in success/value.
6. Reconsider the metrics by which we evaluate quality, success, departmental achievement, faculty development ("We get what we measure")	 Identify currently unmeasured, valuable activities Count everything faculty do to assess loads—e.g. currently no incentive or reporting required to improve advising which has a direct impact on enrollment
7. Set expectations for departmental	Departments should create benchmarks for departmental income

productivity and production of additional income	generation/enrollment in a way that accounts for expenses, income, overhead, and applicable subsidies Invest in departmental innovations in the early stages of development
8. Make decisions driven by a desire for community-building	Note that, for example, move to increasing the number of adjuncts violates community-building (which is part of strategic plan)
9. Foster cross-departmental/school efficiencies	 Explore cross-listing courses Look for synergies Right size (could early retirements humanely facilitate improved efficiencies?) Admin/school struggles Do we have too many independent schools for the size of the university?
10. Craft faculty development to cultivate quality and well-being	 Note that investing in the longevity and the quality of faculty is an important way to improve the reputation and marketability of the university Reallocate funds to establish a Faculty Development Office to improve quality teaching and research Consider the example of the proposed change in furlough policy (a violation of faith, a devaluing of our global status and mission) as a short-term budget option that harms well-being